

# Symmetric functions: Definition of the Schur functions

## Lecture Notes

Arun Ram\*

Department of Mathematics  
University of Wisconsin–Madison  
Madison, WI 53706  
ram@math.wisc.edu

Version: February 27, 2004

### 1. The $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ case

The following fundamental example motivates the general case.

Let  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^n = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  given by  $\varepsilon_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , with the 1 in the  $i$ th entry, so that

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}\text{-span}\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}, \\ \text{and let } P^+ &= \{\lambda = \lambda_1\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_n\varepsilon_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n\}, \\ \text{and } P^{++} &= \{\lambda = \lambda_1\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_n\varepsilon_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_n\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Then  $P^+$  is a set of representatives of the orbits of the action of the symmetric group  $S_n$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  given by permuting the coordinates,

$$w\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{w(i)}, \quad \text{for } w \in S_n, 1 \leq i \leq n. \tag{1.2}$$

There is a bijection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^+ & \longrightarrow & P^{++} \\ \lambda & \longmapsto & \rho + \lambda \end{array} \quad \text{where } \rho = (n-1)\varepsilon_1 + (n-2)\varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_{n-1}. \tag{1.3}$$

The group algebra of  $P$  is

$$\mathbb{Z}[P] = \mathbb{Z}\text{-span}\{x^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} \quad \text{with } x^\lambda x^\mu = x^{\lambda+\mu}, \quad \text{for } \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n. \tag{1.4}$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$  write

$$x_i = x^{\varepsilon_i} \quad \text{so that} \quad x^\lambda = x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n} \quad \text{for } \lambda = \lambda_1\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_n\varepsilon_n,$$

and  $\mathbb{Z}[P] = \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ . The action of  $S_n$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  induces an action of  $S_n$  on  $\mathbb{Z}[P]$  given by

$$wx^\lambda = x^{w\lambda}, \quad \text{for } w \in S_n, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n. \tag{1.5}$$

---

\* Research supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS-?????.

so that

$$wx_i = x_{w(i)}, \quad \text{for } w \in S_n \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad (1.6)$$

The ring of *symmetric functions* is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}[P]^{S_n} &= \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]^{S_n} \\ &= \{f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}] \mid f(x_{w(1)}, \dots, x_{w(n)}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ for all } w \in S_n\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

The *orbit sums*, or *monomial symmetric functions*, are

$$m_\lambda = \sum_{\gamma \in S_n \lambda} x^\gamma, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in P^+,$$

where  $S_n \lambda$  is the orbit of  $\lambda$  under the action of  $S_n$ . Then

$$\{m_\lambda \mid \lambda \in P^+\} \quad \text{is a } \mathbb{Z}\text{-basis of } \mathbb{Z}[X]^{S_n}. \quad (1.8)$$

The set of *skew polynomials* is

$$\mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon = \{g \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}] \mid wg = \det(w)g \text{ for all } w \in S_n\}.$$

If  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[P]^{S_n}$  and  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon$  then  $fg \in \mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon$  and so  $\mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[X_n]^{S_n}$ -module. Let  $\epsilon$  be the element of the group algebra of  $S_n$  given by

$$\epsilon = \sum_{w \in S_n} \det(w)w, \quad (1.9)$$

and define

$$a_\mu = \epsilon(x^\mu) = \sum_{w \in S_n} \det(w)wx^\mu, \quad \text{for } \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n. \quad (1.10)$$

Then

$$a_\mu = \det(w)a_{w\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad a_\mu = 0, \quad \text{if } \mu_i = \mu_j \text{ for some } i \neq j. \quad (1.11)$$

Using that  $\{x^\lambda \mid \lambda \in P\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[P]$  it follows that

$$\{a_\mu \mid \mu \in P^+\} = \{a_{\lambda+\rho} \mid \lambda \in P^+\} \quad \text{is a } \mathbb{Z}\text{-basis of } \mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon. \quad (1.12)$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon = \epsilon \cdot \mathbb{Z}[P]. \quad (1.13)$$

The polynomial  $x_j - x_i$  divides  $a_{\lambda+\rho}$  since setting  $x_i = x_j$  in the determinantal expression for  $a_{\lambda+\rho}$  makes it equal to 0, and thus

$$a_{\lambda+\rho} = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{\lambda_1+n-1} & x_1^{\lambda_2+n-2} & \dots & x_1^{\lambda_n} \\ x_2^{\lambda_1+n-1} & x_2^{\lambda_2+n-2} & \dots & x_2^{\lambda_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ x_n^{\lambda_1+n-1} & x_n^{\lambda_2+n-2} & \dots & x_n^{\lambda_n} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{is divisible by} \quad \prod_{n \geq j > i \geq 1} (x_j - x_i). \quad (1.14)$$

since the polynomials  $x_j - x_i$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  are coprime in  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ . When  $\lambda = 0$ , comparing coefficients of the maximal terms in  $a_{\lambda+\rho}$  and  $\prod (x_j - x_i)$  shows that the *Vandermonde determinant*

$$a_\rho = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{n-1} & x_1^{n-2} & \cdots & x_1^0 \\ x_2^{n-1} & x_2^{n-2} & \cdots & x_2^0 \\ \vdots & & \cdots & \vdots \\ x_n^{n-1} & x_n^{n-2} & \cdots & x_n^0 \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{n \geq j > i \geq 1} (x_j - x_i). \quad (1.15)$$

Since  $\{a_{\lambda+\rho} \mid \lambda \in P^+\}$  is a basis of  $A_n$ , and each  $a_{\lambda+\rho}$  is divisible by  $a_\rho$ , the inverse of the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n} & \longrightarrow & A_n \\ f & \longmapsto & a_\rho f \end{array} \quad (1.16)$$

is well defined, and thus it is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}[P]^{S_n}$ -modules.

The *Schur functions* are

$$s_\lambda = \frac{a_{\lambda+\rho}}{a_\rho}, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in P.$$

Since  $\{a_{\lambda+\rho} \mid \lambda \in P^+\}$  is a basis of  $A_n$  and the map in (???) is an isomorphism,

$$\{s_\lambda \mid \lambda \in P^+\} \quad \text{is a basis of } \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}.$$

The *dot action* of  $S_n$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  is given by

$$w \circ \mu = w(\mu + \rho) - \rho, \quad \text{for } w \in S_n, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n. \quad (1.17)$$

The first relation in ??? and the definition of  $s_\mu$  imply that

$$s_{w \circ \mu} = \det(w) s_\mu, \quad \text{for } \mu \in P, w \in S_n. \quad (1.18)$$

## 2. The general case

A *lattice* is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Let  $P$  be a lattice with a ( $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear) action of a finite group  $W$  so that  $P$  is a module for the group algebra  $\mathbb{Z}W$ . Extending coefficients, define

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{h}^* = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*,$$

so that  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  are vector spaces which are modules for the group algebras  $\mathbb{R}W$  and  $\mathbb{C}W$ , respectively.

Assume that the action of  $W$  on  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  has fundamental regions???, and fix a fundamental region  $C$  in  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ . Define

$$P^+ = P \cap \bar{C} \quad \text{and} \quad P^{++} = P \cap C$$

so that  $P^+$  is a set of representatives of the orbits of the action of  $W$  on  $P$ . Assume???? that  $P^+$  is a cone in  $P$  (a module for the monoid  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ). A set of *fundamental weights* is a set of  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  generators of (the  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -module)  $P^+$  which also form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $P$ . There is a bijection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^+ & \longrightarrow & P^{++} \\ \lambda & \longmapsto & \rho + \lambda \end{array} \quad \text{where } \rho = \omega_1 + \dots + \omega_n. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $\langle, \rangle: \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $W$ -invariant symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  (such that the restriction to  $P$  is a perfect pairing with values in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). The *simple coroots* are  $\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_n^\vee$  the dual basis to the fundamental weights,

$$\langle \omega_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = \delta_{ij}. \quad (2.2)$$

Define

$$\overline{C}^\vee = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \alpha_i^\vee \quad \text{and} \quad C^\vee = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}_{< 0} \alpha_i^\vee. \quad (2.3)$$

The *dominance order* is the partial order on  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  given by

$$\lambda \geq \mu \quad \text{if} \quad \mu \in \lambda + \overline{C}^\vee. \quad (2.4)$$

The group algebra of the abelian group  $P$  is

$$\mathbb{Z}[P] = \mathbb{Z}\text{-span}\{x^\lambda \mid \lambda \in P\} \quad \text{with} \quad x^\lambda x^\mu = x^{\lambda+\mu}, \quad \text{for } \lambda, \mu \in P. \quad (2.5)$$

The action of  $W$  on  $P$  induces an action of  $W$  on  $\mathbb{Z}[P]$  given by

$$wx^\lambda = x^{w\lambda}, \quad \text{for } w \in W, \lambda \in P. \quad (2.6)$$

The ring of *symmetric functions* is

$$\mathbb{Z}[P]^W = \{f \in \mathbb{Z}[P] \mid wf = f \text{ for all } w \in W\}, \quad (2.7)$$

Define the *orbit sums*, or *monomial symmetric functions*, by

$$m_\lambda = \sum_{\gamma \in W\lambda} x^\gamma, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in P^+,$$

where  $W\lambda$  is the orbit of  $\lambda$  under the action of  $W$ . Then

$$\{m_\lambda \mid \lambda \in P^+\} \quad \text{is a } \mathbb{Z}\text{-basis of } \mathbb{Z}[P]^W. \quad (2.8)$$

**Theorem 2.9.**  $\mathbb{Z}[P]$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W$  of rank  $|W|$ .

*Proof.* ?????? ■

The set of *skew polynomials* is

$$\mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon = \{g \in \mathbb{Z}[P] \mid wg = \det(w)g \text{ for all } w \in W\}.$$

If  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X_n]_n^S$  and  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon$  then  $fg \in \mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon$  and so  $A_n$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W$ -module. Let  $\epsilon$  be the element of the group algebra of  $W$  given by

$$\epsilon = \sum_{w \in W} \det(w)w, \quad (2.10)$$

and define

$$a_\mu = \epsilon(x^\mu) = \sum_{w \in W} \det(w)wx^\mu, \quad \text{for } \mu \in P. \quad (2.11)$$

Then

$$a_\mu = \det(w)a_{w\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad a_\mu = 0, \quad \text{if } \langle \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0 \text{ for some } \alpha \in R^+. \quad (2.12)$$

Using that  $\{x^\lambda \mid \lambda \in P\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[P]$  it follows that

$$\{a_\mu \mid \mu \in P^{++}\} = \{a_{\lambda+\rho} \mid \lambda \in P^+\} \quad \text{is a } \mathbb{Z}\text{-basis of } \mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon. \quad (2.13)$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon = \epsilon \cdot \mathbb{Z}[P]. \quad (2.14)$$

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon$  and let  $\alpha \in R^+$ . If  $f_\gamma$  is the coefficient of  $x^\gamma$  in  $f$  then

$$\sum_{\gamma \in P} f_\gamma x^\gamma = f = -s_\alpha f = \sum_{\gamma \in P} -f_\gamma x^{s_\alpha \gamma}, \quad \text{and so} \quad f = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in P \\ \langle \gamma, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0}} f_\gamma (x^\gamma - x^{s_\alpha \gamma}),$$

since  $f_{s_\alpha \gamma} = -f_\gamma$ . Since each term  $x^\gamma - x^{s_\alpha \gamma}$  is divisible by  $1 - x^{-\alpha}$ ,  $f$  is divisible by  $1 - x^{-\alpha}$ , and thus

$$\text{each } f \in \mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon \text{ is divisible by } x^\rho \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} (1 - x^{-\alpha}) = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} (x^{\alpha/2} - x^{-\alpha/2}). \quad (2.15)$$

since the polynomials  $1 - x^{-\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in R^+$  are coprime in  $\mathbb{Z}[P]$  (and  $x^\rho$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ ). Comparing coefficients of the maximal terms in  $a_\rho$  and  $x^\rho \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} (1 - x^{-\alpha})$  shows that the *Weyl denominator*,

$$a_\rho = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} (x^{\alpha/2} - x^{-\alpha/2}) = x^\rho \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} (1 - x^{-\alpha}). \quad (2.16)$$

Since each  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon$  is divisible by  $a_\rho$  the inverse of the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[P]^W & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon \\ f & \longmapsto & a_\rho f \end{array} \quad (2.17)$$

is well defined and, thus, is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W$ -modules.

The *Schur functions* or *Weyl characters* are

$$s_\lambda = \frac{a_{\lambda+\rho}}{a_\rho}, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in P. \quad (2.18)$$

Since  $\{a_{\lambda+\rho} \mid \lambda \in P^+\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[P]^\epsilon$  and the map in (2.17) is an isomorphism,

$$\{s_\lambda \mid \lambda \in P^+\} \quad \text{is a basis of } \mathbb{Z}[P]^W. \quad (2.19)$$

The *dot action* of  $S_n$  on  $P$  is given by

$$w \circ \mu = w(\mu + \rho) - \rho, \quad \text{for } w \in S_n, \mu \in P. \quad (2.20)$$

The first relation in (2.19) and the definition of  $s_\mu$  imply that

$$s_{w \circ \mu} = \det(w)s_\mu, \quad \text{for } \mu \in P, w \in W. \quad (2.21)$$

**Lemma 2.22.** Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[P]^W$  and write  $f = \sum_{\gamma} f_{\gamma} x^{\gamma}$  so that  $f_{\gamma}$  is the coefficient of  $x^{\gamma}$  in  $f$ .

Then

$$f = \sum_{\mu \in P^+} f_{\mu} m_{\mu} = \sum_{\lambda \in P^+} \eta^{\lambda} s_{\lambda}, \quad \text{where} \quad \eta^{\lambda} = \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) f_{\lambda + \rho - w\rho}.$$

*Proof.* The first equality is immediate from the definition of  $m_{\mu}$ . Since  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[P]^W$ ,  $f\epsilon(x^{\rho}) = \epsilon(fx^{\rho})$  and  $f_{\mu} = f_{w^{-1}\mu}$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \frac{1}{a_{\rho}} f a_{\rho} = \frac{1}{a_{\rho}} f \epsilon(x^{\rho}) = \frac{1}{a_{\rho}} \epsilon(fx^{\rho}) = \sum_{\gamma \in P} f_{\gamma} \frac{\epsilon(x^{\gamma+\rho})}{a_{\rho}} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in P} f_{\gamma} s_{\gamma} = \sum_{\lambda \in P^+} \sum_{w \in W} f_{w\circ\lambda} s_{w\circ\lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \in P^+} s_{\lambda} \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) f_{w\circ\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in P^+} s_{\lambda} \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) f_{w^{-1}(w\circ\lambda)} = \sum_{\lambda \in P^+} s_{\lambda} \sum_{w \in W} \det(w)^{-1} f_{\lambda + \rho - w\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

which establishes the second equality. ■

Define positive integers  $p(\gamma)$  by

$$\prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \frac{1}{1 - x^{-\alpha}} = \sum_{\gamma \in Q^+} p(\gamma) x^{-\gamma}. \quad (2.23)$$

**Corollary 2.24.** Let  $K_{\lambda\mu}$  be the integers defined by

$$s_{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu \in P^+} K_{\lambda\mu} m_{\mu}, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in P^+. \quad (2.25)$$

Then  $K_{\lambda\lambda} = 1$  and  $K_{\lambda\mu} = 0$  unless  $\mu \leq \lambda$ , and

$$K_{\lambda\mu} = \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) p(w(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + rho)).$$

*Proof.* If  $w \neq 1$  then  $w(\lambda + \rho) < \lambda + \rho$  so that  $w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho < \lambda$  and

$$s_{\lambda} = \left( \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{1 - x^{-\alpha}} = x^{\lambda} + ((\text{lower terms in dominance order})).$$

Thus  $K_{\lambda\lambda} = 1$  and  $K_{\lambda\mu} = 0$  unless  $\mu \leq \lambda$ . The coefficient of  $x^{\mu}$  in

$$s_{\lambda} = \left( \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) x^{w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho} \right) \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \frac{1}{1 - x^{-\alpha}} = \sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ \gamma \in Q^+}} \det(w) p(\gamma) x^{w(\lambda+\rho)-\gamma-\rho},$$

has a contribution only when  $w(\lambda + \rho) - \gamma - \rho = \mu$  so that  $\gamma = w(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)$ . Thus

$$K_{\lambda\mu} = \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) p(w(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)).$$

■

Define integers  $c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$  by

$$s_\mu s_\nu = \sum_{\lambda \in P^+} c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda s_\lambda, \quad \text{for } \mu, \nu \in P^+.$$

Then  $c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$  is the coefficient of  $s_\lambda$  in ????????

### 3. Other examples

*Example.* The  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$  case.

Let  $W = WC_n$  be the group of  $n \times n$  matrices with

- (a) exactly one nonzero entry in each row and each column,
- (b) the nonzero entries are  $\pm 1$ .

Then  $W = WC_n = O_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , the group of orthogonal matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^n = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  given by  $\varepsilon_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , with the 1 in the  $i$ th entry, so that

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}\text{-span}\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}, \\ \text{and let } P^+ &= \{\lambda = \lambda_1\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_n\varepsilon_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0\}, \\ \text{and } P^{++} &= \{\lambda = \lambda_1\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_n\varepsilon_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_n > 0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Then  $P^+$  is a set of representatives of the orbits of the action of the natural action of  $W$  on  $P$ . There is a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} P^+ &\longrightarrow P^{++} \\ \lambda &\longmapsto \rho + \lambda \end{aligned} \quad \text{where } \rho = n\varepsilon_1 + (n-1)\varepsilon_2 + \dots + 2\varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n. \tag{3.2}$$

Let

$$\mathbb{Z}[P] = \mathbb{Z}\text{-span}\{x^\lambda \mid \lambda \in P\} \quad \text{with } x^\lambda x^\mu = x^{\lambda+\mu}, \quad \text{for } \lambda, \mu \in P. \tag{3.3}$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$  write

$$x_i = x^{\varepsilon_i} \quad \text{so that} \quad x^\lambda = x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n} \quad \text{for } \lambda = \lambda_1\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_n\varepsilon_n,$$

and  $\mathbb{Z}[P] = \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ .

*Example.* The  $\text{Spin}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  case.

Let  $W = WB_n = WC_n$ , where  $WC_n = O_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_n), \\ \omega_i &= \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{i+1} + \dots + \varepsilon_n, \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= \{\lambda = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n \varepsilon_n \mid \text{all } \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ or all } \lambda_i \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}\}, \\
P^+ &= \{\lambda = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n \varepsilon_n \in P \mid 0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n\}, \\
P^{++} &= \{\lambda = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n \varepsilon_n \in P \mid 0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n\}, \\
\rho &= \varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2 + \cdots + n\varepsilon_n - \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

*Example.* The  $\text{Spin}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$  case.

Let  $W = WD_n$  be the group of  $n \times n$  matrices with

- (a) exactly one nonzero entry in each row and each column,
- (b) the nonzero entries are  $\pm 1$ , and
- (c) there are an even number of  $-1$  entries.

Then  $WD_n$  is a normal subgroup of index 2 in  $WC_n = O_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(-\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n), \\
\omega_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n), \\
\omega_i &= \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{i+1} + \cdots + \varepsilon_n, \quad \text{for } i > 2,
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= \{\lambda = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n \varepsilon_n \mid \lambda_1 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \text{ and, for } i > 1, \text{ all } \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ or all } \lambda_i \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}\}, \\
P^+ &= \{\lambda = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n \varepsilon_n \in P \mid |\lambda_1| \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n\}, \\
P^{++} &= \{\lambda = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n \varepsilon_n \in P \mid |\lambda_1| < \cdots < \lambda_n\}, \\
\rho &= \varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2 + \cdots + n\varepsilon_n - (\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

*Example.* The  $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -case

Let  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  be the  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$  given by  $\varepsilon_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , with the 1 in the  $i$ th entry. The symmetric group  $S_n$  acts on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by permuting the coordinates and, by restriction,  $S_n$  acts on

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* = \{\gamma = \gamma_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \gamma_n \varepsilon_n \mid \gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_n = 0\}.$$

Let

$$\omega_n = \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n.$$

Then  $S_n$  acts also on the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  given by

$$P = \{\lambda = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n \varepsilon_n - \frac{|\lambda|}{n} \omega_n \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\},$$

which has  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}\}$  where

$$\omega_i = \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_i - \frac{1}{n}(\omega_n), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1. \tag{3.6}$$



Then

$$\begin{aligned} P^+ &= \{\lambda \in P \mid \lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n\}, \\ P^{++} &= \{\lambda \in P \mid \lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_n\}, \\ \rho &= (n-1)\varepsilon_1 + (n-2)\varepsilon_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{n-1} - \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)\omega_n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Let

$$\mathbb{Z}[P] = \mathbb{Z}\text{-span}\{X^\lambda \mid \lambda \in P\} \quad \text{with} \quad X^\lambda X^\mu = X^{\lambda+\mu}, \quad \text{for } \lambda, \mu \in P. \quad (3.8)$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$  write

$$x_i = X^{\varepsilon_i - \frac{1}{n}\omega_n} \quad \text{so that} \quad X^\lambda = x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n} \quad \text{for } \lambda = \lambda_1\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n\varepsilon_n - \frac{|\lambda|}{n}\omega_n \in P.$$

Then  $\mathbb{Z}[P]$  is the quotient of the Laurent polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$  by the ideal generated by the element  $x_1 \cdots x_n - 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{Z}[P] = \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]}{\langle x_1 \cdots x_n - 1 \rangle}.$$

The action of  $S_n$  on  $P$  induces an action of  $S_n$  on  $\mathbb{Z}[P]$  given by

$$wx_i = x_{w(i)}, \quad \text{for } w \in S_n \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad (3.9)$$

and the ring of *symmetric functions* is

$$\mathbb{Z}[P]^{S_n} = \{f \in \mathbb{Z}[P] \mid wf = f \text{ for all } w \in S_n\}, \quad (3.10)$$

*Example:* Type  $A_2$ .

*Example:* Type  $B_2$ .

*Example:* Type  $C_2$ ,

*Example:* Type  $G_2$ .

## NOTES AND REFERENCES

- [Mac] I.G. MACDONALD, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Second edition, Oxford University Press, 1995.