Comparing formulas for type GL_n Macdonald polynomials Supplement

email: guwg@student.unimelb.edu.au Weiving Guo Arun Ram email: aram@unimelb.edu.au

Dedicated to Hélène Barcelo

Abstract

This paper is a supplement to [GR21], containing examples, remarks and additional material that could be useful to researchers working with Type GL_n Macdonald polynomials. In the course of our comparison of the alcove walk formula and the nonattacking fillings formulas for type GL_n Macdonald polynomials we did many examples and significant analysis of the literature. In the preparation of [GR21] it seemed sensible to produce a document with focus and this material was removed. This is paper resurrects and organizes that material, in hopes that others may also find it useful.

Key words — Macdonald polynomials, affine Hecke algebras, tableaux

Contents

0

0	Intr	oducti	on	3
1	Syn	nmetri	zation, H decomposition of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ and KZ-families	4
	1.1	The H	\mathbb{C} -modules $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\lambda}$	4
	1.2	Symm	etrization of E_{μ} for $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$	5
	1.3	The K	Z-family basis of $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\lambda}$	6
		1.3.1	Examples of the elements E_{μ} and f_{μ} in $\mathbb{C}[X]^{(2,1,0)}$.	8
		1.3.2	$P_{(2,1,0)}$ as a symmetrization of $E_{(2,1,0)}$	8
		1.3.3	Symmetrizations for μ with distinct parts when $n = 3$	9
		1.3.4	Examples of the gf_{μ} condition for a KZ-family	10
2	Box	æs, arr	ns, legs and counting terms	10
		2.0.1	Common terminology.	10
		2.0.2	Examples of box diagrams.	11
		2.0.3	Formulas for $\#Nleg_{\mu}(i,j)$ and $\#Narm_{\mu}(i,j)$	11
		2.0.4	Relating HHL arms and legs to Macdonald arms and legs	11
		2.0.5	Formulas for the number of alcove walks $\#AW^z_{\mu}$ and nonattacking fillings $\#NAF^z_{\mu}$	11
	2.1	The co	blumn strict tableaux formula for P_{λ}	12
		2.1.1	Comparing numbers of terms in formulas for P_{λ}	13

AMS Subject Classifications: Primary 05E05; Secondary 33D52.

3	Convertin	g fillings and alcove walks to paths and pipe dreams	14
	3.0.1	Hyperplanes and alcoves	14
	3.0.2	Bijection $W \leftrightarrow W \cdot \frac{1}{n} \rho \leftrightarrow \{\text{alcoves}\}$	14
	3.0.3	Reflections in W .	15
	3.0.4	Paths	15
	3.0.5	Paths corresponding to nonattacking fillings.	15
	3.0.6	Paths corresponding to alcove walks	15
	3.0.7	Pipe dreams corresponding to nonattacking fillings	16
	3.0.8	Alcove walks, nonattacking fillings and paths for $E_{(3,0)}$	17
	3.0.9	Alcove walks, nonattacking fillings and pipe dreams for $E_{(2,0.1)}$	18
	3.0.10	Alcove walks, nonattacking fillings and pipe dreams for $E_{(1,2,0)}$	19
4	Reduced v	words and inversions	20
	4.0.1	Examples of the inversion set $Inv(w)$.	20
	4.0.2	Relations in the affine Weyl group \dot{W}	20
	4.0.3	The "affine Weyl group" and the "extended affine Weyl group"	21
	4.0.4	The elements u_{μ} , v_{μ} , z_{μ} and t_{μ}	22
	4.0.5	Relating u_{μ} , v_{μ} , z_{μ} to u_{λ} , v_{λ} , z_{λ} .	22
	4.0.6	Inversions of t_{ε_1} , $t_{-\varepsilon_1}$ and t_{ε_2}	22
	4.0.7	The elements u_{μ} and v_{μ} for $\mu = (0, 4, 5, 1, 4) \dots $	23
	4.0.8	The box greedy reduced word for u_{μ}	24
	4.0.9	Inversions of u_{μ}	25
	4.0.10	The column-greedy reduced word for u_{μ}	25
5	The step-	by-step and box-by-box recursions	26
	5.0.1	Examples of the step-by-step recursion	26
	5.0.2	Examples of the box by box recursion	26
	5.0.3	An example of a 2^{j-1} to j term compression when $j = 3 \dots \dots \dots \dots$	27
	5.0.4	Check of the norm statistic in the step by step recursion	27
	5.0.5	Check of the statistic for $E_{\varepsilon_j}^z$ where $z(j) = j + k$	28
6	Type GL	DAArt, DAHA and the polynomial representation	28
Ŭ	601	Example to check the eigenvalues of Y_i on E_{ii}	28
	6.0.2	The elements X^{ω_r} .	30
	6.0.3	Type GL_2	30
=	A J J	1	01
1	Additiona	$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{r}} $	31 91
	7.0.1	For $m = 2$.	31 20
	7.0.2	Solice small L_{μ} for $n = 5$	- 32 - 29
	7.0.3	E_{λ} and I_{λ} when λ is a partition with 5 boxes	- 34 - 99
	1.0.4 7.0 K	Fractional polynomials L_{μ} and Γ_{μ} when μ is a single column	- 33 - 34
	7.0.0 7.0.6	E_{μ} for a single box	04 25
	7.0.0 $7.0.7$	The nonattacking fillings for E^z	36
	7 0 8	The nonattacking fillings for E_{ε_i} .	36
	7 0 9	The nonattacking fillings for $E_{2\varepsilon_i}$	37
	1.0.0	The homovershifts minipo for $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon_{j_1}+\varepsilon_{j_2}}$.	01

8	3 Queue tableaux											
	8.0.1	An instance of compression of NAFs – Motivation for Queue Tableaux	38									
	8.0.2	Queue tableaux	38									
	8.0.3	Multiline queues	38									
	8.0.4	Compression not captured by NAFs or QT	39									
	8.0.5	Comparing #NAF and #QT for $(r, 0,, 0)$ and $(r,, r, 0)$.	39									

0 Introduction

This paper is a supplement to [GR21], containing examples, remarks and additional material that could be useful to researchers working with Type GL_n Macdonald polynomials. In the course of our comparison of the alcove walk formula and the nonattacking fillings formulas for type GL_n Macdonald polynomials we did many examples and significant analysis of the literature. In the preparation of [GR21] it seemed sensible to produce a document with focus and this material was removed. This is paper resurrects and organizes that material, in hopes that others may also find it useful.

- 1. The material in Section 1: Several colleagues have asked us questions about permuted basement Macdonald polynomials and KZ-families (the permuted basement Macdonald polynomials are called relative Macdonald polynomials in this paper). These questions are helpfully considered in the context of the results of the two paragraphs following equation (6.6) in Macdonald's Séminaire Bourbaki article [Mac95] and Sections 5.4 and 5.5 of Macdonald's followup book [Mac03] treating the fully general case. In hopes of making these results more accessible, in Section 1 we have recast these completely in the type GL_n and included their proofs (which are not difficult). These results are the *H*-decomposition in Section 1.1, symmetrization statement in Proposition 1.1, and the KZ-family characterization in Proposition 1.2. We hope that these type GL_n specific expositions of these results can be helpful to the community.
- 2. The material in Section 2: This section has a focus on counting the number of alcove walks and the number of nonattacking fillings, in order to compare the number of terms that appear in alcove walks formula and the nonattacking fillings formula for Macdonald polynomials. Some explicit formulas for these counts, which may not have been widely noticed before, are included.
- 3. The material in Section 3: This section explains how to recast the alcove walks and nonattacking fillings into path form and pipe dream form. Pictures are provided.
- 4,5,6. The material in Sections 4, 5 and 6: These sections provide explicit examples of the main results of [GR21]: the inversions and the box-greedy reduced word for u_{μ} proved in [GR21, Proposition 2.2], the step-by-step and box-by-box recursions for computing Macdonald polynomials in [GR21, Proposition 4.1 and 4.3] and some specific examples to help support the exposition of the type GL_n double affine Hecke algebra (DAHA) given in [GR21, Section 5].
 - 7. The material in Section 7: In this final section we provide additional explicit expansions of Macdonald polynomials for special cases: n = 2, n = 3, a single column, partitions with 3 boxes, and explicit nonattacking fillings and their weights for E_{μ} where μ has less than 3 boxes.
 - 8. Section 8 contains some brief remarks about the queue tableaux and multiline queues which appear in [CMW18, Section 1.2 and Definition A.2].

A small warning: Even though they all have a Type A root system, type SL_n Macdonald polynomials, type PGL_n Macdonald polynomials and type GL_n Macdonald polynomials are all different

(though the relationship is well known and not difficult). We should stress that this paper is specific to the GL_n -case and some results of this paper do not hold for Type SL_n or type PGL_n unless properly modified.

We thank L. Williams and M. Wheeler for bringing our attention to [CMW18] and [BW19], both of which were important stimuli during our work. We are also very grateful for the encouragement, questions, and discussions from A. Hicks, S. Mason, O. Mandelshtam, Z. Daugherty, Y. Naqvi, S. Assaf, and especially A. Garsia and S. Corteel, which helped so much in getting going and keeping up the energy. We thank S. Billey, Z. Daugherty, C. Lenart and J. Saied for very useful specific comments for improving the exposition. A. Ram extends a very special and heartfelt thank you to P. Diaconis who has provided unfailing support and advice and honesty and encouragement.

1 Symmetrization, *H* decomposition of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ and KZ-families

Let $q, t^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Following the notation of [Mac, Ch. VI (3.1)], let T_{q^{-1},x_1} be the operator on $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1},\ldots,x_n^{\pm 1}]$ given by

$$T_{q^{-1},x_n}h(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = h(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},q^{-1}x_n).$$

The symmetric group S_n acts on $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ by permuting the the variables x_1, \ldots, x_n . Define operators T_1, \ldots, T_{n-1}, g and g^{\vee} on $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ by

$$T_{i} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(t - \frac{tx_{i} - x_{i+1}}{x_{i} - x_{i+1}} (1 - s_{i}) \right), \qquad g = s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{n-1} T_{q^{-1}, x_{n}}, \qquad g^{\vee} = x_{1} T_{1} \cdots T_{n-1}, \qquad (1.1)$$

where s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1} are the simple transpositions in S_n . The Cherednik-Dunkl operators are

$$Y_1 = gT_{n-1} \cdots T_1, \quad Y_2 = T_1^{-1} Y_1 T_1^{-1}, \quad Y_3 = T_2^{-1} Y_2 T_2^{-1}, \quad \dots, \quad Y_n = T_{n-1}^{-1} Y_{n-1} T_n^{-1}.$$
(1.2)

For $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomial E_{μ} is the (unique) element $E_{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ such that

$$Y_i E_{\mu} = q^{-\mu_i} t^{-(\nu_{\mu}(i)-1) + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)} E_{\mu}, \quad \text{and the coefficient of } x_1^{\mu_1} \cdots x_n^{\mu_n} \text{ in } E_{\mu} \text{ is } 1, \quad (1.3)$$

where $v_{\mu} \in S_n$ is the minimal length permutation such that $v_{\mu}\mu$ is weakly increasing. Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ and let $z \in S_n$.

The relative Macdonald polynomial
$$E^z_{\mu}$$
 is $E^z_{\mu} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\ell(zv_{\mu}^{-1}) - \ell(v_{\mu}^{-1}))}T_z E_{\mu}.$ (1.4)

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

The symmetric Macdonald polynomial
$$P_{\lambda}$$
 is $P_{\lambda} = \sum_{\nu \in S_n \lambda} t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z_{\nu})} T_{z_{\nu}} E_{\lambda},$ (1.5)

where the sum is over rearrangements ν of λ and $z_{\nu} \in S_n$ is minimal length such that $\nu = z_{\nu}\lambda$.

1.1 The *H*-modules $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\lambda}$

Let H be the algebra generated by the operators T_1, \ldots, T_{n-1} and Y_1, \ldots, Y_n (so that H is an affine Hecke algebra) and let

$$\tau_i^{\vee} = T_i + \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-t)}{1-Y_i^{-1}Y_{i+1}} \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

As H-modules

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}] = \bigoplus_{\lambda} \mathbb{C}[X]^{\lambda} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbb{C}[X]^{\lambda} = \operatorname{span}\{E_{\mu} \mid \mu \in S_n\lambda\}.$$

and the direct sum is over decreasing $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. A description of the action of H on $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\lambda}$ is given by the following. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and, with notations as in (1.3), let

$$a_{\mu} = q^{\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}} t^{v_{\mu}(i) - v_{\mu}(i+1)},$$

$$a_{s_i\mu} = q^{\mu_{i+1} - \mu_i} t^{v_{\mu}(i+1) - v_{\mu}(i)},$$
 and
$$D_{\mu} = \frac{(1 - ta_{\mu})(1 - ta_{s_i\mu})}{(1 - a_{\mu})(1 - a_{s_i\mu})}.$$

Assume that $\mu_i > \mu_{i+1}$. By using the identity $E_{s_i\nu} = t^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau_i^{\vee}E_{\nu}$ if $\nu_{i+1} > \nu_i$ from [GR21, (3.5)], the eigenvalue from (1.3) and [GR21, Proposition 5.5 (5.23)], it is straightforward to compute that

$$Y_{i}^{-1}Y_{i+1}E_{\mu} = a_{\mu}E_{\mu}, \qquad t^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau_{i}^{\vee}E_{\mu} = E_{s_{i}\mu}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i}E_{\mu} = -\frac{1-t}{1-a_{\mu}}E_{\mu} + E_{s_{i}\mu}, \qquad t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i}^{\vee}E_{s_{i}\mu} = D_{\mu}E_{\mu}, \qquad t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i}E_{s_{i}\mu} = D_{\mu}E_{\mu} + \frac{1-t}{1-a_{s_{i}\mu}}E_{s_{i}\mu}.$$
(1.6)

Now assume that $\mu_i = \mu_{i+1}$. Then $v_{\mu}(i+1) = v_{\mu}(i) + 1$ and $a_{\mu} = t^{-1}$ so that

$$Y_i^{-1}Y_{i+1}E_{\mu} = t^{-1}E_{\mu}, \qquad (t^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau_i^{\vee})E_{\mu} = 0, \qquad \text{and} \qquad (t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_i)E_{\mu} = tE_{\mu}.$$
(1.7)

These formulas make explicit the action of H on $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\lambda}$ in the basis $\{E_{\mu} \mid \mu \in S_n \lambda\}$. The formulas in (1.6) are the type GL_n special cases of [Mac03, (5.4.3),(5.6.6)].

1.2 Symmetrization of E_{μ} for $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$

If $z \in S_n$ and

$$z = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$$
 is a reduced word, let $T_z = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_\ell}$

Let w_0 be the longest element of S_n so that

$$w_0(i) = n - i + 1$$
, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and $\ell(w_0) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \binom{n}{2}$

Following [Mac03, (5.5.7), (5.5.16), (5.5.17)], let

$$\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{0}} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}\ell(w_0)} \sum_{z \in S_n} t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z)} T_z, \quad \text{so that} \quad T_i \mathbf{1}_0 = \mathbf{1}_0 T_i = t^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_0 \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$\mathbf{1}_{0}^{2} = W_{0}(t)\mathbf{1}_{0}, \quad \text{where} \quad W_{0}(t) = \sum_{z \in S_{n}} t^{\ell(z)}$$
(1.9)

is the Poincaré polynomial for S_n .

For $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the symmetrization of E_{μ} is (see [Mac03, (5.7.1)] and [Mac95, Remarks after (6.8)])

$$F_{\mu} = \mathbf{1}_{0} E_{\mu} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}\ell(w_{0})} \sum_{z \in S_{n}} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\ell(z) - \ell(zv_{\mu}^{-1}) + \ell(v_{\mu}^{-1})} E_{\mu}^{z},$$
(1.10)

so that F_{μ} is a (weighted) sum of the relative Macdonald polynomials E_{μ}^{z} defined in (1.4)). The following Proposition shows that F_{μ} is always, up to an explicit constant factor, equal to the symmetric Macdonald polynomial P_{λ} (defined in (1.5)). Proposition 1.1 is the specialization of [Mac95, remarks after (6.8)] and [Mac03, (5.7.2)] to our setting. **Proposition 1.1.** Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ be the weakly decreasing rearrangement of μ and let $z_{\mu} \in S_n$ be minimal length such that $\mu = z_{\mu}\lambda$. Let

$$S_{\lambda} = \{ y \in S_n \mid y\lambda = \lambda \}$$
 and $W_{\lambda}(t) = \sum_{y \in S_{\lambda}} t^{\ell(y)}.$

Then

$$P_{\lambda} = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(w_0)}}{W_{\lambda}(t)} \Big(\prod_{(i,j)\in \text{Inv}(z_{\mu})} \frac{1-q^{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}t^{j-i}}{1-q^{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}t^{j-i+1}}\Big) F_{\mu}$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on $\ell(z_{\mu})$. The base case $z_{\mu} = 1$ has $\mu = \lambda$ and $v_{\lambda} = w_0 z_{\lambda}$ so that

$$F_{\lambda} = \mathbf{1}_{0}E_{\lambda} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}\ell(w_{0})} \Big(\sum_{u \in S_{n}/S_{\lambda}} \sum_{v \in S_{\lambda}} t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(x)+\ell(y)}T_{x}T_{y}\Big)E_{\lambda}$$
$$= t^{-\frac{1}{2}\ell(w_{0})} \Big(\sum_{u \in S_{n}/S_{\lambda}} t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(x)}T_{x}\Big)W_{\lambda}(t)E_{\lambda} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}\ell(w_{0})}W_{\lambda}(t)P_{\lambda},$$

where $T_y E_{\lambda} = t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(y)} E_y$ is a consequence of (1.7) and the last equality is (1.5). For the induction step, assume that μ is not weakly decreasing and let $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ be such that $\mu_i < \mu_{i+1}$. Then $z_{s_i\mu} = s_i z_{\mu}$ and $\ell(z_{s_i\mu}) = \ell(z_{\mu}) - 1$. Using $E_{\mu} = t^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau_i^{\vee} E_{s_i\mu}$ and $\mathbf{1}_0 T_i = \mathbf{1}_0 t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ from (1.6) and (1.7) gives

$$F_{\mu} = \mathbf{1}_{0}E_{\mu} = \mathbf{1}_{0}t^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau_{i_{1}}E_{s_{i}\mu} = \mathbf{1}_{0}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i} + \frac{1-t}{1-Y_{i}^{-1}Y_{i+1}}\right)E_{s_{i}\mu} = \mathbf{1}_{0}\left(t + \frac{1-t}{1-Y_{i}^{-1}Y_{i+1}}\right)E_{s_{i}\mu}$$
$$= \mathbf{1}_{0}\frac{1-tY_{i}^{-1}Y_{i+1}}{1-Y_{i}^{-1}Y_{i+1}}E_{s_{i}\mu} = \mathbf{1}_{0}\frac{1-tq^{\mu_{i+1}-\mu_{i}}t^{\nu_{\mu}(i+1)-\nu_{\mu}(i)}}{1-q^{\mu_{i+1}-\mu_{i}}t^{\nu_{\mu}(i+1)-\nu_{\mu}(i)}}E_{s_{i}\mu} = \frac{1-q^{\mu_{i+1}-\mu_{i}}t^{\nu_{\mu}(i+1)-\nu_{\mu}(i)+1}}{1-q^{\mu_{i+1}-\mu_{i}}t^{\nu_{\mu}(i+1)-\nu_{\mu}(i)}}E_{s_{i}\mu}$$

and the result follows by induction (see Section 1.3.3 for an example).

1.3 The KZ-family basis of $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\lambda}$

For $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, let $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n)$ be the decreasing rearrangement of μ and let $z_{\mu} \in S_n$ be minimal length such that $\mu = z_{\mu}\lambda$. Define

$$f_{\mu} = E_{\lambda}^{z_{\mu}} = t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z_{\mu})} T_{z_{\mu}} E_{\lambda}.$$
 (1.11)

It follows from the identities in the last column of (1.6) that

 $\{f_{\mu} \mid \mu \in S_n \lambda\}$ is another basis of $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\lambda}$.

The following Proposition says that the $\{f_{\mu} \mid \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ form a KZ-family, in the terminology of [KT06, Def. 3.3] (see also [CMW18, Def. 1.13], [CdGW15, (17), (18), (19)], [CdGW16, Def. 2]).

Proposition 1.2. Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$. Let $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and let T_i and g be as defined in (1.1). Then

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i}f_{\mu} = \begin{cases} f_{s_{i}\mu}, & \text{if } \mu_{i} > \mu_{i+1}, \\ tf_{\mu}, & \text{if } \mu_{i} = \mu_{i+1}, \end{cases} \quad and \quad gf_{\mu} = q^{-\mu_{n}}f_{(\mu_{n},\mu_{1},\dots,\mu_{n-1})}.$$

Proof. Assume $\mu_i > \mu_{i+1}$. Then $z_{s_i\mu} = s_i z_\mu$ and $\ell(z_{s_i\mu}) = \ell(z_\mu) + 1$ so that

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i}f_{\mu} = t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i}t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z_{\mu})}T_{z_{\mu}}E_{\lambda} = t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z_{s_{i}\mu})}T_{z_{s_{i}\mu}}E_{\lambda} = f_{s_{i}\mu}$$

Assume $\mu_i = \mu_{i+1}$. Then there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $s_j \lambda = \lambda$ and $s_i z_{\mu} = z_{\mu} s_j$ (so that $s_i \mu = s_i z_{\mu} \lambda = z_{\mu} s_j \lambda$). Then

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_i f_{\mu} = t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_i t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z_{\mu})} T_{z_{\mu}} E_{\lambda} = t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z_{\mu})} T_{z_{\mu}} t^{\frac{1}{2}} T_j E_{\lambda} = t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z_{\mu})} T_{z_{\mu}} t E_{\lambda} = t f_{\mu}.$$

(c) Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ and let *i* and *j* be such that λ_i is the first part of λ equal to μ_n and λ_j is the last part of λ equal to μ_n . Thus $\mu_n = \lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1} = \cdots = \lambda_j$. Write $z_{\mu} = zs_{n-1} \cdots s_j$ with $z \in S_{n-1}$ and let $c_n = s_1 \cdots s_{n-1}$. Then, using $v_{\lambda}(j) = 1 + (j-i) + n - j = n - i + 1$ from [GR21, Proposition 2.1(a)],

$$\begin{split} gf_{\mu} &= gt^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z_{\mu})}T_{z_{\mu}}E_{\lambda} = gt^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z)}T_{z}t^{\frac{1}{2}(n-j)}T_{n-1}\cdots T_{j}E_{\lambda} = t^{\frac{1}{2}(n-j)}gt^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z)}T_{z}g^{-1}gT_{n-1}\cdots T_{j}E_{\lambda} \\ &= t^{\frac{1}{2}(n-j)}(gt^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z)}T_{z}g^{-1})T_{1}\cdots T_{j-1}(T_{j-1}^{-1}\cdots T_{1}^{-1}gT_{n-1}\cdots T_{j})E_{\lambda} \\ &= t^{\frac{1}{2}(n-j)}(t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z)}T_{cnzc_{n}^{-1}})T_{1}\cdots T_{j-1}q^{-\lambda_{j}}t^{-(\nu_{\lambda}(j)-1)+\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}E_{\lambda} \\ &= t^{\frac{1}{2}(n-j)}(t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z)}T_{cnzc_{n}^{-1}})T_{1}\cdots T_{j-1}q^{-\lambda_{j}}t^{-(\nu_{\lambda}(j)-1)+\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}E_{\lambda} \\ &= q^{-\lambda_{j}}t^{\frac{1}{2}(n-j)-(n-i+1-1)+\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}(t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z)}T_{cnzc_{n}^{-1}})T_{1}\cdots T_{i-1}t^{\frac{1}{2}(j-i)}E_{\lambda} \\ &= q^{-\mu_{n}}t^{-\frac{1}{2}j+i-\frac{1}{2}}(t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z)}T_{cnzc_{n}^{-1}})T_{1}\cdots T_{i-1}E_{\lambda} = q^{-\mu_{n}}f_{(\lambda_{i},\mu_{1},\dots,\mu_{n-1})} = q^{-\mu_{n}}f_{(\mu_{n},\mu_{1},\dots,\mu_{n-1})}, \end{split}$$

where the next to last equality follows from $s_1 \cdots s_{i-1}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) = (\lambda_i, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ and $c_n z c_n^{-1}(\lambda_i, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n) = (\lambda_i, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{n-1}).$

1.3.1 Examples of the elements E_{μ} and f_{μ} in $\mathbb{C}[X]^{(2,1,0)}$.

$$\begin{split} E_{(2,1,0)} &= x_1^2 x_2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^2}\right) qx_1 x_2 x_3, \\ E_{(2,0,1)} &= x_1^2 x_3 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) x_1^2 x_2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) qx_1 x_2 x_3, \\ E_{(1,2,0)} &= x_1 x_2^2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) x_1 x_2^2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^2}\right) qx_1 x_2 x_3, \\ E_{(0,2,1)} &= x_2^2 x_3 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) x_1 x_2^2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t^2}\right) x_1^2 x_3 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t^2}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) x_1^2 x_2 \\ &\quad + \left(\left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t^2}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) q\right) x_1 x_2 x_3, \\ E_{(1,0,2)} &= x_1 x_3^2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) x_1^2 x_3 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t^2}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) q\right) x_1 x_2 x_3, \\ E_{(1,0,2)} &= x_1 x_3^2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) x_1^2 x_3 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t^2}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) q\right) x_1 x_2 x_3, \\ E_{(0,1,2)} &= x_2 x_3^2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) x_2^2 x_3 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) x_1 x_3^2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t^2}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) x_1^2 x_3 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t^2}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) qt x_1 x_2^2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t^2}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) x_1 x_2^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t^2}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) qt x_1 x_2^2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t^2}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) x_1 x_2^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t^2}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right)^2 qx_1 x_2 x_3 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t^2}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) x_1 x_2 x_3, \\ f_{(2,1,0)} &= E_{(2,1,0)} = x_1^2 x_2 + q \frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt^2)} x_1 x_2 x_3, \\ f_{(2,0,1)} &= t^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{s_1} E_{(2,1,0)} = x_1 x_2^2 + t^{-1} \frac{(1-t)qt^2}{(1-qt^2)} x_1 x_2 x_3, \\ f_{(2,0,1)} &= t^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{s_2} E_{(2,1,0)} = x_1 x_3^2 + t^{-1} \frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt^2)} x_1 x_2 x_3, \\ f_{(0,2,1)} &= t^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{s_1} T_{s_2} E_{(2,1,0)} = x_1 x_3^2 + \frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt^2)} x_1 x_2 x_3, \\ f_{(0,2,1)} &= t^{\frac{2}{2}} T_{s_1} T_{s_2} E_{(2,1,0)} = x_1 x_3^2 + \frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt^2)} x_1 x_2 x_3, \\ f_{(0,2,1)} &= t^{\frac{2}{2}} T_{s_1} T_{s_2} E_{(2,1,0)} = x_2 x_3 + \frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt^2)} x_1 x_2 x_3, \\ f_{(0,1,2)} &= t^{\frac{2}{3}} T_{s_1} T_{s_2} T_{s_1} E_{(2,1,0)} = x_2 x_3 + \frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt^2)} x_1 x_2 x_3, \\ f_{(0,1,2)} &= t^{\frac{2}{3}} T_{s_1} T_{s_2} T_{s_1} E_{(2,1,0)} = x_2 x_3 + \frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt^2)} x_1 x_2 x_3, \\ f_{(0,1,2)} &= t^{\frac{2}{3}} T_{s_1} T_{s_2} T_{s_1} E_{(2,1,0)} = x_2 x_3 + \frac{($$

1.3.2 $P_{(2,1,0)}$ as a symmetrization of $E_{(2,1,0)}$

When n = 3 then

$$W_0(t) = \sum_{w \in S_3} t^{\ell(w)} = 1 + t + t + t^2 + t^2 + t^3 = (1+t)(1+t+t^2) = \frac{(1-t^2)(1-t^3)}{(1-t)(1-t)}, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{1}_0 = t^{-\frac{3}{2}} + t^{-\frac{2}{2}}T_1 + t^{-\frac{2}{2}}T_2 + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_1T_2 + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_2T_1 + T_1T_2T_1.$$

Since $W_{(2,1,0)} = \{1\}$ then $W_{(2,1,0)}(t) = 1$ and

$$P_{(2,1,0)} = \frac{t^{\frac{3}{2}}}{W_{(2,1,0)}(t)} \mathbf{1}_0 E_{(2,1,0)} = t^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathbf{1}_0 t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \tau_\pi^{\vee} \tau_\pi^{\vee} \tau_1^{\vee} \tau_\pi^{\vee} \mathbf{1},$$

and, with $f_{(2,1,0)}, f_{(1,2,0)}, \dots, f_{(0,1,2)}$ as in Section 1.3.1,

$$\begin{split} P_{(2,1,0)} &= (1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_2 + t^{\frac{2}{2}}T_1T_2 + t^{\frac{2}{2}}T_2T_1 + t^{\frac{3}{2}}T_1T_2T_1)E_{(2,1,0)} \\ &= f_{(2,1,0)} + f_{(1,2,0)} + f_{(2,0,1)} + f_{(1,0,2)} + f_{(0,2,1)} + f_{(0,1,2)} \\ &= (x_1^2x_2 + q\frac{(1-t)}{1-qt^2})x_1x_2x_3) + (x_1x_2^2 + qt\frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt^2)}x_1x_2x_3) + (x_1^2x_3 + qt\frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt^2)}x_1x_2x_3) \\ &+ (x_1x_3^2 + \frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt^2)}x_1x_2x_3) + (x_2^2x_3 + \frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt^2)}x_1x_2x_3) + (x_2x_3^2 + t\frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt^2)}x_1x_2x_3) \\ &= x_1^2x_2 + x_1x_2^2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_3^2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_2x_3^2 + \left(\frac{(1-t^2)}{(1-qt)}\frac{(1-q^2t)}{(1-qt^2)} + \frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt)}\frac{(1-q^2)}{(1-qt)}\right)x_1x_2x_3. \end{split}$$

1.3.3 Symmetrizations for μ with distinct parts when n = 3.

For example, if n = 3 and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3$ then $W_{\lambda} = \{1\}$ and $W_{\lambda}(t) = 1$ and $w_0 = s_1 s_2 s_1$ and $\ell(w_0) = 3$. So

$$\begin{split} F_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} &= t^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{0} E_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} = P_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})}, \\ F_{(\lambda_{2},\lambda_{1},\lambda_{3})} &= t^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1 - tq^{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}} t^{2 - 1}}{1 - q^{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}} t^{2 - 1}} \right) P_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} \\ F_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{3},\lambda_{2})} &= t^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1 - tq^{\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{3}} t^{3 - 2}}{1 - q^{\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{3}} t^{3 - 2}} \right) P_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} \\ F_{(\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3},\lambda_{1})} &= t^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1 - tq^{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{3}} t^{3 - 1}}{1 - q^{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{3}} t^{3 - 1}} \right) \left(\frac{1 - tq^{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}} t^{2 - 1}}{1 - q^{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{3}} t^{3 - 1}} \right) P_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} \\ F_{(\lambda_{3},\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2})} &= t^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1 - tq^{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{3}} t^{3 - 1}}{1 - q^{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{3}} t^{3 - 1}} \right) \left(\frac{1 - tq^{\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{3}} t^{3 - 2}}{1 - q^{\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{3}} t^{3 - 2}} \right) P_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} \\ F_{(\lambda_{3},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{1})} &= t^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1 - tq^{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}} t^{2 - 1}}{1 - q^{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}} t^{2 - 1}} \right) \left(\frac{1 - tq^{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{3}} t^{3 - 1}}{1 - q^{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{3}} t^{3 - 2}} \right) P_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} \end{split}$$

since, for example, using

$$v_{\lambda}(1) = 3, v_{\lambda}(2) = 2, v_{\lambda}(3) = 1, \text{ and } Y_i^{-1}Y_j E_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} = q^{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} t^{v_{\lambda}(i) - v_{\lambda}(j)} E_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$$

and $v_{\lambda}(i) - v_{\lambda}(j) = (n - i + 1) - (n - j + 1) = i - j$,

$$\begin{split} F_{(\lambda_{2},\lambda_{1},\lambda_{3})} &= \mathbf{1}_{0} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau_{1}^{\vee} E_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} = \mathbf{1}_{0} \Big(t^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{1} + \frac{(1-t)}{1-Y_{1}^{-1}Y_{2}} \Big) E_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{0} \Big(t + \frac{(1-t)}{1-Y_{1}^{-1}Y_{2}} \Big) E_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} = \mathbf{1}_{0} \Big(\frac{1-tY_{1}^{-1}Y_{2}}{1-Y_{1}^{-1}Y_{2}} \Big) E_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{0} \Big(\frac{1-tq^{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}t^{2-1}}{1-q^{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}t^{2-1}} \Big) E_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} = \Big(\frac{1-tq^{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}t^{2-1}}{1-q^{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}t^{2-1}} \Big) P_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} \\ F_{(\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3},\lambda_{1})} &= \mathbf{1}_{0} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau_{2}^{\vee} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau_{1}^{\vee} E_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} = \mathbf{1}_{0} \Big(\frac{1-tY_{2}^{-1}Y_{3}}{1-Y_{2}^{-1}Y_{3}} \Big) t^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau_{1}^{\vee} E_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{0} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau_{1}^{\vee} \Big(\frac{1-tY_{1}^{-1}Y_{3}}{1-Y_{1}^{-1}Y_{3}} \Big) E_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} = \mathbf{1}_{0} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau_{1}^{\vee} \Big(\frac{1-tq^{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}}t^{3-1}}{1-q^{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}}t^{3-1}} \Big) E_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} \\ &= \Big(\frac{1-tq^{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}}t^{3-1}}{1-q^{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}}t^{3-1}} \Big) \Big(\frac{1-tq^{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}t^{2-1}}{1-q^{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}t^{2-1}} \Big) P_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3})} \end{split}$$

1.3.4 Examples of the gf_{μ} condition for a KZ-family.

Let n = 3 and $\lambda = (2, 1, 0)$. Then $v_{\lambda}(1) = 3$, $v_{\lambda}(2) = 2$ and $v_{\lambda}(3) = 1$ and

$$Y_i E_{(2,1,0)} = q^{-\lambda_i} t^{-(v_\lambda(i)-1) + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)} E_{(2,1,0)}.$$

Then

$$Y_1 = gT_2T_1, \qquad Y_2 = T_1^{-1}gT_2, \quad Y_3 = T_2^{-1}T_1^{-2}g,$$

Since

$$\begin{split} f_{(2,1,0)} &= E_{(2,1,0)}, & f_{(1,2,0)} = t^{\frac{1}{2}} T_1 E_{(2,1,0)}, & f_{(2,0,1)} = t^{\frac{1}{2}} T_2 E_{(2,1,0)}, \\ f_{(0,2,1)} &= t^{\frac{2}{2}} T_1 T_2 E_{(2,1,0)}, & f_{(1,0,2)} = t^{\frac{2}{2}} T_2 T_1 E_{(2,1,0)}, & f_{(0,1,2)} = t^{\frac{3}{2}} T_1 T_2 T_1 E_{(2,1,0)}, \end{split}$$

then

$$\begin{split} gf_{(2,1,0)} &= gE_{(2,1,0)} = T_1T_2(T_2^{-1}T_1^{-1}g)E_{(2,1,0)} = T_1T_2Y_3E_{(2,1,0)} = q^{-0}t^1T_1T_2E_{(2,1,0)} = f_{(0,2,1)}, \\ gf_{(1,2,0)} &= gt^{\frac{1}{2}}T_1E_{(2,1,0)} = t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_2gE_{(2,1,0)} = t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_2tT_1T_2E_{(2,1,0)} = f_{(0,1,2)}, \\ gf_{(2,0,1)} &= gt^{\frac{1}{2}}T_2E_{(2,1,0)} = t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_1T_1^{-1}gT_2E_{(2,1,0)} = t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_1Y_2E_{(2,1,0)} = t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_1q^{-1}t^{-1+1}E_{(2,1,0)} = q^{-1}f_{(1,2,0)}, \\ gf_{(0,2,1)} &= gt^{\frac{2}{2}}T_1T_2E_{(2,1,0)} = t^{\frac{2}{2}}T_2gT_2E_{(2,1,0)} = t^{\frac{2}{2}}T_2T_1q^{-1}t^0E_{(2,1,0)} = q^{-1}f_{(1,0,2)}, \\ gf_{(1,0,2)} &= t^{\frac{2}{2}}gT_2T_1E_{(2,1,0)} = t^{\frac{2}{2}}Y_1E_{(2,1,0)} = t^{\frac{2}{2}}q^{-2}t^{-2+1}E_{(2,1,0)} = q^{-2}f_{(2,1,0)}, \\ gf_{(0,1,2)} &= t^{\frac{3}{2}}gT_1T_2T_1E_{(2,1,0)} = t^{\frac{3}{2}}T_1gT_2T_1E_{(2,1,0)} = t^{\frac{3}{2}}T_1q^{-2}t^{-1}E_{(2,1,0)} = q^{-2}f_{(1,2,0)}. \end{split}$$

2 Boxes, arms, legs and counting terms

2.0.1 Common terminology.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n &= \{ \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \mid \mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \} \\ \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}^n &= \{ \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \mid \mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0} \} \\ \mathbb{Z}^n &= \{ \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \mid \mu_i \in \mathbb{Z} \} \\ (\mathbb{Z}^n)^+ &= \{ (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n \} \\ (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n)^+ &= \{ (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n \mid \mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n \} \\ \end{split}$$
 is the set of *weak compositions*, is the set of *strong compositions*, is the *lattice of integral weights*, is the set of *dominant integral weights*, is the set of *dominant integral weights*, is the set of *partititions of length \leq n*.

2.0.2 Examples of box diagrams.

If $\lambda = (5, 4, 4, 1, 0)$ and $\mu = (0, 4, 5, 1, 4)$ then



To conform to [Mac, p.2], we draw the box (i, j) as a square in row i and column j using the same coordinates as are usually used for matrices.

The cylindrical coordinate of the box (i, j) is the number i + nj.

2.0.3 Formulas for $\#Nleg_{\mu}(i,j)$ and $\#Narm_{\mu}(i,j)$

Using cylindrical coordinates for boxes define, for a box $b \in dg(\mu)$,

$$\operatorname{attack}_{\mu}(b) = \{b - 1, \dots, b - n + 1\} \cap \widehat{dg}(\mu), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\operatorname{Nleg}_{\mu}(b) = (b + n\mathbb{Z}_{>0}) \cap dg(\mu) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$\operatorname{Narm}_{\mu}(b) = \{ a \in \operatorname{attack}_{\mu}(b) \mid \#\operatorname{Nleg}_{\mu}(a) \le \#\operatorname{Nleg}_{\mu}(b) \}.$$

$$(2.3)$$

As in [HHL06, (15)], the number of elements of $Nleg_{\mu}(i, j)$ and $Narm_{\mu}(i, j)$ are

 $\# \text{Nleg}_{\mu}(i,j) = \#\{(i,j') \in dg(\mu) \mid j' > j\} = \mu_i - j,$ $\# \text{Narm}_{\mu}(i,j) = \#\{(i',j) \in dg(\mu) \mid i' < i \text{ and } \mu_{i'} \le \mu_i\} + \#\{(i',j-1) \in \widehat{dg}(\mu) \mid i' > i \text{ and } \mu_{i'} < \mu_i\},$ where $\widehat{dg}(\mu) = dg(\mu) \cup \{(1,0), \dots, (n,0)\}.$

2.0.4 Relating HHL arms and legs to Macdonald arms and legs.

If μ is decreasing so that $\mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \ge \cdots \ge \mu_n$ then μ is a partition and

$$\#\operatorname{Narm}_{\mu}(i,j) = \mu'_{j-1} - i = \operatorname{leg}_{\mu}(i,j-1) \quad \text{and} \quad \#\operatorname{Nleg}_{\mu}(i,j) = \mu_i - j = \operatorname{arm}_{\mu}(i,j).$$

If μ is increasing so that $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_n$ then $w_0\mu = (\mu_n, \dots, \mu_1)$ is a partition and

$$\# \operatorname{Narm}_{\mu}(i,j) = (w_0 \mu)'_j - (n-i) \\ = \operatorname{leg}_{w_0 \mu}(n-i,j)$$
 and
$$\# \operatorname{Nleg}_{\mu}(i,j) = \mu_i - j = (w_0 \mu)_{n-i} - j \\ = \operatorname{arm}_{w_0 \mu}(n-i,j)$$

(see [HHL06, remarks before (17)] and [Hgl06, p. 136, remarks before Figure 6]).

2.0.5 Formulas for the number of alcove walks $\#AW^z_{\mu}$ and nonattacking fillings $\#NAF^z_{\mu}$

The motivation for computing $\#AW^z_{\mu}$ and $\#NAF^z_{\mu}$ is that the alcove walks formula and the nonattacking fillings formulas for the relative Macdonald polynomial E^z_{μ} are, respectively,

$$E^z_{\mu} = \sum_{p \in AW^z_{\mu}} \operatorname{wt}(p) \quad \text{and} \quad E^z_{\mu} = \sum_{T \in \operatorname{NAF}^z_{\mu}} \operatorname{wt}(T).$$

(see [GR21, Theorem 1.1]). The number of terms in the first formula is $\#AW^z_{\mu}$ and the number of terms in the second formula is $\#NAF^z_{\mu}$.

For a box $(i, j) \in dg(\mu)$ define $u_{\mu}(i, j)$ by the equation

$$u_{\mu}(i,j) + 1 = n - \#\operatorname{attack}_{\mu}(i,j).$$

Since $\# \operatorname{attack}_{\mu}(i,j) = \#\{i' \in \{1,\ldots,i-1\} \mid \mu_{i'} \geq j\} + \#\{i' \in \{i+1,\ldots,n\} \mid \mu_{i'} \geq j-1\}$ then

$$u_{\mu}(i,j) = \#\{i' \in \{1,\ldots,i-1\} \mid \mu_{i'} < j \le \mu_i\} + \#\{i' \in \{i+1,\ldots,n\} \mid \mu_{i'} < j-1 < \mu_i\}).$$

Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ and $z \in S_n$. By [GR21, Proposition (2.2)] and the definition of alcove walks and nonattacking fillings in [GR21, (1.11) and (1.7)],

$$\# AW_{\mu}^{z} = 2^{\ell(u_{\mu})} = \prod_{(i,j)\in\mu} 2^{u_{\mu}(i,j)} \quad \text{and} \quad \# NAF_{\mu}^{z} = \prod_{(i,j)\in\mu} (u_{\mu}(i,j)+1).$$
(2.4)

(The right hand side does not depend on the choice of z.) For example (as in [CMW18, Table 1]),

$$\# \mathrm{NAF}_{(4,3,3,3,2,2,1,1,0,0)}^{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \\ \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \\ \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \\ \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \\ \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \\ \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \\ \cdot 1 \\ \cdot 1 \end{pmatrix} = 3189375, \quad \text{for } z \in S_{10}.$$

2.1 The column strict tableaux formula for P_{λ}

Let λ and μ be partitions such that $\lambda \supseteq \mu$ and λ/μ is a horizontal strip. Following [Mac, Ch. VI §7 Ex. 2(b)], define

$$\psi_{\lambda/\mu} = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le \ell(\mu)} \frac{(q^{\mu_i - \mu_j} t^{j-i+1}; q)_{\infty} (q^{\lambda_i - \lambda_{j+1}} t^{j-i+1}; q)_{\infty} (q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j + 1} t^{j-i}; q)_{\infty} (q^{\mu_i - \lambda_{j+1} + 1} t^{j-i}; q)_{\infty}}{(q^{\mu_i - \mu_j + 1} t^{j-i}; q)_{\infty} (q^{\lambda_i - \lambda_{j+1} + 1} t^{j-i}; q)_{\infty} (q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j} t^{j-i+1}; q)_{\infty} (q^{\mu_i - \lambda_{j+1} + 1} t^{j-i+1}; q)_{\infty}}.$$

where the infinite product $(x;q)_{\infty} = (1-x)(1-xq)(1-xq^2)\cdots$. A column strict tableau of shape λ is a filling $T: dg(\lambda) \to \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that

$$T(i,j) \leq T(i,j+1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad T(i,j) < T(i+1,j).$$

For a column strict tableau T define

$$\psi_T = \prod_{i=1}^{'} \psi_{\lambda^{(i)}/\lambda^{(i-1)}} \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda^{(i)} = \{ u \in dg(\lambda) \mid T(u) \le i \}.$$

Then [Mac, Ch. VI (7.13')] gives

$$P_{\lambda} = \sum_{T} \psi_T x^T, \quad \text{where} \quad x^T = x_1^{\#(1 \text{s in } T)} \cdots x_n^{\#(n \text{s in } T)}.$$
 (2.5)

By [Mac, Ch. 1 §3 Ex. 4], this formula for P_{λ} has

$$\prod_{b \in \lambda} \frac{n + c(b)}{h(b)} \quad \text{terms, where} \quad \begin{array}{c} c(b) \text{ is the content of the box } b, \\ h(b) \text{ is the hook length at the box } b. \end{array}$$

2.1.1 Comparing numbers of terms in formulas for P_{λ} .

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ be a partition and write $\lambda = (0^{m_0} 1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \cdots)$ so that m_i is the number of rows of λ of length *i*. Then number of elements of the orbit $S_n \lambda$ (the number of rearrangements of λ) is

$$\operatorname{Card}(S_n\lambda) = \frac{n!}{m_{\lambda}!}, \quad \text{where} \quad m_{\lambda}! = m_0!m_1!m_2!\cdots.$$

By (1.5), the symmetric Macdonald polynomial is given by $P_{\lambda} = \sum_{\nu \in S_n \lambda} E_{\lambda}^z$, and using the alcove walks formula for E_{λ}^z and the nonattacking fillings formulas for E_{λ}^z provide formulas for P_{λ} with

$$\frac{n!}{m_{\lambda}!} \cdot \# AW_{\lambda}^{z} \text{ terms}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n!}{m_{\lambda}!} \cdot \# NAF_{\lambda}^{z} \text{ terms}, \quad \text{respectively}$$

Alternatively, by Proposition 1.1, there is a constant (const) such that

$$P_{\lambda} = (const) \sum_{\nu \in S_n \lambda} E^z_{rev(\lambda)}, \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ with } \lambda_k \neq 0 \\ \text{then } rev(\lambda) = (\lambda_k, \dots, \lambda_2, \lambda_1, 0, \dots, 0). \end{array}$$

Then using the alcove walks formula for $E^z_{rev(\lambda)}$ and the nonattacking fillings formulas for $E^z_{rev(\lambda)}$ provide formulas for P_{λ} with

$$\frac{n!}{m_{\lambda}!} \cdot \# AW_{rev(\lambda)}^{z} \text{ terms,} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n!}{m_{\lambda}!} \cdot \# NAF_{rev(\lambda)}^{z} \text{ terms,} \quad \text{respectively.}$$

Let λ be a partition. Let $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \ldots, \lambda'_k)$ be the conjugate partition to λ so that λ'_j is the length of the *j*th column of λ . For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k, 0, \ldots, 0)$ with $\lambda_k \neq 0$ let $rev(\lambda) = (\lambda_k, \ldots, \lambda_2, \lambda_1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Then $u_{\lambda}(i, 1) = u_{rev(\lambda)}(i, 1) = 0$ and if j > 1 then $u_{\lambda}(i, j) = n - \lambda'_{j-1}$ and $u_{rev(\lambda)}(i, j) = n - \lambda'_j$. Thus

$$\#AW_{\lambda} = \prod_{\substack{(i,j)\in\lambda\\j>1}} 2^{n-\lambda'_{j-1}}, \quad \#NAF_{\lambda} = \prod_{\substack{(i,j)\in\lambda\\j>1}} (n-\lambda'_{j-1}+1), \quad \#NAF_{rev(\lambda)} = \prod_{\substack{(i,j)\in\lambda\\j>1}} (n-\lambda'_{j}+1),$$

and

$$t(\lambda) = n! \cdot \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \lambda \\ j > 1}} (n - \lambda'_{j-1} + 1), \quad c(\lambda) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \lambda \\ j > 1}} \frac{2^{n - \lambda'_{j-1}}}{n - \lambda'_{j-1} + 1}, \quad r(\lambda) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \lambda \\ j > 1}} \frac{n - \lambda'_j + 1}{n - \lambda'_{j-1} + 1}$$

are formulas for the values provided in the table in [Len08, end of §3] (Lenart assumes that the parts of λ are distinct so that $m_{\lambda}! = 1$). For example, if $\lambda = (5, 4, 2, 1, 0)$ as in the last row of Lenart's table then

$$t(\lambda) = 5! \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \cdot 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c(\lambda) = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} 2^0 \cdot 2^1 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 2^3 \cdot 2^3 \\ 2^0 \cdot 2^1 \\ 2^0 \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \cdot 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}, \quad r(\lambda) = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \cdot 3 \\ 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \cdot 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}},$$

so that $t(\lambda) = 552960$, $c(\lambda) = \frac{128}{9} \approx 14.222$ and $r(\lambda) = \frac{15}{2} = 7.5$. To compare this with the number of column strict tableaux of shape $\lambda = (5, 4, 2, 1, 0)$ (the number of terms in the formula for P_{λ} in (2.5)),

$$\prod_{b\in\lambda} \frac{n+c(b)}{h(b)} = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} 5\cdot 6\cdot 7\cdot 8\cdot 9\\ 4\cdot 5\cdot 6\cdot 7\\ 3\cdot 4\\ 2 \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} 8\cdot 6\cdot 4\cdot 3\cdot 1\\ 6\cdot 4\cdot 2\cdot 1\\ 3\cdot 1\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} = 5\cdot 7\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7 = 3675, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{552960}{3675} = 150.465.$$

3 Converting fillings and alcove walks to paths and pipe dreams

3.0.1 Hyperplanes and alcoves

Let $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}^* = \mathbb{R}\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{R}\varepsilon_n$. For $i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with i < j and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ define

$$\mathfrak{a}^{\varepsilon_i^{\vee}-\varepsilon_j^{\vee}+\ell K} = \{(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mu_i - \mu_j = -\ell\}, \text{ and} \\ \mathfrak{a}^{\epsilon_k^{\vee}+\ell K} = \{(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mu_k = -\ell\}.$$

$$(3.1)$$

The union of these hyperplanes is

$$\mathcal{H} = \{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \text{if } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } i \neq j \text{ then } \mu_i \notin \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \mu_i - \mu_j \notin \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

An *alcove* is a connected component of

$$\mathbb{R}^n - \mathcal{H}$$
, the complement of the hyperplanes listed in (3.1).

The fundamental alcove is

$$A_1 = \{ \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mu_1 - \mu_n \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \text{ and if } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ then } \mu_i \in \mathbb{R}_{(-1,0)} \}$$

For n = 2, some pictures of these hyperplanes and paths in $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ are in section 3.0.8.

3.0.2 Bijection $W \leftrightarrow W \cdot \frac{1}{n} \rho \leftrightarrow \{\text{alcoves}\}$

Let W be the group of n-periodic permutations and define an action of W_{GL_n} on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$\pi(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) = (\mu_n + 1, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n),$$
and
$$s_i(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{i-1}, \mu_{i+1}, \mu_i, \mu_{i+1}, \dots, \mu_n), \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}.$$
(3.2)

Let

$$p = \left(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n-3}{2}, \dots, \frac{-(n-1)}{2}\right) = (n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0) - \frac{n-1}{2}(1, 1, \dots, 1).$$
(3.3)

Then the maps

and so we can identify W with the set of alcoves and with the orbit $W \cdot \frac{1}{n}\rho$. The statement in (3.4) holds because the stabilizer of $\frac{1}{n}\rho$ under the action of W on \mathbb{R}^n is $\{1\}$.

3.0.3 Reflections in W.

For any pair $(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ with $j \neq k$ define

$$s_{jk}(j) = k$$
, $s_{jk}(k) = (j)$, $s_{jk}(i) = i$ if $i \neq j \mod n$ and $i \neq k \mod n$.

If
$$i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$$
 and $t_{\mu}v = ((\mu_1)_{v(1)}, (\mu_2)_{v(2)}, \dots, (\mu_n)_{v(n)})$ then
 $s_i t_{\mu}v = ((\mu_1)_{v(1)}, \dots, (\mu_{i-1})_{v(i-1)}, (\mu_{i+1})_{v(i+1)}, (\mu_i)_{v(i)}, (\mu_{i+2})_{v(i+2)}, \dots, (\mu_n)_{v(n)}),$

so that, in extended one-line notation, s_i acts by switching the *i*th and (i + 1)st components. The

hyperplane $\mathfrak{a}^{\beta^{\vee}}$ between $t_{\mu}vA_1$ and $s_it_{\mu}vA_1$ has root $\beta^{\vee} = \varepsilon_{v(i+1)}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{v(i)}^{\vee} + (\mu_i - \mu_{i+1})K$.

3.0.4 Paths.

A path is a piecewise linear function $\gamma \colon \mathbb{R}_{[0,a]} \to \mathbb{R}^n$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{[0,a]} = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \le t \le a\}$. The concatenation of paths $\gamma_1 \colon \mathbb{R}_{[0,a]} \to \mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\gamma_2 \colon \mathbb{R}_{[0,b]} \to \mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the path

$$\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \colon \mathbb{R}_{[0,a+b]} \to \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \qquad \text{given by} \qquad (\gamma_1 \gamma_2)(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma_1(t), & \text{if } i \in \mathbb{R}_{[0,a]}, \\ \gamma_1(a) + \gamma_2(t-a), & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{R}_{[a,a+b]}. \end{cases}$$

3.0.5 Paths corresponding to nonattacking fillings.

The straight line path to $0 \rightarrow \varepsilon_i$ is

If T is a nonattacking filling of type (z, μ) then the word, or path, of T is

$$\vec{x}_T = \prod_{u \in \mu} x_{T(u)}$$
 taken in increasing order of cylindrical coordinate.

The path, or word,

$$\vec{x}_T = x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_\ell}$$
 is $0 \to \varepsilon_{i_1} \to (\varepsilon_{i_1} + \varepsilon_{i_2}) \to \cdots \to \varepsilon_{i_1} + \cdots + \varepsilon_{i_\ell}$

as a sequence of straight line segments.

3.0.6 Paths corresponding to alcove walks.

Define paths $\omega \colon \mathbb{R}_{[0,1]} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $c_\alpha \colon \mathbb{R}_{[0,1]} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f_\alpha \colon \mathbb{R}_{[0,1]} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$\omega(t) = \frac{t}{n}(1, 1, \dots, 1), \qquad c_{\alpha}(t) = t\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\alpha}(t) = \begin{cases} t\alpha, & \text{if } 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ (1-t)\alpha, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ and $z \in S_n$. Let $s_{\pi} = \pi$ and let $\vec{u}_{\mu} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ be a reduced word for u_{μ} . An *alcove walk* of type (z, \vec{u}_{μ}) is

a sequence
$$p = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_r)$$
 of elements of W such that (3.5)

 $p_0 = z$; if $s_{i_k} = \pi$ then $p_k = p_{k-1}\pi$; and if $s_{i_k} \neq \pi$ then $p_k \in \{p_{k-1}, p_{k-1}s_{i_k}\}$. The path corresponding to p is

$$\gamma_{\beta_1} \cdots \gamma_{\beta_\ell}, \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma_{\beta_j} = \begin{cases} f_{p_{k-1}\alpha_{i_k}}, & \text{if } p_k = p_{k-1}, \\ c_{p_{k-1}\alpha_{i_k}}, & \text{if } p_k = p_{k-1}s_{i_k}, \\ \omega, & \text{if } p_k = p_{k-1}\pi, \end{cases}$$
(3.6)

See §6.0.3 for pictures in \mathbb{R}^2 , for n = 2. The pictures of paths for n = 3 in sections 3.0.9 and 3.0.9 are projections from \mathbb{R}^3 to the plane $\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = 0\}$.

3.0.7 Pipe dreams corresponding to nonattacking fillings

Let $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$. A filling of $dg(\mu)$ is a function $T: dg(\mu) \to \{1, \ldots, n\}$. If the filling is nonattacking, then it satisfies the column distinct condition,

if
$$j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$$
 and $(i, j), (i', j) \in D$ then $T(i, j) \neq T(i', j)$, (CD)

and so the filling T can be converted into a pipe dream $P: \{1, \ldots, n\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \to \{1, \ldots, n\}$ by setting

$$P(k,j) = i \qquad \text{if and only if} \qquad T(i,j) = k, \tag{3.7}$$

and putting P(k, j) = 0 if there does not exist $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ such that T(i, j) = k. (This bijection is given in [BW19, (5.10)] and [CMW18, Definition A.6]. In [CMW18, Definition A.6] the pipe dreams are the *multiline queues* and the fillings are the Queue Tableaux and in [BW19, (5.10)] the pipe dreams are the μ -legal configurations.) The column distinct condition on T is exactly the condition that P obtained in this way is a function.

For example,

1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	3
2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	1	2	2	2
3			3			3			3		

are the 4 nonattacking fillings of $\mu = (2, 2, 0)$. Converting these to pipe dreams gives

1	´1	1	1	\	(1)	1	1 \		(1)	1	2	\	$\left(1 \right)$	1	0 \
	2	2	2		2	2	0) (2	2	1		2	2	2
	3	0	0 ,)	3	0	2 ,)	3	0	0 /	/	3	0	1 /

The example in [BW19, Figure 5] has

	1							(1)	2	2	2	0	0 \
	2	1	1	1	2			2	0	5	0	2	0
filling	3	3					with corresponding pipe dream	3	3	0	5	5	0
	4	4	4	5	4	4		4	4	4	0	4	4
	5	5	2	3	3			$\sqrt{5}$	5	0	4	0	0/

and the picture of this pipe dream from [BW19, Figure 5] is



([BW19] index rows bottom to top instead of top to bottom). The example in [CMW18, Figures 3 and 12] has

nonattacking filling
$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 6 & 5 & 3 & & \\ 1 & 1 & 6 & & \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & & \\ 7 & 7 & 4 & & \\ 8 & 8 & & & \\ 3 & & & & \\ 4 & & & & \\ 5 & & & & & \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
 and pipe dream $P = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 7 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 8 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 5 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

and the picture of this pipe dream (multiline queue in the terminology of [CMW18]) from [CMW18, Fig. 3] is



3.0.8 Alcove walks, nonattacking fillings and paths for $E_{(3,0)}$

The explicit expansion of $E_{(3,0)}$ is

$$E_{(3,0)} = x_1^3 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t}\right)q^2x_1x_2^2 + \left(\left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right)q + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t}\right)\left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right)q^2\right)x_1^2x_2 + \left(\left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right)q + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t}\right)\left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right)q^2\right)x_1^2x_2 + \left(\left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right)q + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right)q^2\right)x_1^2x_2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right)q^2\right)x_1^2x_2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right)q^2 + \left(\frac{1-$$

The nonattacking fillings, words, paths, alcove walks and corresponding weights for $E_{(3,0)}$ are



The first row contains the nonattacking fillings. The second row contains the words of the nonattacking fillings. The red paths are the paths corresponding to the words of the nonattacking fillings, and the blue paths are the paths corresponding to the alcove walks. We used a shortened notation for the alcove walks so that

$$\pi s_1 \pi s_1 \pi$$
represents the alcove walk $(1, \pi, \pi s_1, \pi s_1 \pi, \pi s_1 \pi s_1, \pi s_1 \pi s_1 \pi),$

$$\pi s_1 \pi 1 \pi$$
represents the alcove walk $(1, \pi, \pi s_1, \pi s_1 \pi, \pi s_1 \pi, \pi s_1 \pi^2),$

$$\pi 1 \pi s_1 \pi$$
represents the alcove walk $(1, \pi, \pi, \pi^2, \pi^2 s_1, \pi^2 s_1 \pi),$

$$\pi 1 \pi 1 \pi$$
represents the alcove walk $(1, \pi, \pi, \pi^2, \pi^2, \pi^3).$

The last row contains the weights of the alcove walks (which are the same as the weights of the nonattacking fillings to illustrate that the factors of the form $\left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^at^b}\right)$ are in bijection with the folds of the blue path.

3.0.9 Alcove walks, nonattacking fillings and pipe dreams for $E_{(2,0.1)}$

In the orthogonal projection from \mathbb{R}^3 to the plane

$$\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = 0\}$$

(so that we can draw 2-dimensional pictures), the straight line paths x_1, x_2, x_3 to $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$, respectively, are pictured as



The explicit expansion of $E_{(2,0,1)}$ is

$$E_{(2,0,1)} = x_1 x_3 x_1 + \frac{1-t}{1-qt} x_1 x_2 x_1 + qt \frac{1-t}{1-qt^2} x_1 x_3 x_2 + q \frac{1-t}{1-qt} \frac{1-t}{1-qt^2} x_1 x_2 x_3 x_2 + q \frac{1-t}{1-qt} \frac{1-t}{1-qt^2} x_1 x_2 x_3 x_3 + q \frac{1-t}{1-qt} \frac{1-t}{1-qt^2} x_1 x_2 x_3 + q \frac{1-t}{1-qt} \frac{1-t}{1-qt} \frac{1-t}{1-qt} x_1 x_2 x_3 + q \frac{1-t}{1-qt} \frac{1-t}{1-qt} \frac{1-t}{1-qt} \frac{1-t}{1-qt} x_1 x_2 x_3 + q \frac{1-t}{1-qt} \frac{1-t}{1$$



The nonattacking fillings, words, paths, alcove walks and corresponding weights for $E_{(2,0,1)}$ are

where we have used the same shortened notation for alcove walks as in the table in Section 3.0.8. The sections of type ω in the paths corresponding to the alcove walks (see (3.6)) are not visible in these pictures since the pictures are in a projection orthogonal to the direction of ω .

3.0.10 Alcove walks, nonattacking fillings and pipe dreams for $E_{(1,2,0)}$

The explicit expansion of $E_{(1,2,0)}$ is

$$E_{(1,2,0)} = x_1 x_2 x_2 + \frac{1-t}{1-qt} x_1 x_2 x_1 + q \frac{(1-qt^2)}{(1-qt)} \frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt^2)} x_1 x_2 x_3$$

The nonattacking fillings, words, paths, alcove walks and corresponding weights for $E_{(1,2,0)}$ are



where we have used the same shortened notation for alcove walks as in the table in Section 3.0.8. The sections of type ω in the paths corresponding to the alcove walks (see (3.6)) are not visible in these pictures since the pictures are in a projection orthogonal to the direction of ω . For this example, there are 4 alcove walks and 3 nonattacking fillings.

4 Reduced words and inversions

4.0.1 Examples of the inversion set Inv(w).

Define *n*-periodic permutations π and $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1} \in W$ by

$$\pi(i) = i + 1, \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$s_i(i) = i + 1,$$

 $s_i(i+1) = i,$ and $s_i(j) = j$ for $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, i-1, i+2, \dots, n-1\}.$ (4.2)

An *inversion* of a bijection $w \colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is

$$(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$$
 with $j < k$ and $w(j) > w(k)$.

and the affine root corresponding to an inversion

$$(i,k) = (i,j+\ell n)$$
 with $i,j \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, is $\beta^{\vee} = \varepsilon_i^{\vee} - \varepsilon_j^{\vee} + \ell K.$ (4.3)

Let n = 3. The element

$$w = s_1 s_2$$
 has $w(1) = 2, w(2) = 3, w(3) = 1,$

and w(1) > w(3) and w(2) > w(3) and

$$\operatorname{Inv}(w) = \{\alpha_2^{\vee}, s_2\alpha_1^{\vee}\} = \{\varepsilon_2^{\vee} - \varepsilon_3^{\vee}, \varepsilon_1^{\vee} - \varepsilon_3^{\vee}\}.$$

The element

$$w = s_2 s_1$$
 has $w(1) = 3$, $w(2) = 1$, $w(3) = 2$,

and w(1) > w(2) and w(1) > w(3) and

$$\operatorname{Inv}(w) = \{\alpha_1^{\vee}, s_1 \alpha_2^{\vee}\} = \{\varepsilon_1^{\vee} - \varepsilon_2^{\vee}, \varepsilon_1^{\vee} - \varepsilon_3^{\vee}\}.$$

These are examples of [GR21, (2.11)].

4.0.2 Relations in the affine Weyl group W

The following relations are useful when working with n-periodic permutations.

Proposition 4.1. Then

$$s_0 = t_{\varepsilon_1^{\vee} - \varepsilon_n^{\vee}} s_{n-1} \cdots s_2 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-1}, \qquad t_{\varepsilon_1^{\vee}} = \pi s_{n-1} \cdots s_2 s_1, \tag{4.4}$$

and
$$t_{\varepsilon_{i+1}^{\vee}} = s_i t_{\varepsilon_i^{\vee}} s_i, \qquad \pi s_i \pi^{-1} = s_{i+1},$$
 (4.5)

for $i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$.

Proof. Proof of (4.4): If $i \notin \{1, n\}$

$$t_{\varepsilon_1^{\vee}-\varepsilon_n^{\vee}}s_{n-1}\cdots s_2s_1s_2\cdots s_{n-1}(i)t_{\varepsilon_1^{\vee}-\varepsilon_n^{\vee}}(i)=i=s_0(i).$$

If i = 1 then

$$t_{\varepsilon_1^{\vee} - \varepsilon_n^{\vee}} s_{n-1} \cdots s_2 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-1}(1) = t_{\varepsilon_1^{\vee} - \varepsilon_n^{\vee}}(n) = n - n = 0 = s_0(1).$$

and, if i = n then

$$t_{\varepsilon_1^{\vee}-\varepsilon_n^{\vee}}s_{n-1}\cdots s_2s_1s_2\cdots s_{n-1}(n) = t_{\varepsilon_1^{\vee}-\varepsilon_n^{\vee}}(1) = 1 + n = s_0(n),$$

For $i \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$

 $\pi s_{n-1} \cdots s_1(i) = \pi(i-1) = i = t_{\varepsilon_1}(i),$ and $\pi s_{n-1} \cdots s_1(1) = \pi(n) = n+1 = t_{\varepsilon_1}(1).$ Proof of (4.5):

$$\begin{split} s_i t_{\varepsilon_i^{\vee}} s_i(i) &= s_i t_{\varepsilon_i^{\vee}}(i+1) = s_i(i+1) = i = t_{\varepsilon_{i+1}^{\vee}}(i), \\ s_i t_{\varepsilon_i^{\vee}} s_i(i+1) &= s_i t_{\varepsilon_i^{\vee}}(i) = s_i(i+n) = i+1+n, = t_{\varepsilon_{i+1}^{\vee}}(i+1), \\ s_i t_{\varepsilon_i^{\vee}} s_i(j) &= s_i t_{\varepsilon_i^{\vee}}(j) = s_i(j) = j = t_{\varepsilon_{i+1}^{\vee}}(j), & \text{if } j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } j \notin \{i, i+1\}. \end{split}$$

Finally,

$$\pi s_i \pi^{-1}(i) = \pi s_i(i-1) = \pi(i) = i+1 = s_{i+1}(i), \text{ and}$$
$$\pi s_i \pi^{-1}(i+1) = \pi s_i(i) = \pi(i+1) = i+2 = s_{i+1}(i+1).$$

4.0.3 The "affine Weyl group" and the "extended affine Weyl group"

The type GL_n affine Weyl group W is generated by s_1, \ldots, s_n and π . The group W contains also s_0 and all the elements t_{μ} for $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The projection homomorphism is the group homomorphism $\vdots W \to S_n$ given by

$$\overline{t_{\mu}v} = v, \quad \text{for } \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ and } v \in S_n.$$
 (4.6)

The type PGL_n -affine Weyl group is the subgroup W_{PGL_n} generated by $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$.

$$W_{PGL_n} = \{ t_{\mu}v \mid \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ with } \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n = 0 \text{ and } v \in S_n \}, \quad \text{and} \\ W_{GL_n} = W = \{ t_{\mu}v \mid \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n, v \in S_n \} = \{ \pi^h w \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}, w \in W_{PGL_n} \}.$$

Then

$$W_{GL_n} = \mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes S_n = \Omega \ltimes W_{PGL_n}, \quad \text{where} \quad \Omega = \{\pi^h \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

The symbols \ltimes and \rtimes are brief notations whose purpose is to indicate that the relations in (4.5) hold.

The group W_{PGL_n} is also a quotient of W_{GL_n} , by the relation $\pi = 1$. The type SL_n affine Weyl group is the quotient of W_{GL_n} by the relation $\pi^n = 1$. This is equivalent to putting a relation requiring

$$t_{\mu} = t_{\nu}$$
 if $\mu_i = \nu_i \mod n$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

As explained in [St67, Ch. 3, Exercise after Corollary 5], there is a Chevalley group G_d for each positive integer d dividing n. The group G_d is a central extension of PGL_n by $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ (so that $G_1 = PGL_n$ and $G_n = SL_n$). Each of these groups G_d has an affine Weyl group W_{G_d} . The group W_{G_d} is the quotient of W_{GL_n} by the relation $\pi^d = 1$, and is an extension of W_{PGL_n} by $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. The group W_{PGL_n} is sometimes called the "affine Weyl group of type A" and the groups W_{GL_n} and W_{G_d} for $d \neq 1$ are sometimes called the "extended affine Weyl groups of type A". We prefer the more specific terminologies "affine Weyl group of type PGL_n " for W_{PGL_n} , "affine Weyl group of type SL_n " for W_{SL_n} , "affine Weyl group of type GL_n " for W_{GL_n} , and "affine Weyl group of type $PGL_n \times (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ " for W_{G_d} (the symbol \times indicates a central extension).

4.0.4 The elements u_{μ} , v_{μ} , z_{μ} and t_{μ} .

Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ and let u_{μ} be the minimal length *n*-periodic permutation such that

$$u_{\mu}(0, 0, \dots, 0) = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$$

Let $\lambda = (\lambda, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ be the weakly decreasing rearrangement of μ and let

$$z_{\mu} \in S_n$$
 be minimal length such that $z_{\mu}\lambda = \mu$, and let

 $v_{\mu} \in S_n$ be minimal length such that $v_{\mu}\mu$ is weakly increasing.

Let $t_{\mu} \colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the *n*-periodic permutation determined by

$$t_{\mu}(1) = 1 + n\mu_1, \quad t_{\mu}(2) = 2 + n\mu_2, \quad \dots, \quad t_{\mu}(n) = n + n\mu_n.$$
 (4.7)

4.0.5 Relating u_{μ} , v_{μ} , z_{μ} to u_{λ} , v_{λ} , z_{λ} .

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_n$. Let $S_\lambda = \{w \in S_n \mid w\lambda = \lambda\}$ be the stabilizer of λ in S_n . Let

 w_0 be the longest element in S_n , $w_0 = w^{\lambda} w_{\lambda}$ and w_{λ} the longest length element in S_{λ} , and so that w^{λ} the minimal length element in the coset $w_0 S_{\lambda}$, $\binom{n}{2} = \ell(w_0) = \ell(w^{\lambda}) + \ell(w_{\lambda}).$

Let $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let λ be the decreasing rearrangement of λ . Let $z_{\mu} \in S_n$ be minimal length such that $\mu = z_{\mu}\lambda$. Then $z_{\lambda} = 1$,

$$t_{\mu} = u_{\mu}v_{\mu} = (z_{\mu}u_{\lambda})v_{\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{\lambda} = u_{\lambda}v_{\lambda} = u_{\lambda}(w^{\lambda})^{-1}, \quad \text{with}$$
$$\ell(t_{\mu}) = \ell(u_{\mu}) + \ell(v_{\mu}) = \ell(z_{\mu}) + \ell(u_{\lambda}) + \ell(v_{\mu}) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(t_{\lambda}) = \ell(u_{\lambda}) + \ell((w^{\lambda})^{-1}).$$

Using that $z_{\mu}t_{\lambda}z_{\mu}^{-1} = t_{z_{\mu}\lambda} = t_{\mu}$ gives that the elements u_{μ} and v_{μ} are given in terms of z_{μ} , u_{λ} and w^{λ} by

$$u_{\mu} = z_{\mu}u_{\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\mu} = v_{\lambda}z_{\mu}^{-1} = (w^{\lambda})^{-1}z_{\mu}^{-1} = (z_{\mu}w^{\lambda})^{-1} = (z_{\mu}w_{0}w_{\lambda})^{-1} = w_{\lambda}w_{0}z_{\mu}^{-1}$$

since $v_{\lambda} = (w^{\lambda})^{-1}$ and $v_{\lambda} = v_{\mu}z_{\mu}$ with $\ell((w_{\lambda})^{-1}) = \ell(v_{\lambda}) = \ell(v_{\mu}) + \ell(z_{\mu}).$

4.0.6 Inversions of t_{ε_1} , $t_{-\varepsilon_1}$ and t_{ε_2}

Let t_{μ} be as in (4.7) and let $\varepsilon_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ where the 1 appears in the *i*th position. Then

$$t_{\varepsilon_{1}} = (1_{1}, 0_{2}, \dots, 0_{n}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ n+1 & 2 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} = \pi s_{n-1} \cdots s_{1},$$

$$t_{-\varepsilon_{1}} = (-1_{1}, 0_{2}, \dots, 0_{n}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ 1-n & 2 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} = s_{1} \cdots s_{n-1} \pi^{-1},$$

$$t_{\varepsilon_{1}}s_{1} = (0_{2}, 1_{1}, 0_{3}, \dots, 0_{n}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \\ 2 & 1+n & 3 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} = \pi s_{n-1} \cdots s_{2},$$

$$s_{1}t_{\varepsilon_{1}} = (1_{2}, 0_{1}, 0_{3}, \dots, 0_{n}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \\ 2+n & 1 & 3 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} = s_{1}\pi s_{n-1} \cdots s_{1},$$

$$t_{\varepsilon_{2}} = s_{1}t_{\varepsilon_{1}}s_{1} = (0_{1}, 1_{2}, 0_{3}, \dots, 0_{n}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & 2+n & 3 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} = s_{1}\pi s_{n-1} \cdots s_{2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Inv}(t_{\varepsilon_{1}}) &= \{(1,2),(1,3),\ldots,(1,n)\} \\ &= \{\alpha_{1}^{\vee},s_{1}\alpha_{2}^{\vee},\ldots,s_{1}\cdots s_{n-2}\alpha_{n-1}^{\vee}\} = \{\varepsilon_{1}^{\vee}-\varepsilon_{2}^{\vee},\varepsilon_{1}^{\vee}-\varepsilon_{3}^{\vee},\ldots,\varepsilon_{1}^{\vee}-\varepsilon_{n}^{\vee}\} \\ \operatorname{Inv}(t_{-\varepsilon_{1}}) &= \{(2-n,1),(3-n,1),\ldots,(n-n,1)\} = \{(n,1+n),(n-1,1+n),\ldots,(2,1+n)\} \\ &= \{\pi\alpha_{n-1}^{\vee},\pi s_{n-1}\alpha_{n-2}^{\vee},\ldots,\pi s_{n-1}\cdots s_{2}\alpha_{1}^{\vee}\} \\ &= \{\varepsilon_{n}^{\vee}-(\varepsilon_{1}^{\vee}-K),\varepsilon_{n-1}^{\vee}-(\varepsilon_{1}^{\vee}-K),\ldots,\varepsilon_{2}^{\vee}-(\varepsilon_{1}^{\vee}-K)\} \\ \operatorname{Inv}(t_{\varepsilon_{1}}s_{1}) &= \{(2,3),\ldots,(2,n)\} \\ &= \{\alpha_{2}^{\vee},s_{2}\alpha_{3}^{\vee},\ldots,s_{2}\cdots s_{n-2}\alpha_{n-1}^{\vee}\} = \{\varepsilon_{2}^{\vee}-\varepsilon_{3}^{\vee},\varepsilon_{2}^{\vee}-\varepsilon_{4}^{\vee},\ldots,\varepsilon_{2}^{\vee}-\varepsilon_{n}^{\vee}\} \\ \operatorname{Inv}(s_{1}t_{\varepsilon_{1}}) &= \{(1,2),(1,3),\ldots,(1,n),(1-n,2)\} = \{(1,2),(1,3),\ldots,(1,n),(1,2+n)\} \\ &= \{\alpha_{1}^{\vee},s_{1}\alpha_{2}^{\vee},\ldots,s_{1}\cdots s_{n-2}\alpha_{n-1}^{\vee},s_{1}\cdots s_{n-2}s_{n-1}\pi^{-1}\alpha_{1}^{\vee}\} \\ &= \{\varepsilon_{1}^{\vee}-\varepsilon_{2}^{\vee},\varepsilon_{1}^{\vee}-\varepsilon_{3}^{\vee},\ldots,\varepsilon_{1}^{\vee}-\varepsilon_{n}^{\vee},(\varepsilon_{1}^{\vee}+K)-\varepsilon_{2}^{\vee}\} \\ \operatorname{Inv}(t_{\varepsilon_{2}}) &= \{((2,3),\ldots,(2,n),(2-n,1)\} = \{((2,3),\ldots,(2,n),(2,1+n)\} \\ &= \{\alpha_{2}^{\vee},s_{2}\alpha_{3}^{\vee},\ldots,s_{2}\cdots s_{n-2}\alpha_{n-1}^{\vee},s_{2}\cdots s_{n-2}s_{n-1}\pi^{-1}\alpha_{1}^{\vee}\} \\ &= \{\varepsilon_{2}^{\vee}-\varepsilon_{3}^{\vee},\varepsilon_{2}^{\vee}-\varepsilon_{4}^{\vee},\ldots,\varepsilon_{2}^{\vee}-\varepsilon_{n}^{\vee},(\varepsilon_{2}^{\vee}+K)-\varepsilon_{1}^{\vee}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$s_1 \cdots s_{n-1} \pi^{-1} \alpha_1^{\vee} = s_1 \cdots s_{n-1} \pi^{-1} (\varepsilon_1^{\vee} - \varepsilon_2^{\vee}) = s_1 \cdots s_{n-1} ((\varepsilon_n^{\vee} + K) - \varepsilon_1^{\vee}) = (\varepsilon_1^{\vee} + K) - \varepsilon_2^{\vee}, \quad \text{and}$$
$$s_2 \cdots s_{n-1} \pi^{-1} \alpha_1^{\vee} = s_2 \cdots s_{n-1} ((\varepsilon_n^{\vee} + K) - \varepsilon_1^{\vee}) = (\varepsilon_2^{\vee} + K) - \varepsilon_1^{\vee}.$$

4.0.7 The elements u_{μ} and v_{μ} for $\mu = (0, 4, 5, 1, 4)$

Let u_{μ} , v_{μ} , z_{μ} and t_{μ} be as in Section 4.0.4. If $\mu = (0, 4, 5, 1, 4)$ then $\lambda = (5, 4, 4, 1, 0)$, and

 $z_{\mu} = s_2 s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 \quad \text{since} \quad (5, 4, 4, 1, 0) \stackrel{s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4}{\rightarrow} (0, 5, 4, 4, 1) \stackrel{s_4}{\rightarrow} (0, 5, 4, 1, 4) \stackrel{s_2}{\rightarrow} (0, 4, 5, 1, 4),$

$$v_{\mu} = s_4 s_2 s_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{aligned} v_{\mu}(1) &= 1 = 1, \\ v_{\mu}(2) &= 3 = 1 + \#\{1\}, \\ v_{\mu}(3) &= 5 = 1 + \#\{1,2\} + \#\{4\}, \\ v_{\mu}(4) &= 2 = 1 + \#\{1\}, \\ v_{\mu}(5) &= 4 = 1 + \#\{2,4\}, \end{aligned}$$

Then $v_{\mu} = (0_1, 0_3, 0_5, 0_3, 0_4)$ and

$$\operatorname{Inv}(v_{\mu}) = \{(2,4), (3,4), (3,5)\} = \{\alpha_{3}^{\vee}, s_{3}\alpha_{2}^{\vee}, s_{3}s_{2}\alpha_{4}^{\vee}\} = \{\varepsilon_{3}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{4}^{\vee}, \varepsilon_{2}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{4}^{\vee}, \varepsilon_{3}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{5}^{\vee}\}.$$

Then, with n = 5,

$$v_{\mu}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 & 5 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = (0_1, 0_4, 0_2, 0_5, 0_3) \text{ and}$$
$$u_{\mu} = t_{\mu}v_{\mu}^{-1} = (0_1, 4_3, 5_5, 1_2, 4_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 4 + n & 2 + 4n & 5 + 4n & 3 + 5n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 5 & 10 & 25 & 28 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\ell(t_{\lambda}) = \begin{pmatrix} (5-4) + (5-4) + (5-1) + (5-0) \\ +(4-4) + (4-1) + (4-0) \\ +(4-1) + (4-0) \\ +(1-0) \end{pmatrix} = 26 = \ell(t_{\mu}) = \ell(u_{\mu}) + \ell(v_{\mu})$$

with

$$\ell(u_{\mu}) = 6 + 7 \cdot 2 + 3 = 23, \quad \ell(v_{\mu}) = 3, \quad \ell(z_{\mu}) = 6.$$

The decreasing rearrangement of $\mu = (0, 4, 5, 1, 4)$ is $\lambda = (5, 4, 4, 1, 0)$ and

$$z_{\lambda} = 1, \quad w_{\lambda} = s_2, \quad v_{\lambda} = w_0 s_2$$

4.0.8 The box greedy reduced word for u_{μ} .

If $\mu = (0, 4, 5, 1, 4)$ then the box greedy reduced word for u_{μ} is

and the length of u_{μ} is

 $\ell(u_{\mu}) = 6 + 14 + 3 = 23$, since $\ell(\pi) = 0$ and $\ell(s_i) = 1$.

Using one-line notation for *n*-periodic permutations, the computation verifying the expression for u_{μ}^{\Box} is

$$\begin{array}{l} (0_1, 4_3, 5_5, 1_2, 4_4) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (4_3, 0_1, 5_5, 1_2, 4_4) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 5_5, 1_2, 4_4, 3_3)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (5_5, 0_1, 1_2, 4_4, 3_3)) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 1_2, 4_4, 3_3, 4_5)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (1_2, 0_1, 4_4, 3_3, 4_5)) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 4_4, 3_3, 4_5, 0_2)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (4_4, 0_1, 3_3, 4_5, 0_2)) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 3_3, 4_5, 0_2, 3_4)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (3_3, 0_1, 4_5, 0_2, 3_4)) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 4_5, 0_2, 3_4, 2_3)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (4_5, 0_1, 0_2, 3_4, 2_3)) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 0_2, 3_4, 2_3, 3_5)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 3_4, 0_2, 2_3, 3_5)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (3_4, 0_1, 0_2, 2_3, 3_5)) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 0_2, 3_5, 2_4, 1_3)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 2_3, 0_2, 3_5, 2_4)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (2_3, 0_1, 0_2, 2_4, 1_3)) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 0_2, 3_5, 2_4, 1_3)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 2_4, 0_2, 1_3, 2_5)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (2_4, 0_1, 0_2, 1_3, 2_5)) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 0_2, 1_3, 2_5, 1_4)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 1_3, 0_2, 2_5, 1_4)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (1_3, 0_1, 0_2, 2_5, 1_4)) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 0_2, 1_3, 2_5, 1_4)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 1_4, 0_2, 0_3, 1_5)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (1_4, 0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 1_5)) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 0_2, 1_4, 0_3, 1_5)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 1_4, 0_2, 0_3, 1_5)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (1_4, 0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 1_5)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (1_5, 0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 1_5, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 0_2, 1_5, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 1_5, 0_2, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (1_5, 0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 1_5, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 0_2, 1_5, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 1_5, 0_2, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (1_5, 0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 1_5, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 0_2, 1_5, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 1_5, 0_2, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (1_5, 0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 1_5, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 0_2, 1_5, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 1_5, 0_2, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_1}{\to} (1_5, 0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} \\ (0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 1_5, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 0_4) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 0_4)) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 0_4) \stackrel{s_2}{\to} (0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 0_4) \stackrel{s_2$$

4.0.9 Inversions of u_{μ} .

If $\mu = (0, 4, 5, 1, 4)$ then the inversion set of u_{μ} is

$$\operatorname{Inv}(u_{\mu}) = \begin{vmatrix} \varepsilon_{3}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{1}^{\vee} + 4K \\ \varepsilon_{3}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{1}^{\vee} + 5K \\ \varepsilon_{5}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{1}^{\vee} + 5K \\ \varepsilon_{5}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{1}^{\vee} + 4K \\ \varepsilon_{5}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{2}^{\vee} + 2K \\ \varepsilon_{5}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{2}^{\vee} + 3K \\ \varepsilon_{5}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{2}^{\vee} + 2K \\ \varepsilon_{4}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{2}^{\vee} + K \\ \varepsilon_{4}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{2}^{\vee} + 2K \\ \varepsilon_{4}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{2}^{\vee} + K \\ \varepsilon_{4}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{2}^{\vee} + 2K \\ \varepsilon_{4}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{2}^{\vee} + K \\ \varepsilon_{4}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{2}^{\vee} + K \\ \varepsilon_{4}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{2}^{\vee} + 2K \\ \varepsilon_{4}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{2}^{\vee} + K \\ \varepsilon_{4}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{2}^{\vee} + 2K \\ \varepsilon_{4}^{\vee} - \varepsilon_{2}^{\vee} + K \\ \varepsilon_{4}^{$$

The following is an example that executes the last line of the proof of [GR21, Proposition 2.2]. The factor of s_1 in the factorization $u_{\mu} = s_1 \pi u_{(0,5,1,4,3)}$ gives the root

$$\begin{split} u_{(0,5,1,4,3)}^{-1} \pi^{-1}(\varepsilon_1^{\vee} - \varepsilon_2^{\vee}) &= u_{(0,5,1,4,3)}^{-1} \pi^{-1}(\varepsilon_1^{\vee} - \varepsilon_2^{\vee}) = u_{(0,5,1,4,3)}^{-1}((\varepsilon_5^{\vee} + K) - \varepsilon_1^{\vee}) \\ &= v_{(0,5,1,4,3)} t_{(0,5,1,4,3)}^{-1}(\varepsilon_5^{\vee} - \varepsilon_1^{\vee} + K) = v_{(0,5,1,4,3)}(\varepsilon_5^{\vee} + 3K - (\varepsilon_1^{\vee} + 0K) + K) \\ &= \varepsilon_3^{\vee} - \varepsilon_1^{\vee} + 4K, \qquad \text{since } v_{(0,5,1,4,3)}(5) = 3. \end{split}$$

4.0.10 The column-greedy reduced word for u_{μ} .

Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$. Let $J = (j_1 < \ldots < j_r)$ be the sequence of positions of the nonzero entries of μ and let ν be the composition defined by

$$\nu_j = \mu_j - 1$$
 if $j \in J$ and $\nu_k = 0$ if $k \notin J$,

so that ν is the composition which has one fewer box than μ in each (nonempty) row. Define the column-greedy reduced word for the element u_{μ} inductively by setting

$$u_{\mu}^{\downarrow} = \Big(\prod_{m=1}^{r} s_{j_{m}-1} \cdots s_{m+1} s_{m}\Big) \pi^{r} u_{\nu}^{\downarrow}, \tag{4.9}$$

where the product is taken in increasing order.

For example, if $\lambda = (5, 4, 4, 1, 0)$ then $z_{\lambda} = 1$, $w_{\lambda} = s_2$, $v_{\lambda} = w_0 s_2$ and the column greedy reduced word for u_{λ} is

The computation verifying the expression for u_{λ}^{\downarrow} is

$$\begin{array}{c} (5,4,4,1,0) \stackrel{\pi^{-4}}{\to} \\ (0,4,3,3,0) \stackrel{s_1s_2s_3}{\to} (4,3,3,0,0) \stackrel{\pi^{-3}}{\to} \\ (0,0,3,2,2) \stackrel{s_2s_1s_3s_2s_4s_3}{\to} (3,2,2,0,0) \stackrel{\pi^{-3}}{\to} \\ (0,0,2,1,1) \stackrel{s_2s_1s_3s_2s_4s_3}{\to} (2,1,1,0,0) \stackrel{\pi^{-3}}{\to} \\ (0,0,2,0,0) \stackrel{s_2s_1}{\to} (1,0,0,0,0) \stackrel{\pi^{-1}}{\to} (0,0,0,0,0) \end{array}$$

If $\mu = (0, 4, 5, 1, 4)$ then the column greedy reduced word for u_{μ} is

$$u_{\mu}^{\downarrow} = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 \pi^4 \cdot s_1 s_2 s_4 s_3 \pi^3 \cdot s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 s_3 \pi^3 \cdot s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 s_3 \pi^3 \cdot s_3 s_2 s_1 \pi.$$

This follows from (4.8) by using that $\pi s_i \pi^{-1} = s_{i+1}$.

5 The step-by-step and box-by-box recursions

5.0.1 Examples of the step-by-step recursion

Examples illustrating [GR21, Proposition 4.1(a)] are

$$E_{(1,0,0,1,0,0)}^{(156234)} = x_1 E_{(0,0,1,0,0,0)}^{(562341)}, \qquad E_{(1,0,0,1,0,0)}^{(516234)} = x_5 E_{(0,0,1,0,0,0)}^{(162345)}, \qquad E_{(1,0,0,1,0,0)}^{(651234)} = x_6 E_{(0,0,1,0,0,0)}^{(512346)}$$

An example illustrating [GR21, Proposition 4.1(b)] with $zs_i < z$ is

$$\begin{split} E_{(0,0,1,1,0,0)}^{(561234)} &= E_{(0,1,0,1,0,0)}^{(516234)} + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{5-2}}\right) qt^{5-2}t^{-3}E_{(0,1,0,1,0,0)}^{(561234)} \\ &= E_{(0,1,0,1,0,0)}^{(516234)} + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{5-2}}\right) qE_{(0,1,0,1,0,0)}^{(561234)}, \end{split}$$

with $\mu = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0)$ and z = (561234),

$$zv_{\mu}^{-1} = (563412), \quad v_{\mu}^{-1} = (125634), \quad zv_{s_{2}\mu}^{-1} = (513462), \quad v_{s_{2}\mu}^{-1} = (135624),$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{2}\left(\ell(zv_{\mu}^{-1}) - \ell(v_{\mu}^{-1}) - \ell(zv_{s_{2}\mu}^{-1}) + \ell(v_{s_{2}\mu}^{-1})\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\left(12 - 4 - 7 + 5\right) = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot 6 = -3.$$

An example illustrating [GR21, Proposition 4.1(b)] with $zs_i > z$ is

$$E_{(0,1,0,1,0,0)}^{(561234)} = E_{(1,0,0,1,0,0)}^{(651234)} + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{5-1}}\right) E_{(1,0,0,1,0,0)}^{(561234)}$$

with $\mu = (0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0)$ and z = (561234),

$$zv_{\mu}^{-1} = (513462), \quad v_{\mu}^{-1} = (135624), \quad zv_{s_{1}\mu}^{-1} = (613452), \quad v_{s_{1}\mu}^{-1} = (235614),$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{2}\left(\ell(zv_{\mu}^{-1}) - \ell(v_{\mu}^{-1}) - \ell(zv_{s_{1}\mu}^{-1}) + \ell(v_{s_{1}\mu}^{-1})\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\left(7 - 5 - 8 + 6\right) = 0.$$

5.0.2 Examples of the box by box recursion

An example executing the box-by-box recursion is provided just after Theorem 1.1. in [GR21].

5.0.3 An example of a 2^{j-1} to j term compression when j = 3

In order to check the powers of t in [GR21, Lemma 4.2] compute $\tau_2^{\vee} \tau_1^{\vee} E_{\gamma}$,

$$\begin{split} \tau_{2}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}E_{\gamma} &= C_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}(T_{1}+f_{-\beta_{1}^{\vee}})E_{\gamma} = C_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}T_{1}E_{\gamma} + f_{-\beta_{1}^{\vee}}C_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}E_{\gamma} \\ &= C_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}T_{1}E_{\gamma} + c_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}f_{-\beta_{1}^{\vee}}E_{\gamma} = (T_{2}+f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}})T_{1}E_{\gamma} + c_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}f_{-\beta_{1}^{\vee}}E_{\gamma} \\ &= T_{2}T_{1}E_{\gamma} + f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}T_{1}E_{\gamma} + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}E_{\gamma} \\ &= T_{2}T_{1}E_{\gamma} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_{1}E_{\gamma} + t^{-\frac{2}{2}}E_{\gamma}). \end{split}$$

Now replace $T_2 = T_2^{-1} + (t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ to get

$$\begin{split} \tau_{2}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}E_{\gamma} &= (T_{2}^{-1} + (t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}))T_{1}E_{\gamma} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_{1}E_{\gamma} + t^{-\frac{2}{2}}E_{\gamma}) \\ &= T_{2}^{-1}T_{1}E_{\gamma} + (t - 1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}})t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_{1}E_{\gamma} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}t^{-\frac{2}{2}}E_{\gamma} \\ &= T_{2}^{-1}T_{1}E_{\gamma} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}d_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_{1}E_{\gamma} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}t^{-\frac{2}{2}}E_{\gamma}, \end{split}$$

and then replacing T_1 in the first term by $T_1 = T_1^{-1} + (t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}})$

$$\begin{split} \tau_{2}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}E_{\gamma} &= T_{2}^{-1}(T_{1}^{-1} + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t-1))E_{\gamma} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}d_{-\beta_{2}}t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_{1}E_{\gamma} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}t^{-\frac{2}{2}}E_{\gamma} \\ &= T_{2}^{-1}T_{1}^{-1}E_{\gamma} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}d_{-\beta_{2}}t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_{1}E_{\gamma} + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-t)t^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_{\gamma} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}t^{-\frac{2}{2}}E_{\gamma} \\ &= T_{2}^{-1}T_{1}^{-1}E_{\gamma} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}d_{-\beta_{2}}t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_{1}E_{\gamma} + (t-1+t^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}})t^{-\frac{2}{2}}E_{\gamma} \\ &= T_{2}^{-1}T_{1}^{-1}E_{\gamma} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}d_{-\beta_{2}}t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_{1}E_{\gamma} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{-\beta_{2}^{\vee}}t^{-\frac{2}{2}}E_{\gamma}. \end{split}$$

5.0.4 Check of the norm statistic in the step by step recursion

This is an example which is helpful for checking the coefficients in [GR21, Proposition 4.3] and its proof. Let

$$\mu = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0), \quad \gamma = (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0), \quad \nu = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad z = y = (561234).$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{ll} v_{\mu}^{-1} = (125634), & \ell(v_{\mu}^{-1}) = 2 + 2 = 4, \\ yv_{\mu}^{-1} = (563412), & \ell(yv_{\mu}^{-1}) = 4 + 4 + 2 + 2 = 12, \\ v_{\gamma}^{-1} = (235614), & \ell(v_{\mu}^{-1}) = 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 = 6, \\ ys_2s_1v_{\gamma}^{-1} = (563412) & \ell(ys_2s_1v_{\gamma}^{-1}) = 4 + 4 + 2 + 2 = 12, \\ ys_1v_{\gamma}^{-1} = (513462) & \ell(ys_1v_{\gamma}^{-1}) = 4 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 7, \\ yv_{\gamma}^{-1} = (613452) & \ell(yv_{\gamma}^{-1}) = 5 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 8. \end{array}$$

Then j = 3 and

$$\begin{split} E_{\mu}^{y} &= t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\ell(yv_{\mu})-\ell(v_{\mu}^{-1})-(3-1)}T_{y}\tau_{2}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}E_{\gamma} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}(12-4-2)}T_{y}\tau_{2}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}E_{\gamma}, \\ E_{\gamma}^{ys_{2}s_{1}} &= t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\ell(ys_{2}s_{1}v_{\gamma}^{-1})-\ell(v_{\gamma}^{-1})}T_{ys_{2}s_{1}}E_{\gamma} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}(12-6)}T_{ys_{2}s_{1}}E_{\gamma} = t^{-\frac{6}{2}}T_{y}T_{2}^{-1}T_{1}^{-1}E_{\gamma} \\ E_{\gamma}^{ys_{1}} &= t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\ell(ys_{1}v_{\gamma}^{-1})-\ell(v_{\gamma}^{-1})}T_{ys_{1}}E_{\gamma} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}(7-6)}T_{ys_{1}}E_{\gamma} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_{y}T_{1}E_{\gamma} \\ E_{\gamma}^{y} &= t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\ell(yv_{\gamma}^{-1})-\ell(v_{\gamma}^{-1})}T_{y}E_{\gamma} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}(8-6)}T_{y}E_{\gamma} = t^{-\frac{2}{2}}T_{y}E_{\gamma} \end{split}$$

so that

$$\begin{split} t^{\frac{6}{2}}E^y_{\mu} &= t^{\frac{6}{2}}E^{ys_2s_1}_{\gamma} + d_{-\beta_1^{\vee}}f_{-\beta_1^{\vee}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}E^{ys_1}_{\gamma} + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}d_{-\beta_1^{\vee}}f_{-\beta_1^{\vee}}t^{\frac{2}{2}}E^y_{\gamma} \\ &= t^{\frac{6}{2}}E^{ys_2s_1}_{\gamma} + \frac{1-t}{1-qt^{5-2}}qt^{5-2}E^{ys_1}_{\gamma} + \frac{1-t}{1-qt^{5-2}}qt^{5-2}E^y_{\gamma} \end{split}$$

giving

$$E^y_{\mu} = E^{ys_2s_1}_{\gamma} + \frac{1-t}{1-qt^{5-2}}qE^{ys_1}_{\gamma} + \frac{1-t}{1-qt^{5-2}}qE^y_{\gamma}$$

as in the second line of the example in 5.0.2.

5.0.5 Check of the statistic for $E_{\varepsilon_j}^z$ where z(j) = j + k

This is an example of [GR21, Proposition 4.3] with

$$\mu = \varepsilon_j, \quad \gamma = \varepsilon_1, \quad y = s_{j+(k-1)} \cdots s_j.$$

Then

$$v_{\mu} = s_{n-1} \cdots s_j, \quad v_{\gamma} = s_{n-1} \cdots s_1, \quad v_{\mu}^{-1} = s_j \cdots s_{n-1}, \quad v_{\gamma}^{-1} = s_1 \cdots s_{n-1}$$

Then $yv_{\mu}^{-1} = s_{j+k} \cdots s_{n-1}$ and $\ell(yv_{\mu}^{-1}) = (n-1) - (j-1) - k$ and

$$\ell(yv_{\mu}^{-1}) - \ell(v_{\mu}^{-1}) - (j-1) = ((n-1) - (j-1) - k) - ((n-1) - (j-1)) = -k - (j-1).$$

Then $yc_a^{-1}c_jv_{\mu}^{-1} = ((s_{j+(k-1)}\cdots s_j)(s_a\cdots s_{j-1})(s_j\cdots s_{n-1})$ and

$$\ell(yc_a^{-1}c_jv_\mu^{-1}) = (j-1+k-(j-1)) + ((j-1)-(a-1)) + (n-1-(j-1)) = (n-1)-(a-1)+k.$$

So

$$\begin{split} \ell(yc_a^{-1}c_jv_\mu^{-1}) - \ell(yv_\mu^{-1}) - \ell(c_a^{-1}c_j) &= (n-1) - (a-1) + k - ((n-1) - (j-1) - k) - ((j-1) - (a-1)) \\ &= 2k. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} E^{z}_{\mu} &= E^{y}_{\mu} = x_{y(j)} E^{yc_{n}}_{\nu} + \frac{(1-t)}{1-q^{\mu_{j}} t^{v_{\mu}(j)-(j-1)}} \sum_{a=0}^{j-1} t^{\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2k} x_{y(a)} E^{yc_{a}^{-1}c_{n}}_{\nu} \\ &= x_{y(j)} + \frac{(1-t)}{1-q^{\mu_{j}} t^{v_{\mu}(j)-(j-1)}} \sum_{a=0}^{j-1} t^{k} x_{y(a)}. \end{split}$$

6 Type GL_n DAArt, DAHA and the polynomial representation

6.0.1 Example to check the eigenvalues of Y_i on E_{μ}

The box greedy reduced words for $u_{(2,1,0)}$, $u_{(2,0,1)}$ and $u_{(1,2,0)}$ are

$$u_{(2,1,0)}^{\square} = \begin{vmatrix} \overline{\pi} & \overline{s_1\pi} \\ \overline{\pi} & u_{(2,0,1)}^{\square} = \begin{vmatrix} \overline{\pi} & \overline{s_1\pi} \\ & u_{(1,2,0)}^{\square} = \begin{vmatrix} \overline{\pi} \\ \overline{\pi} \\ \overline{s_1\pi} \end{vmatrix} \quad u_{(1,2,0)}^{\square} = \begin{vmatrix} \overline{\pi} \\ \overline{\pi} \\ \overline{s_2s_1\pi} \end{vmatrix}$$

Using $u_{\mu} = t_{\mu}v_{\mu}^{-1}$ to carefully compute v_{μ}^{-1} :

$$u_{(2,1,0)} = \pi^2 s_1 \pi = t_{\varepsilon_1} s_1 s_2 t_{\varepsilon_1} s_1 s_2 s_1 t_{\varepsilon_1} s_1 s_2$$

= $t_{\varepsilon_1} t_{\varepsilon_2} s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2 s_1 t_{\varepsilon_1} s_1 s_2$
= $t_{\varepsilon_1} t_{\varepsilon_2} s_2 t_{\varepsilon_1} s_1 s_2$
= $t_{2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2} s_2 s_1 s_2$, so $v_{(2,1,0)}^{-1} = s_2 s_1 s_2$.

$$u_{(2,0,1)} = \pi s_1 \pi s_1 \pi = t_{\varepsilon_1} s_1 s_2 s_1 t_{\varepsilon_1} s_1 s_2 s_1 t_{\varepsilon_1} s_1 s_2$$

= $t_{\varepsilon_1} t_{\varepsilon_3} s_1 s_2 s_1 s_1 s_2 s_1 t_{\varepsilon_1} s_1 s_2$
= $t_{2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3} s_1 s_2$, so $v_{(2,0,1)}^{-1} = s_1 s_2$.

$$u_{(1,2,0)} = \pi^2 s_2 s_1 \pi = t_{\varepsilon_1} s_1 s_2 t_{\varepsilon_1} s_1 s_2 s_2 s_1 t_{\varepsilon_1} s_1 s_2$$

= $t_{\varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2} s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2$
= $t_{\varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2} s_2 s_1$, so $v_{(1,2,0)}^{-1} = s_2 s_1$.

Using

$$\begin{aligned} u_{(2,1,0)} &= t_{(2,1,0)} s_1 s_2 s_1 = t_{(2,1,0)} v_{(2,1,0)}^{-1}, & u_{(2,0,1)} = t_{(2,0,1)} s_1 s_2 = t_{(2,0,1)} v_{(2,0,1)}^{-1}, \\ u_{(1,2,0)} &= t_{(1,2,0)} s_2 s_1 = t_{(1,2,0)} v_{(1,2,0)}^{-1}, & u_{(0,2,1)} = t_{(0,2,1)} s_2 = t_{(0,2,1)} v_{(0,2,1)}^{-1}, \\ u_{(1,0,2)} &= t_{(1,0,2)} s_2, = t_{(1,0,2)} v_{(1,0,2)}^{-1}, & u_{(0,1,2)} = t_{(0,1,2)} = t_{(0,1,2)} v_{(0,1,2)}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and the relations

$$Y_1 \tau_{\pi}^{\vee} = q^{-1} \tau_{\pi}^{\vee} Y_3, \qquad Y_2 \tau_{\pi}^{\vee} = \tau_{\pi}^{\vee} Y_1, \qquad Y_3 \tau_{\pi}^{\vee} = \tau_{\pi}^{\vee} Y_2,$$

then

$$\begin{split} Y_{1}E_{(2,1,0)} &= t^{-\frac{3}{2}}Y_{1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} = t^{-\frac{3}{2}}q^{-1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}Y_{3}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} = t^{-\frac{3}{2}}q^{-1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}Y_{2}\tau_{1}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} \\ &= t^{-\frac{3}{2}}q^{-1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}Y_{1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} = t^{-\frac{3}{2}}q^{-2}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} = q^{-2}t^{-(3-1)+\frac{1}{2}(3-1)}E_{(2,1,0)}, \\ Y_{2}E_{(2,1,0)} &= t^{-\frac{3}{2}}Y_{2}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} = t^{-\frac{3}{2}}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}Y_{1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} = t^{-\frac{3}{2}}q^{-1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1}_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} \\ &= t^{-\frac{3}{2}}q^{-1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}Y_{3}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} = t^{-\frac{3}{2}}q^{-1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} = t^{-\frac{3}{2}}q^{-1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1}_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} = t^{-\frac{3}{2}}q^{-1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1}_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1}_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} \\ &= t^{-\frac{3}{2}}q^{-1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}\mathbf{1}_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} = t^{-\frac{3}{2}}q^{-1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}\mathbf{1}_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} = t^{-\frac{3}{2}}q^{-1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1}_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1}_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} \\ &= t^{-\frac{3}{2}}q^{-1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{1}^{\vee}\mathbf{1}_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} = t^{-\frac{3}{2}}q^{-1}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1}_{\pi}^{\vee}\mathbf{1} = t^{-(1-1)+\frac{1}{2}(3-1)}E_{(2,1,0)}. \end{split}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} Y_{1}E_{(1,2,0)} &= t^{\frac{1}{2}}Y_{1}\tau_{1}^{\vee}E_{(2,1,0)} = t^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau_{1}^{\vee}Y_{2}E_{(2,1,0)} = q^{-1}t^{-(2-1)+\frac{1}{2}(3-1)}E_{(1,2,0)}, \\ Y_{2}E_{(1,2,0)} &= t^{\frac{1}{2}}Y_{2}\tau_{1}^{\vee}E_{(2,1,0)} = t^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau_{1}^{\vee}Y_{1}E_{(2,1,0)} = q^{-2}t^{-(3-1)+\frac{1}{2}(3-1)}E_{(1,2,0)}, \\ Y_{3}E_{(1,2,0)} &= t^{\frac{1}{2}}Y_{3}\tau_{1}^{\vee}E_{(2,1,0)} = t^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau_{1}^{\vee}Y_{3}E_{(2,1,0)} = q^{-0}t^{-(1-1)+\frac{1}{2}(3-1)}E_{(1,2,0)}, \end{split}$$

and $v_{(1,2,0)}(1) = s_1 s_2(1) = s_1(1) = 2$, $v_{(1,2,0)}(2) = s_1 s_2(2) = s_1(3) = 3$ and $v_{(1,2,0)}(3) = s_1 s_2(3) = s_1(2) = 1$.

6.0.2 The elements X^{ω_r} .

For $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ let $\omega_i = \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_i$. Then

$$X^{\omega_i} = X^{\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_i} = (g^{\vee})^i T_{w_i}^{-1}, \quad \text{where} \quad w_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & n \\ i+1 & \cdots & n & 1 & \cdots & i \end{pmatrix}$$

In W, the element $t_{\omega_i} = \pi^i w_i$. There are two favorite choices of reduced word for w_i , which are

$$w_{i} = (s_{i} \cdots s_{n-1})(s_{i-1} \cdots s_{n-2}) \cdots (s_{1} \cdots s_{n-i}) = (s_{i} \cdots s_{1})(s_{i+1} \cdots s_{2}) \cdots (s_{n-1} \cdots s_{n-i})$$

For example, if n = 6 then

$$\begin{split} w_1 &= s_5 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, \\ w_2 &= (s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1) (s_5 s_4 s_3 s_2) = (s_4 s_5) (s_3 s_4) (s_2 s_3) (s_1 s_2) \\ w_3 &= (s_3 s_2 s_1) (s_4 s_3 s_2) (s_5 s_4 s_3) = (s_3 s_4 s_5) (s_2 s_3 s_4) (s_1 s_2 s_3) \\ w_4 &= (s_2 s_1) (s_3 s_2) (s_4 s_3) (s_5 s_4) = (s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5) (s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4) \\ w_5 &= s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5 \\ w_6 &= 1, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} X^{\omega_1} &= g^{\vee} T_5^{-1} T_4^{-1} T_3^{-1} T_2^{-1} T_1^{-1}, \\ X^{\omega_2} &= (g^{\vee})^2 (T_4^{-1} T_3^{-1} T_2^{-1} T_1^{-1}) (T_5^{-1} T_4^{-1} T_3^{-1} T_2^{-1}) \\ &= (g^{\vee})^2 (T_4^{-1} T_5^{-1}) (T_3^{-1} T_4^{-1}) (T_2^{-1} T_3^{-1}) (T_1^{-1} T_2^{-1}) \\ X^{\omega_3} &= (g^{\vee})^3 (T_3^{-1} T_2^{-1} T_1^{-1}) (T_4^{-1} T_3^{-1} T_2^{-1}) (T_5^{-1} T_4^{-1} T_3^{-1}) \\ &= (g^{\vee})^3 (T_3^{-1} T_4^{-1} T_5^{-1}) (T_2^{-1} T_3^{-1} T_4^{-1}) (T_1^{-1} T_2^{-1} T_3^{-1}) \\ X^{\omega_4} &= (g^{\vee})^4 (T_2^{-1} T_1^{-1}) (T_3^{-1} T_2^{-1}) (T_4^{-1} T_3^{-1}) (T_5^{-1} T_4^{-1}) \\ &= (g^{\vee})^4 (T_2^{-1} T_3^{-1} T_4^{-1} T_5^{-1}) (T_1^{-1} T_2^{-1} T_3^{-1} T_4^{-1}) \\ X^{\omega_5} &= (g^{\vee})^5 T_1^{-1} T_2^{-1} T_3^{-1} T_4^{-1} T_5^{-1} \\ X^{\omega_6} &= (g^{\vee})^6. \end{split}$$

6.0.3 Type *GL*₂

For type GL_2 , $X_1 = g^{\vee} T_1^{-1}$ and $X_2 = T_1 X_1 T_1 = T_1 g^{\vee}$ and $X_1 X_2 = (g^{\vee})^2$, $X_1^{k+1} T_1 = (g^{\vee} T_1^{-1})^k g^{\vee}$, $(T_1 g^{\vee})^k = X_2^k$.

The box greedy reduced words for the first few cases are

$$u_{(1,0)}^{\Box} = \begin{vmatrix} \overline{\pi} & u_{(0,1)}^{\Box} = \end{vmatrix} \xrightarrow{s_1 \pi} u_{(2,0)}^{\Box} = \begin{vmatrix} \overline{\pi} & \overline{s_1 \pi} \\ \overline{\pi} & u_{(1,1)}^{\Box} = \begin{vmatrix} \overline{\pi} & u_{(0,2)}^{\Box} = \end{vmatrix} \xrightarrow{s_1 \pi} u_{(3,0)}^{\Box} = \begin{vmatrix} \overline{\pi} & \overline{s_1 \pi} \\ \overline{\pi} & \overline{s_1 \pi} \end{vmatrix}$$

In this case the construction of E_{μ} as $E_{\mu} = t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(v_{\mu}^{-1})} \tau_{u_{\mu}}^{\vee} \mathbf{1}$ in [GR21, Proposition 5.7] is

$$E_{(k+h,k)} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\tau_{\pi}^{\vee})^{2k} (\tau_{\pi}^{\vee} \tau_{1}^{\vee})^{h-1} \tau_{\pi}^{\vee} \mathbf{1} \quad \text{and} \quad E_{(k,k+h)} = (\tau_{\pi}^{\vee})^{2k} (\tau_{1}^{\vee} \tau_{\pi}^{\vee})^{h} \mathbf{1}, \qquad \text{with } \tau_{\pi}^{\vee} = g^{\vee}.$$

Let $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. The nonattacking fillings and words for $E_{(h,0)}$ and $E_{(0,h)}$ are

7 Additional examples

7.0.1 Formulas for E_{μ} when n = 2.

$$\begin{split} E_{(0,0)} &= 1, \\ E_{(1,0)} &= x_1, \\ E_{(0,1)} &= x_2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) x_1, \\ E_{(1,1)} &= x_1 x_2, \\ E_{(2,0)} &= x_1^2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) q x_1 x_2, \\ E_{(0,2)} &= x_2^2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t}\right) x_1^2 + \left(\left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) q\right) x_1 x_2, \\ E_{(3,0)} &= x_1^3 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t}\right) q^2 x_1 x_2^2 + \left(\left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) q + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) q^2\right) x_1^2 x_2. \end{split}$$

Then [Mac03, (6.2.7) and (6.28)] provides the general formula as follows. Let

$$(x;q)_{\infty} = (1-x)(1-xq)(1-xq^2)\cdots, \quad (x;q)_r = \frac{(x;q)_{\infty}}{(q^r x;q)_{\infty}}, \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} s\\r \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(q;q)_s}{(q;q)_r(q;q)_{s-r}}$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and let $t = q^k$. Then

$$E_{(0,m)} = \begin{bmatrix} k+m \\ m \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \sum_{i+j=m} \begin{bmatrix} k+i-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k+j \\ j \end{bmatrix} x_1^j x_2^j$$
$$E_{(m+1,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} k+m \\ m \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \sum_{i+j=m} \begin{bmatrix} k+i-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k+j \\ j \end{bmatrix} q^i x_1^{j+1} x_2^i$$

Since $t = q^k$, it appears that t must be a power of q. But this is not really the case since we may rewrite these formulas using

$$\begin{bmatrix} k+m \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(q;q)_{k+m}}{(q;q)_m(q;q)_k} = \frac{(q;q)_{\infty}(q^m;q)_{\infty}(q^k;q)_{\infty}}{(q^{k+m};q)_{\infty}(q;q)_{\infty}(q;q)_{\infty}} = \frac{(q^m;q)_{\infty}(t;q)_{\infty}}{(tq^m;q)_{\infty}(q;q)_{\infty}} = \frac{(t;q)_m}{(q;q)_m}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} k+i-1\\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k+j\\ j \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(q^i;q)_{\infty}(tq^{-1};q)_{\infty}}{(tq^{i-1};q)_{\infty}(q;q)_{\infty}} \frac{(q^j;q)_{\infty}(t;q)_{\infty}}{(tq^j;q)_{\infty}(q;q)_{\infty}} = \frac{(q^i;q)_{\infty}(q^j;q)_{\infty}(tq^{-1};q)_{\infty}(t;q)_{\infty}}{(q;q)_{\infty}(tq^{i-1};q)_{\infty}(tq^j;q)_{\infty}}$$

7.0.2 Some small E_{μ} for n = 3.

$$\begin{split} E_{(0,0,0)} &= 1, \\ E_{(1,0,0)} &= x_1, \\ E_{(0,1,0)} &= x_2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^2}\right)x_1, \\ E_{(0,0,1)} &= x_3 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right)(x_2 + x_1) \\ E_{(1,1,0)} &= x_1x_2, \\ E_{(1,0,1)} &= x_1x_3 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^2}\right)x_1x_2, \\ E_{(0,1,1)} &= x_2x_3 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right)(x_1x_3 + x_1x_2), \\ E_{(2,0,0)} &= x_1^2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right)q(x_1x_3 + x_1x_2), \\ E_{(2,2,0)} &= x_1^2x_2^2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^2}\right)qx_1^2x_2x_3 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^2}\right)qx_1x_2^2x_3 \end{split}$$

and $E_{(2,1,0)}, E_{(2,0,1)}, E_{(1,2,0)}, E_{(0,2,1)}, E_{(1,0,2)}, E_{(0,1,2)}$ are given in section 1.3.1. Additionally,

$$P_{(1,0,0)} = m_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3,$$

$$P_{(2,0,0)} = m_{1^2} + \frac{(1-q^2)(1-t)}{(1-q)(1-tq)}m_2,$$

$$P_{(1,1,0)} = m_{1^2} = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3$$

where $m_{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu \in S_n \lambda} x^{\mu}$ is the monomial symmetric function so that $m_2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$.

7.0.3 E_{λ} and P_{λ} when λ is a partition with 3 boxes.

Letting $x^g amma = x_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots x_n \gamma_n$ if $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$, let

 $m_{\lambda} = \sum_{\gamma \in S_n \lambda} x^{\gamma}$, be the monomial symmetric function (orbit sum).

Proposition 7.1. Let $\varepsilon_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ where the 1 appears in the *i*th spot. Then

$$\begin{split} E_{3\varepsilon_{1}} &= x_{1}^{3} + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^{2}t}\right)q^{2}\sum_{k\in\{2,\dots,n\}} x_{1}x_{k}^{2} + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right)\left(1 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^{2}t}\right)q\right)q\sum_{k\in\{2,\dots,n\}} x_{1}^{2}x_{k} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right)\left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^{2}t}\right)(1+q)q^{2}\sum_{\{k,\ell\}\subseteq\{2,\dots,n\}} x_{1}x_{k}x_{\ell}, \\ E_{2\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}} &= x_{1}^{2}x_{n} + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{2}}\right)q(x_{1}x_{2}x_{n}+\dots+x_{1}x_{2}x_{4}+x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}), \\ E_{\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}+\varepsilon_{3}} &= x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}, \end{split}$$

$$P_{3\varepsilon_{1}} = m_{3} + \frac{(1-q^{3})}{(1-tq^{2})} \Big(\frac{1-t}{1-q}\Big) m_{21} + \frac{(1-q^{3})}{(1-tq^{2})} \frac{(1-q^{2})}{(1-tq)} \Big(\frac{1-t}{1-q}\Big)^{2} m_{1^{3}},$$

$$P_{2\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}} = m_{21} + \Big(\frac{(1-t^{2})}{(1-qt)} \frac{(1-q^{2}t)}{(1-qt^{2})} + \frac{(1-t)}{(1-q)} \frac{(1-q^{2})}{(1-qt)}\Big) m_{1^{3}},$$

 $P_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3}=m_{1^3}=e_3,$ where e_r denotes the elementary symmetric function.

Proof. From [GR21, Proposition 3.5(b)],

$$E_{2\varepsilon_n} = x_n^2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t}\right) \sum_{k \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}} x_k^2 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t}\right)q\right) \sum_{k \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}} x_k x_n + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-q^2t}\right) (1+q) \sum_{\{k,\ell\} \subseteq \{1,\dots,n-1\}} x_k x_\ell,$$

and applying [GR21, Proposition 5.8(c)] gives the formula for $E_{3\varepsilon_1} = E_{\pi 2\varepsilon_n}$. Similarly, from [GR21, Proposition 3.5(c)],

$$E_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_n} = x_1 x_n + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^2}\right) (x_1 x_{n-1} + \dots + x_1 x_3 + x_1 x_2),$$

and applying [GR21, Proposition 5.8(c)] gives the formula for $E_{2\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2} = E_{\pi(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_n)}$ in the statement. The formula for $E_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3}$ follows from the first statement of Proposition 7.2.

For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ define

$$(x;q)_r = (1-x)(1-xq)(1-xq^2)\cdots(1-xq^{r-1})$$
 and $(x;q)_\mu = (x;q)_{\mu_1}\cdots(x;q)_{\mu_n}$

(when r = 0 then $(x; q)_0 = 1$). As proved in [Mac, Ch. VI equation (4.9) and Ch. VI §2 Ex. 1], if $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ then

$$P_{\varepsilon_1+\dots+\varepsilon_r} = e_r = m_{1^r}$$
 and $P_{r\varepsilon_1} = \sum_{|\mu|=r} \frac{(q;q)_r}{(t;q)_r} \frac{(t;q)_\mu}{(q;q)_\mu} m_\mu$.

By [Mac, Ch. VI (4.3) and (4.10)], the formula for $P_{2\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}$ follows from the formula for $P_{(2,1,0)}$ in 3 variables given at the end of section 1.3.1.

7.0.4 Macdonald polynomials E_{μ}^{z} and P_{μ} when μ is a single column.

Proposition 7.2. Let $r \in \{1, ..., n\}$ and let $\omega_r = \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_r$.

$$E_{\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_r} = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_i.$$

Let W^{ω_r} be the set of $z \in S_n$ such that z is the minimal length element of its coset $z(S_r \times S_{n-r})$ in S_n . If $z \in W^{\omega_r}$ then

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & r & r+1 & \cdots & n \\ i_1 & i_2 & \cdots & i_r & j_1 & \cdots & j_{n-r} \end{pmatrix} \quad with \quad \begin{array}{c} i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r \text{ and} \\ j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{n-r} \end{array}$$

and

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z)}T_z E_{\omega_r} = x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r} \qquad and \qquad P_{\omega_r} = \sum_{z \in W^{\omega_r}} t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z)}T_z E_{\omega_r} = e_r,$$

is the rth elementary symmetric function.

Proof. Since

$$v_{\varepsilon_1+\dots+\varepsilon_r}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & r & r+1 & \cdots & n \\ r+1 & \cdots & n & 1 & \cdots & r \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \ell(v_{\varepsilon_1+\dots+\varepsilon_r}^{-1}) = (n-r)r,$$

and $u_{\varepsilon_1+\cdots+\varepsilon_r} = \pi^r$ then

$$E_{\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_r} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-r)r} (\tau_{\pi}^{\vee})^r \mathbf{1} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-r)r} (g^{\vee})^r \mathbf{1} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-r)r} X_1 \cdots X_r T_{v_{\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_r}}^{-1} \mathbf{1}, = x_1 \cdots x_r.$$

A reduced word for z is $z = (s_{i_1-1}\cdots s_1)(s_{i_2-1}\cdots s_2)\cdots (s_{i_r-1}\cdots s_r)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(z)}T_{z}E_{\omega_{r}} &= ((t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i_{1}-1})\cdots(t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{1}))\cdot((t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i_{2}-1})\cdots(t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{2}))\cdots((t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i_{r}-1})\cdots(t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{r}))(x_{1}x_{2}\cdots x_{r}) \\ &= ((t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i_{1}-1})\cdots(t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{1}))\cdot((t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i_{2}-1})\cdots(t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{2}))\cdots((t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i_{r-1}-1})\cdots(t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{r-1}))(x_{1}x_{2}\cdots x_{r-1}x_{i_{r}}) \\ &= ((t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i_{1}-1})\cdots(t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{1}))\cdot((t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i_{2}-1})\cdots(t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{2}))\cdots((t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i_{r-2}-1})\cdots(t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{r-2}))(x_{1}x_{2}\cdots x_{r-2}x_{i_{r-1}}x_{i_{r}}) \\ &= \cdots = x_{i_{1}}x_{i_{2}}\cdots x_{i_{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality then follows from (1.5).

7.0.5 E_{μ}^{z} for a single box

Proposition 7.3. Let $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and let $z \in S_n$. Then

$$E_{\varepsilon_j}^z = c_j x_{z(j)} + \dots + c_2 x_{z(2)} + c_1 x_{z(1)}$$

where

$$c_{a} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-j+1}}\right)qt^{C(a)}, & \text{if } z(j) < z(a), \\ \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-j+1}}\right)t^{C(a)}, & \text{if } z(j) > z(a), \\ 1, & \text{if } z(j) = z(a). \end{cases}$$

with

$$C(a) = \begin{cases} \{k \in \{j+1,\dots,n\} \mid z(k) < z(j) < z(a) \text{ or } z(j) < z(a) < z(k)\}, & \text{if } z(j) < z(a), \\ \{k \in \{j+1,\dots,n\} \mid z(j) > z(k) > z(a)\}, & \text{if } z(j) > z(a), \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on $\ell(z)$. If z = 1 then $T_z = 1$ and the formula is the same as given in [GR21, Proposition 3.5(a)] for E_{ε_j} . Let $r \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $s_r z > z$. Recall

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{r}(x_{\ell}) = \begin{cases} x_{r+1}, & \text{if } \ell = r, \\ tx_{r} + (t-1)x_{r+1}, & \text{if } \ell = r+1, \\ tx_{\ell}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(7.1)

$$t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_r(x_\ell) = \begin{cases} t^{-1}x_{r+1}, & \text{if } r = \ell, \\ x_r + (1 - t^{-1})x_{r+1}, & \text{if } \ell = r+1, \\ x_\ell, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(7.2)

Write

$$t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\ell(zv_{\varepsilon_{j}}^{-1})-\ell(v_{\varepsilon_{j}}^{-1})}E_{\varepsilon_{j}}^{z} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{z} x_{z(i)}$$

Then

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_r(c_a^z x_r + c_b^z x_{r+1}) = c_a^z x_{r+1} + c_b^z(tx_r + (t-1)x_{r+1}) = tc_b^z x_r + (c_b^z(t-1) + c_a^z)x_{r+1},$$

giving $c_a^{s_r z} = tc_b^z$ and $c_b^{s_r z} = c_b^z(t-1) + c_a^z.$

$$t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_r(c_a^z x_r + c_b^z x_{r+1}) = t^{-1}c_a^z x_{r+1} + c_b^z(x_r + (1 - t^{-1})x_{r+1}) = c_b^z x_r + (c_b^z(1 - t^{-1}) + t^{-1}c_a^z)x_{r+1}$$

giving $c_a^{srz} = c_b^z$ and $c_b^{srz} = c_b^z(1 - t^{-1}) + t^{-1}c_a^z$.

Let

$$a = z^{-1}(r)$$
 and $b = z^{-1}(r+1)$ so that $b = (s_r z)^{-1}(r)$ and $a = (s_r z)^{-1}(r+1)$

Assume $s_r z > z$ so that a < b.

(lll)	z(j) < r	a < j	b < j	$c_a^z = c_b^z$	multiply by $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_r$	$c_a^{s_r z} = c_b^{s_z} = c_a^z$
(llg)	z(j) < r	a < j	b > j	$c_b^z = 0$	multiply by $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_r$	$c_a^{s_r z} = 0, \ c_b^{s_r z} = t^{-1} c_a^z$
(lgg)	z(j) < r	a > j	b > j	$c_a^z = c_b^z = 0$	multiply by $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_r$	$c_a^{s_r z} = c_b^{s_r z} = 0$
(ele)	z(j) = r	a = j	b > j	$c_a^z=1,\ c_b^z=0$	multiply by $t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_r$	$c_a^{s_r z} = 0, \ c_b^{s_r z} = 1,$
(flf)	z(j) = r + 1	a < j	b = j	$c_{b}^{z} = 1$	multiply by $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_r$	
(gll)	z(j) > r+1	a < j	b < j	$c_a^z = c_b^z$	multiply by $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_r$	$c_a^{s_r z} = c_b^{s_z} = c_a^z$
(glg)	z(j) > r+1	a < j	b > j	$c_b^z = 0$	multiply by $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_r$	$c_a^{s_r z} = 0, \ c_b^{s_r z} = t^{-1} c_a^z$
(ggg)	z(j) > r+1	a > j	b > j	$c_a^z = c_b^z = 0$	multiply by $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_r$	$c_a^{s_r z} = c_b^{s_r z} = 0$

Now we need to show that the statistics C(a) provide the same recursions.

In the case (flf), r + 1 = z(j) > z(a) = r with C(a) = 0 and $r = (s_r z)(j) < (s_r z)(a) = r + 1$ and C(a) = n - j. So

$$c_j^z = 1$$
, $c_a^z = \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-j+1}}\right)t^0$ and $c_j^{s_r z} = 1$, $c_a^{s_r z} = \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-j+1}}\right)qt^{n-j}$

since

$$\begin{split} c_a^{s_r z} &= (1-t^{-1}) + t^{-1} \Big(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-j+1}} \Big) t^0 \\ &= \Big(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-j+1}} \Big) (-t^{-1}(1-qt^{n-j+1}) + t^{-1}) = \Big(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-j+1}} \Big) qt^{n-j}. \end{split}$$

Some examples are

$$(t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i+(k-1)})\cdots(t^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{i})E_{\varepsilon_{i}} = x_{i+k} + \frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt^{n-(i-1)})}t^{k}(x_{i-1}+\cdots+x_{1}),$$

$$(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_{i-k})\cdots(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_{i-1})E_{\varepsilon_{i}}$$

$$= x_{i-k} + \frac{(1-t)}{(1-qt^{n-(i-1)})}\Big(qt^{n-i}(x_{i}+x_{i-1}+\cdots+x_{i-(k-1)}) + (x_{i-(k+1)}+\cdots+x_{1})\Big),$$

7.0.6 The nonattacking fillings for E_{ε_i} .

The box greedy reduced word for u_{ε_i} is



7.0.7 The nonattacking fillings for $E_{\varepsilon_i}^z$.

If z(i) = i + k then the *i* non-attacking fillings are

If z(i) = i - k then the *i* non-attacking fillings are

7.0.8 The nonattacking fillings for $E_{2\varepsilon_i}$

The box greedy reduced word for $u_{2\varepsilon_i}$ is

$$u_{2\varepsilon_{i}}^{\Box} = (s_{i-1}\cdots s_{1}\pi)(s_{n-1}\cdots s_{1}\pi) =$$
$$\begin{array}{c} \Box \\ \vdots \\ \Box \\ \end{array}$$

The case $E_{2\varepsilon_i}$ has $i \cdot n$ nonattacking fillings and 2^{n+i-2} alcove walks. There are no covid triples for any of the nonattacking fillings so that $t^{covid(T)} = t^0 = 1$, and $q^{maj(T)} = q^1 = q$ exactly when T(i, 1) < T(i, 2).

7.0.9 The nonattacking fillings for
$$E_{\varepsilon_{j_1}+\varepsilon_{j_2}}$$
.

Let $j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $j_1 < j_2$. The box greedy reduced word for $u_{\varepsilon_{j_1} + \varepsilon_{j_2}}$ is



 $E_{\varepsilon_{j_1}+\varepsilon_{j_2}}$ has $j_1(j_2-1)$ nonattacking fillings and $2^{j_1-1}2^{j_2-2}$ alcove walks.

8 Queue tableaux

8.0.1 An instance of compression of NAFs – Motivation for Queue Tableaux.

In [GR21, Proposition 3.5(c)], if $j_1 = j_2 - 1$ then the third and fifth summands disappear to give

$$\begin{split} E_{\varepsilon_{j_2-1}+\varepsilon_{j_2}} &= x_{j_2-1}x_{j_2} + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-(j_2-1)}}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{j_2-2} x_k x_{j_2} + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-(j_2-2)}}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-(j_2-1)}} + t\right) \sum_{k=1}^{j_2-2} x_k x_{j_2-1} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-(j_2-2)}}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-j_1}}\right) (1+t) \sum_{\{k,\ell\} \subseteq \{1,\dots,j_2-2\}} x_k x_\ell \\ &= x_{j_2-1}x_{j_2} + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-(j_2-1)}}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{j_2-2} x_k x_{j_2} + \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-(j_2-2)}}\right) \left(\frac{1-qt^{n-(j_2-2)}}{1-qt^{n-(j_2-1)}}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{j_2-2} x_k x_{j_2-1} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-(j_2-2)}}\right) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-qt^{n-(j_2-1)}}\right) (1+t) \sum_{\{k,\ell\} \subseteq \{1,\dots,j_2-2\}} x_k x_\ell \end{split}$$

which is an example of the additional cancellation that occurs when there are adjacent rows of equal length and illustrates the the difference between nonattacking fillings and queue tableaux.

8.0.2 Queue tableaux

Following (and slightly generalizing) [CMW18, Definition A.1], a queue tableau of shape (z, μ) is a nonattacking filling T of (z, μ) such that

(QT) If
$$\mu_i = \mu_{i-1} = \dots = \mu_{i-r}$$
 then $T(i,j) \notin \{T(i-1,j-1),\dots,T(i-r,j-1)\}$.

If the parts of μ are distinct then a queue tableau is no different than a nonattacking filling. More generally, if $\mu_i \neq \mu_{i+1}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ then a queue tableau is no different than a nonattacking filling.

8.0.3 Multiline queues

The *multiline queue* corresponding to a queue tableau T is the pipe dream P corresponding to T under the map given in (3.7), namely

$$P(k,j) = i$$
 if and only if $T(i,j) = k$

The example in [CMW18, Figures 3 and 12] has

queue tableau

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 5 & 3 & & & \\ 1 & 1 & 6 & & & \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & & \\ 7 & 7 & 4 & & \\ 8 & 8 & & & \\ 3 & & & \\ 4 & & & \\ 5 & & & & \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
 and pipe dream
 $P = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 7 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 8 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 5 & 0 & 0 \\ \end{pmatrix}$

The picture of this pipe dream from [CMW18, Figures 3] is



8.0.4 Compression not captured by NAFs or QT

Let $AW_{\mu} = AW_{\mu}^{id}$, $NAF_{\mu} = NAF_{\mu}^{id}$, and $QT_{\mu} = QT_{\mu}^{id}$. The example

$$#AW_{(2,2,1,1,0,0)} = 16, #NAF_{(2,2,1,1,0,0)} = 9 \text{ and } #QT_{(2,2,1,1,0,0)} = 7.$$

is provided in [CMW18, Figure 4]). The equalities (see (see [GR21, Proposition 5.8])

$$\begin{split} E_{(2,0,1)}(x_1, x_2, x_3; q, t) &= (x_1 x_2 x_3)^2 E_{(1,2,0)}(x_3^{-1}, x_2^{-1}, x_1^{-1}; q, t), \quad \text{and} \\ E_{(2,2,0)}(x_1, x_2, x_3; q, t) &= q^{-1} E_{(2,0,1)}(x_3, x_1, x_2; q, t) \end{split}$$

indicate that if one provides a formula for $E_{(1,2,0)}$ then there are formulas for $E_{(2,0,1)}$ and $E_{(2,2,0)}$ with exactly the same number of terms. For these cases,

$$\begin{split} &\# AW_{(1,2,0)} = 4, \quad \# NAF_{(1,2,0)} = 3, \quad \# QT_{(1,2,0)} = 3. \\ &\# AW_{(2,0,1)} = 4, \quad \# NAF_{(2,0,1)} = 4, \quad \# QT_{(2,0,1)} = 4. \\ &\# AW_{(2,2,0)} = 4, \quad \# NAF_{(2,2,0)} = 4, \quad \# QT_{(2,2,0)} = 3. \end{split}$$

Thus $\mu = (2, 0, 1)$ is a case where possible compression is not realized by either the NAFs or the QT.

8.0.5 Comparing #NAF and #QT for $(r, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $(r, \ldots, r, 0)$.

Since $u_{(r,0,\dots,0)} = \pi (s_{n-1} \cdots s_1 \pi)^{r-1}$ and $u_{(r,r,\dots,r,0)} = \pi^{n-1} (s_1 \pi)^{(n-1)(r-1)}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} &\# AW_{(r,0,0,\dots,0)} = (2^{n-1})^{r-1}, & \# NAF_{(r,0,0,\dots,0)} = n^{r-1}, & \# QT_{(r,0,0,\dots,0)} = n^{r-1}, \\ &\# AW_{(r,r,\dots,r,0)} = (2^{n-1})^{r-1}, & \# NAF_{(r,r,\dots,r,0)} = (2^{n-1})^{r-1}, & \# QT_{(r,r,\dots,r,0)} = n^{r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

To see the last equality: In a queue tableau of shape (r, r, ..., r, 0), for each column after the first, we get to choose the position of the $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ that did not appear in the column before (*n* choices total for each column).

References

- [Al16] P. Alexandersson, Non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials and Demazure-Lusztig operators, Sém. Lothar. Combin. B76d (2019), arXiv:1602.05153.
- [BW19] A. Borodin and M. Wheeler, Nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials via integrable vertex models, arXiv:1904.06804. 0, 3.0.7, 3.0.7
- [Bou] N. Bourbaki, Groupes et algèbres de Lie, vol. 4–6, Masson 1981, MR0647314
- [CdGW15] L. Cantini, J. de Gier and M. Wheeler, Matrix product formula for Macdonald polynomials, J. Phys. A Math. Theor., 48 (2015) 384001, arXiv:1505.00287, MR3400909 1.3
- [CdGW16] L. Cantini, J. de Gier and M. Wheeler, Matrix product formula and sum rule for Macdonald polynomials, dmtcs:6419 - Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science, April 22, 2020, DMTCS Proceedings, 28th International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2016) arXiv:1602.04392. 1.3
- [Che95] I. Cherednik, Nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1995, no. 10, 483-515, MR1358032, arXiv: 9505029.
- [CMW18] S. Corteel, O. Mandelshtam and L. Williams, From multiline queues to Macdonald polynomials via the exclusion process, arXiv:1811.01024. 0, 1.3, 2.0.5, 3.0.7, 3.0.7, 8.0.2, 8.0.3, 8.0.4
- [Fe11] J.P. Ferreira, Row-strict quasisymmetric Schur functions, characterizations of Demazure atoms, and permuted basement nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials, Ph.D. thesis U.C. Davis (2011), MR3022565, arXiv:1303.3619.
- [GR21] W. Guo and A. Ram, Comparing formulas for type GL_n Macdonald polynomials, arXiv: 2104.02942. (document), 0, 1.1, 1.3, 2.0.5, 4.0.1, 4.0.9, 5.0.1, 5.0.2, 5.0.3, 5.0.4, 5.0.5, 6.0.3, 7.0.3, 7.0.5, 8.0.1, 8.0.4
- [Hg106] J. Haglund, The q, t-Catalan numbers and the space of diagonal harmonics, with an appendix on the combinatorics of Macdonald polynomials, University Lecture Series 41 Amer. Math. Soc. 2008, ISBN: 978-0-8218-4411-3, available at https://www.math.upenn.edu/~jhaglund/, MR2371044. 2.0.4
- [HHL06] J. Haglund, M. Haiman and N. Loehr, A combinatorial formula for non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials, Amer. J. Math. 130 (2008) 359-383, MR2405160, arXiv:math.CO/0601693. 2.0.3, 2.0.4
- [KT06] M. Kasatani and Y. Takeyama, The quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation and nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials, Noncommutativity and singularities, 249-262, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 55 Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, (2009) and Funkcial. Ekvac. 50 (2007) 491-509, MR2463501 and MR2381328, arXiv:math/0608773. 1.3
- [Kn96] F. Knop, integrality of two variable Kostka functions, J. Reine Angew. Math. 482 (1997) 177-189, MR1427661, arXiv:q-alg/9603027
- [KS96] F. Knop and S. Sahi, A recursion and a combinatorial formula for Jack polynomials, Invent. Math.128 (1997) 9?22, MR1437493, arXiv:q-alg/9610016.
- [Len08] C. Lenart, On combinatorial formulas for Macdonald polynomials, Adv. Math. 220 (2009) 324-340, MR2462843, arXiv:0804.4716. 2.1.1

- [Len10] C. Lenart, Haglund-Haiman-Loehr type formulas for Hall-Littlewood polynomials of type B and C, Algebra Number Theory 4 (2010) 887-917, MR2776877, arXiv:0904.2407.
- [Mac] I.G. Macdonald, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, Second edition, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995. ISBN: 0-19-853489-2, MR1354144. 1, 2.0.2, 2.1, 2.1, 7.0.3
- [Mac95] I.G. Macdonald, Affine Hecke algebras and orthogonal polynomials, Séminaire Bourbaki 1994/95, Astérisque 237 (1996) Exp. No. 797, 4, 189-207. MR1423624. 0, 1.2, 1.2
- [Mac03] I.G. Macdonald, Affine Hecke algebras and orthogonal polynomials, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 157 Cambridge University Press 2003, ISBN: 0-521-82472-9, MR1976581. 0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.2, 1.2, 7.0.1
- [Ra03] A. Ram, Affine Hecke algebras and generalized standard Young tableaux, Special issue celebrating the 80th birthday of Robert Steinberg, J. Algebra 230 (2003) 367-415, MR1976700; this paper is a revised and combined version of the 1998 preprints arXiv:0401323 and arXiv:0401329.
- [RY08] A. Ram and M. Yip, A combinatorial formula for Macdonald polynomials, Adv. Math. 226 (2011) 309-331, arXiv:0803.1146, MR2735761.
- [Sa96] S. Sahi, Interpolation, integrality and generalization of Macdonald's polynomials, Int. Math. Res. Notices 10 (1996) 457-471, MR1399411
- [St67] R. Steinberg, Lectures on Chevalley groups, Notes prepared by John Faulkner and Robert Wilson. Revised and corrected edition of the 1968 original [MR0466335], University Lecture Series 66 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016. ISBN: 978-1-4704-3105-1. 4.0.3