Symmetric functions Lecture Notes

Arun Ram\* Department of Mathematics University of Wisconsin-Madison Madison, WI 53706 ram@math.wisc.edu Version: January 29, 2004

### 1. Symmetric functions

#### Partitions

A partition is a collection  $\mu$  of boxes in a corner where the convention is that gravity goes up and to the left. As for matrices, the rows and columns of  $\mu$  are indexed from top to bottom and left to right, respectively.

The <i>parts</i> of $\mu$ are	$\mu_i = (\text{the number of boxes in row } i \text{ of } \mu),$	
the <i>length</i> of $\mu$ is	$\ell(\mu) = (\text{the number of rows of } \mu),$	(1.1)
the <i>size</i> of $\mu$ is	$ \mu  = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_{\ell(\mu)} = (\text{the number of boxes of } \mu).$	

.

Then  $\mu$  is determined by (and identified with) the sequence  $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_\ell)$  of positive integers such that  $\mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \ge \cdots \ge \mu_\ell > 0$ , where  $\ell = \ell(\mu)$ . For example,

$$(5,5,3,3,1,1) =$$

A partition of k is a partition  $\lambda$  with k boxes. Write  $\lambda \vdash k$  if  $\lambda$  is a partition of k. Make the convention that  $\lambda_i = 0$  if  $i > \ell(\lambda)$ . The dominance order is the partial order on the set of partitions of k,

$$P^+(k) = \{ \text{partitions of } k \} = \{ \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \mid \lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_\ell > 0, \ \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\ell = k \},\$$

given by

 $\lambda \ge \mu$  if  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_i \ge \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_i$  for all  $1 \le i \le \max\{\ell(\lambda), \ell(\mu)\}$ .

# PUT THE PICTURE OF THE HASSE DIAGRAM FOR k = 6 HERE.

#### Tableaux

<sup>\*</sup> Research supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS-??????.

### A. RAM

Let  $\lambda$  be a partition and let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  be a sequence of nonnegative integers. A column strict tableau of shape  $\lambda$  and weight  $\mu$  is a filling of the boxes of  $\lambda$  with  $\mu_1$  1s,  $\mu_2$  2s, ...,  $\mu_n$  ns, such that

- (a) the rows are weakly increasing from left to right,
- (b) the columns are strictly increasing from top to bottom.

If p is a column strict tableau write shp(p) and wt(p) for the shape and the weight of p so that

 $shp(p) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n),$  where  $\lambda_i =$ number of boxes in row *i* of *p*, and  $wt(p) = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n),$  where  $\mu_i =$  number of *i* s in *p*.

For example,

$$p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 6 & 7 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{bmatrix}$$
 has  $shp(p) = (9, 7, 7, 4, 2, 1, 0)$  and  $wt(p) = (7, 6, 5, 5, 3, 2, 2).$ 

For a partition  $\lambda$  and a sequence  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  of nonnegative integers write

$$B(\lambda) = \{ \text{column strict tableaux } p \mid \text{shp}(p) = \lambda \},$$
  

$$B(\lambda)_{\mu} = \{ \text{column strict tableaux } p \mid \text{shp}(p) = \lambda \text{ and wt}(p) = \mu \},$$
(1.2)

# Symmetric functions

The symmetric group  $S_n$  acts on the vector space

$$\mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}$$
-span $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  by  $wx_i = x_{w(i)},$ 

for  $w \in S_n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . This action induces an action of  $S_n$  on the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[X_n] = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  by ring automorphisms. For a sequence  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$  of nonnegative integers let

$$x^{\gamma} = x_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots x_n^{\gamma_n}, \quad \text{so that} \quad \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \mathbb{Z}\text{-span}\{x^{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n\}.$$

The ring of symmetric functions is

$$\mathbb{Z}[X_n]^{S_n} = \{ f \in \mathbb{Z}[X_n] \mid wf = f \text{ for all } w \in S_n \},$$
(1.3)

Define the orbit sums, or monomial symmetric functions, by

$$m_{\lambda} = \sum_{\gamma \in S_n \lambda} x^{\gamma}, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0},$$

where  $S_n \lambda$  is the orbit of  $\lambda$  under the action of  $S_n$ . Let

$$P^{+} = \{\lambda = (\lambda_{1}, \dots, \lambda_{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n} \mid \lambda_{1} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n}\}$$
(1.4)

so that

$$\{m_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in P^+\}$$
 is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[X_n]^{S_n}$ . (1.5)

### Interpolating symmetric functions

Define  $q_r(X_n; q, t) = q_r(x_1, \ldots, x_n; q, t)$  by the generating function

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1 - x_i tz}{1 - x_i qz} = (q - t) \sum_{r \ge 0} q_r(x_1, \dots, x_n; q, t) z^r.$$

Note that with this definition  $q_0 = 1/(q - t)$ . Define the elementary symmetric functions, the complete symmetric functions and the power symmetric functions by the formulas

$$(-t)^{r-1}e_r(X_n) = q_r(X_n; 0, t),$$

$$q^{r-1}h_r(X_n) = q_r(X_n; q, 0), \text{ and } (1.6)$$

$$q^{r-1}p_r(X_n) = q_r(X_n; q, q), \text{ respectively.}$$

The elementary symmetric functions have special importance because of the following ways in which they appear naturally.

(1) If f(t) is a polynomial in t with roots  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$  then

the coefficient of 
$$t^r$$
 in  $f(t)$  is  $(-1)^{n-r}e_r(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ . (1.7)

(2) If A is an  $n \times n$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{F}$  with eigenvalues  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$  then the trace of the action of A on the  $r^{\text{th}}$  exterior power of the vector space  $\mathbb{F}^n$  is

$$\operatorname{tr}(A, \bigwedge^{r} \mathbb{F}^{n}) = e_{r}(\gamma_{1}, \dots, \gamma_{n}), \quad \text{so that}$$
  
$$\operatorname{Tr}(A) = e_{1}(\gamma_{1}, \dots, \gamma_{n}), \quad \text{and} \quad \det(A) = e_{n}(\gamma_{1}, \dots, \gamma_{n}),$$
(1.8)

and the characteristic polynomial of A is

char<sub>t</sub>(A) = 
$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-r} e_{n-r}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) t^r$$
. (1.9)

Expanding 
$$\frac{1-x_itz}{1-x_iqz} = 1 + (q-t)\sum_{\ell>0} q^{\ell-1}x_i^{\ell}z^{\ell}$$
 and multiplying out

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1 - x_i tz}{1 - x_i qz} = \frac{1 - x_1 tz}{1 - x_1 qz} \cdots \frac{1 - x_n tz}{1 - x_n qz}$$

gives

$$q_r = \sum_{1 \le i_1 \le \dots \le i_r \le n} (q-t)^{\operatorname{Card}(\{j \mid i_j < i_{j+1}\})} q^{\operatorname{Card}(\{j \mid i_j = i_{j+1}\})} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r}.$$
(1.10)

from which it follows that

$$q_r = \sum_{\lambda \vdash r} (q-t)^{\ell(\lambda)-1} q^{r-\ell(\lambda)} m_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

For an  $n \times n$  matrix  $a = (a_{ij})$  with entries from  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  let

$$x^{a} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i})^{a_{ij}},$$
 and  $\operatorname{wt}(a) = q^{|\lambda| - \ell(a)} (q - t)^{\ell(a) - \ell(\lambda)},$ 

where  $\ell(a)$  is the number of nonzero entries in a,  $\ell(\lambda)$  is the number of nonzero entries in  $\lambda$ , and  $|\lambda|$  is the sum of the entries of  $\lambda$ . Define

$$rs(a) = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n),$$
  

$$cs(a) = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n),$$
 where  $\rho_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_{ij}$  and  $\gamma_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij},$ 

so that rs(a) and cs(a) are the sequences of row sums and column sums of a, respectively.

**Proposition 1.11.** For a sequence of nonnegative integers  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$  define

$$q_{\lambda}(X_n;q,t) = q_{\lambda_1}(X_n;q,t) \cdot q_{\lambda_2}(X_n;q,t) \cdots q_{\lambda_\ell}(X_n;q,t).$$

Then

$$q_{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu}(q,t) m_{\mu} , \quad \text{where} \quad a_{\lambda\mu}(q,t) = \sum_{a \in A_{\lambda\mu}} \operatorname{wt}(a) .$$

and the sum is over partitions  $\mu$  such that  $|\mu = |\lambda|$ .

*Proof.* If  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$  then

$$q_{\lambda} = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} q_{\lambda_j} = \sum_{rs(a)=\lambda} \operatorname{wt}(a) x^a = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}} \sum_{rs(a)=\lambda \atop cs(a)=\gamma} \operatorname{wt}(a) x^{\gamma} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu}(q,t) m_{\mu}.$$

Multiplying out

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1 - x_i tz}{1 - x_i qz} = \frac{1}{1 - x_1 qz} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - x_2 qz} \cdots \frac{1}{1 - x_n qz} (1 - x_n tz) (1 - x_{n-1} tz) \cdots (1 - x_1 tz)$$

gives

$$q_r = \sum_{i_1 \le i_2 \le \dots \le i_k > i_{k+1} > \dots > i_r} q^{k-1} (-t)^{r-k} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} x_{i_{k+1}} \cdots x_{i_r}.$$
 (1.12)

The bijection ???? between sequences  $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_k > i_{k+1} > \cdots > i_r$  and column strict tableaux of shape  $(k1^{r-k})$  yields

$$q_r = \sum_{k=1}^r (-t)^{r-k} q^{k-1} s_{(k1^{r-k})}(X_n).$$
(1.13)

For each positive integer k define

$$[k]_{q,t} = \frac{q^k - t^k}{q - t} = q^{k-1} + tq^{k-2} + \dots + t^{k-2}q + t^{k-1}.$$

Comparing coefficients of  $z^r$  on each side of

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1-x_i sz}{1-x_i tz}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1-x_i tz}{1-x_i qz}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1-x_i sz}{1-x_i qz}$$

gives

$$(t-s)q_r(X_n;t,s) + (q-t)(t-s)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} q_j(X_n;q,t)q_{r-j}(X_n;t,s)\right) + (q-t)q_r(X_n;q,t) = (q-s)q_r(X_n;q,s).$$
(1.14)

*Example.* Putting s = 0, q = 0 and t = 0 in (???) yield, respectively,

$$q_r(X_n;q,t) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} h_j(X_n) t^j q_{r-j}(X_n;q,t)\right) - h_r(X_n)[r]_{q,t} = 0$$
  
$$q_r(X_n;q,t) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} e_j(X_n)(-q)^j q_{r-j}(X_n;q,t)\right) + (-1)^r e_r(X_n)[r]_{q,t} = 0$$
  
$$\sum_{j=0}^r (-t)^{r-j} [j]_{q,t} h_j(X_n) e_{r-j}(X_n) = (q-t)q_r(X_n;q,t).$$

Then putting????? (???)gives

$$rq_r(X_n;q,t) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} p_j(X_n)(q^j - t^j)q_{r-j}(X_n;q,t)\right) - p_r(X_n)[r]_{q,t} = 0.$$

and the Newton identities

$$kh_k = \sum_{i=1} p_i h_{k-i}$$
 and  $ke_k = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} p_i e_{k-i}$ ,

are obtained by putting??? in (???).

**Proposition 1.15.** Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$  be a partition. Then

(a) 
$$e_{\lambda'} = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} a_{\lambda'\mu} m_{\mu},$$

where  $a_{\lambda\mu}$  is the number of matrices with entries from  $\{0,1\}$  with row sums  $\lambda'$  and column sums  $\mu$ .

- (b)  $a_{\lambda'\lambda} = 1$  and  $a_{\lambda'\mu} = 0$  unless  $\mu \leq \lambda$ .
- (c)  $\{e_{\lambda} \mid \ell(\lambda') \leq n\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[X_n]^{S_n}$ .
- (d)  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]^{S_n} = \mathbb{Z}[e_1,\ldots,e_n] = \mathbb{Z}[h_1,\ldots,h_n]$  and  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]^{S_n} = \mathbb{Q}[p_1,\ldots,p_n].$ (e) The set  $\{h_{\lambda} \mid \ell(\lambda') \leq n\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[X_n]^{S_n}$ .

*Proof.* (a) follows by putting q = 0 in (???).

(b) Since there is a unique matrix A with  $rs(A) = \lambda'$  and  $cs(A) = \lambda$ ,  $a_{\lambda'\lambda} = 1$ . If A is a 0,1 matrix with  $rs(A) = \lambda'$  and  $cs(A) = \mu$  then  $\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_i \leq \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_i$  since there are at most  $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_i$  nonzero entries in the first i columns of A. Thus  $a_{\lambda'\mu} = 0$  unless  $\mu \leq \lambda$ .

(c) is a consequence of (b) and the fact that  $\{m_{\lambda} \mid \ell(\lambda) \leq n\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[X_n]^{S_n}$ .

(d) The first equality is an immediate consequence of (c). The second equality follows from the identity (???), which allows one to, inductively, expand  $h_r$  in terms of  $e_r, e_{r-1}, \ldots, e_1$ . Similarly, the third equality follows from the Newton identity (???) which allows one to, inductively, expand  $p_r$  in terms of  $e_r, e_{r-1}, \ldots, e_1$  (with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

#### Proposition 1.16.

(a) There is an involutive automorphism  $\omega$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[X_n]^{S_n}$  defined by

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \omega \colon & \mathbb{Z}[X_n]^{S_n} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[X_n]^{S_n} \\ & e_k & \longmapsto & h_k \end{array}$$

(b)  $\omega(q_r(X_n;q,t)) = q_r(X_n;-t,-q)$  and  $\omega(p_k) = (-1)^{k-1}p_k$ .

*Proof.* The map  $\omega$  is a well defined ring homomorphism since  $\mathbb{Z}[X_n]^{S_n} = \mathbb{Z}[e_1, \ldots, e_n]$  is a polynomial ring. Comparing coefficients of  $z^k$  on each side of

$$1 = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i z)\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 - x_i z}\right) \quad \text{yields} \quad 0 = \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^r e_r h_{n-r}$$

Thus  $e_1 = h_1$ , and

$$h_k = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)e_i h_{k-i} \quad \text{and} \quad e_k = (-1)^{-k} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)e_i h_{k-i} = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{-j-1}e_{k-j} h_j. \quad (1.17)$$

From the first of these relations, by induction on k,

$$\omega(h_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} h_i e_{k-i}$$

and, by comparing this identity with the second relation in (???) shows that  $\omega(h_k) = e_k$ . Hence  $\omega^2 = id$ .

(b) ????

For a partition  $\lambda = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \cdots)$  of k define

$$z_{\lambda} = 1^{m_1} m_1 ! 2^{m_2} m_2 ! \cdots \qquad \text{so that} \qquad \frac{n!}{z_{\lambda}} = \operatorname{Card}(\{w \in S_k \mid w \text{ has cycle type } \lambda\}) \qquad (1.18)$$

is the size of the conjugacy class indexed by  $\lambda$  in the symmetric group  $S_k$ . Recalling that

$$\ln(1 - x_i y_j) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{x_i^k y_j^k}{k} \quad \text{since} \quad \ln(1 - t) = \int \frac{1}{1 - t} dt = \int (1 + t + t^2 + \cdots) dt,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} &= \exp \ln \left( \prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} \right) = \exp \left( \sum_{i,j} \ln(1 - x_i y_j) \right) = \exp \left( \sum_k \sum_{i,j} \frac{x_i^k y_j^k}{k} \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \sum_k \frac{p_k(x) p_k(y)}{k} \right) = \prod_k \exp \left( \frac{p_k(x) p_k(y)}{k} \right) = \prod_k \sum_{m_k \ge 0} \left( \frac{p_k^{m_k}(x) p_k^{m_k}(y)}{k^{m_k} m_k!} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots} \left( \frac{p_1^{m_1}(x) p_2^{m_2}(x) \cdots p_1^{m_1}(y) p_2^{m_2}(y) \cdots}{1^{m_1} m_1! 2^{m_2} m_2! \cdots} \right) = \sum_\lambda \frac{p_\lambda(x) p_\lambda(y)}{z_\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

# Notes and References

[Mac] I.G. MACDONALD, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, Second edition, Oxford University Press, 1995.