

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



European Journal of Combinatorics

European Journal of Combinatorics 25 (2004) 1263-1283

www.elsevier.com/locate/ejc

# Affine Hecke algebras and the Schubert calculus

Stephen Griffeth, Arun Ram

Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA

Accepted 11 October 2003 Available online 1 February 2004 Dedicated to Alain Lascoux

Abstract

Using a combinatorial approach that avoids geometry, this paper studies the structure of  $K_T(G/B)$ , the *T*-equivariant *K*-theory of the generalized flag variety G/B. This ring has a natural basis { $[\mathcal{O}_{X_w}] | w \in W$ } (the double Grothendieck polynomials), where  $\mathcal{O}_{X_w}$  is the structure sheaf of the Schubert variety  $X_w$ . For rank two cases we compute the corresponding structure constants of the ring  $K_T(G/B)$  and, based on this data, make a positivity conjecture for general *G* which generalizes the theorems of M. Brion (for K(G/B)) and W. Graham (for  $H_T^*(G/B)$ ). Let  $[X^{\lambda}] \in K_T(G/B)$  be the class of the homogeneous line bundle on G/B corresponding to the character of *T* indexed by  $\lambda$ . For general *G* we prove "Pieri–Chevalley formulas" for the products  $[X^{\lambda}][\mathcal{O}_{X_w}]$ ,  $[X^{-\lambda}][\mathcal{O}_{X_w}]$ ,  $[X^{w_0\lambda}][\mathcal{O}_{X_w}]$ , and  $[\mathcal{O}_{X_w g_{ij}}][\mathcal{O}_{X_w}]$ , where  $\lambda$  is dominant. By using the Chern character and comparing lowest degree terms the products which are computed in this paper also give results for the Grothendieck polynomials, double Schubert polynomials, and ordinary Schubert polynomials in, respectively K(G/B),  $H_T^*(G/B)$  and  $H^*(G/B)$ .

Keywords: Flag variety; K-theory; Affine Hecke algebras; Schubert varieties

#### 0. Introduction

Using a combinatorial approach which avoids geometry, this paper studies the ring structure of  $K_T(G/B)$ , the *T*-equivariant *K*-theory of the (generalized) flag variety G/B. Here, the data  $G \supseteq B \supseteq T$  is a complex reductive algebraic group (or symmetrizable Kac–Moody group) G, a Borel subgroup B, and a maximal torus T, and  $K_T(G/B)$ 

E-mail addresses: griffeth@math.wisc.edu (S. Griffeth), ram@math.wisc.edu (A. Ram).

is the Grothendieck group of *T*-equivariant coherent sheaves on *G/B*. Because of the *T*-equivariance the ring  $K_T(G/B)$  is an *R*-algebra, where *R* is the representation ring of *T*. As explained by Grothendieck [6] (in the non-Kac–Moody case) and Kostant and Kumar [9] (in the general Kac–Moody case), the ring  $K_T(G/B)$  has a natural *R*-basis  $\{[\mathcal{O}_{X_w}] \mid w \in W\}$ , where *W* is the Weyl group and  $\mathcal{O}_{X_w}$  is the structure sheaf of the Schubert variety  $X_w \subseteq G/B$ . One of the main problems in the field is to understand the structure constants of the ring  $K_T(G/B)$  with this basis, that is, the coefficients  $c_{wv}^z$  in the equations

$$[\mathcal{O}_{X_w}][\mathcal{O}_{X_v}] = \sum_{z \in W} c_{wv}^z [\mathcal{O}_{X_z}].$$
(0.1)

Our approach is to work completely combinatorially and define  $K_T(G/B)$  as a quotient of the affine nil-Hecke algebra. The fact that the combinatorial approach coincides with the geometric one is a consequence of the results of Kostant and Kumar [9] and Demazure [4]. In the combinatorial literature the elements  $[\mathcal{O}_{X_w}]$  are often called (double) Grothendieck polynomials.

Let *P* be the weight lattice of *G* and, for  $\lambda \in P$ , let  $[X^{\lambda}]$  be the homogeneous line bundle on *G*/*B* corresponding to the character of *T* indexed by  $\lambda$ . The theorem of Pittie [19] says that the ring  $K_T(G/B)$  is generated by the  $[X^{\lambda}], \lambda \in P$ . Steinberg [23] strengthened this result by displaying specific  $[X^{-\lambda_w}], w \in W$ , which form an *R*-basis of  $K_T(G/B)$ . These results are often collectively known as the "Pittie–Steinberg theorem".

The theorems which we prove in Section 2 are simply different points of view on the Pittie–Steinberg theorem. Though we are not aware of any reference which states these theorems in the generality which we consider, these theorems should be considered well known.

Let  $s_1, \ldots, s_n$  be the simple reflections in W (determined by the data ( $G \supseteq B \supseteq T$ )), let  $w_0$  be the longest element of W and let  $P^+$  be the set of dominant weights in P. The Schubert varieties  $X_{w_0s_i}$  are the codimension one Schubert varieties in G/B. In Section 3 we prove "Pieri–Chevalley" formulas for the products

$$[X^{\lambda}][\mathcal{O}_{X_w}], \quad [X^{-\lambda}][\mathcal{O}_{X_w}], \quad [X^{w_0\lambda}][\mathcal{O}_{X_w}], \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathcal{O}_{X_{w_0s_i}}][\mathcal{O}_{X_w}], \tag{0.2}$$

for  $\lambda \in P^+$ ,  $w \in W$  and  $1 \le i \le n$ . All of these Pieri–Chevalley formulas are given in terms of the combinatorics of the Littelmann path model [12–14]. The formula which we give for the first product in (0.2) is due to Pittie and Ram [20]. In this paper we provide more details of proof than appeared in [20]. The other formulas for the products in (0.2) follow by applying the duality theorem of Brion [1, Theorem 4] to the first formula. However, here we give an independent, combinatorial, proof and deduce Brion's result as a consequence. The last formula is a consequence of the nice formula

$$[\mathcal{O}_{X_{w_{0}s_{i}}}] = 1 - e^{w_{0}\omega_{i}}[X^{-\omega_{i}}], \tag{0.3}$$

which is an easy consequence of the first two Pieri-Chevalley rules.

It is not difficult to "specialize" product formulas for  $K_T(G/B)$  to corresponding product formulas for K(G/B),  $H_T^*(G/B)$ , and  $H^*(G/B)$  (by using the Chern character and comparing lowest degree terms, and ignoring the *T*-action). Thus the products which

are computed in this paper also give results for ordinary Grothendieck polynomials, double Schubert polynomials, and ordinary Schubert polynomials. In Section 4 we explain how to do these conversions. For most of these cases the specialized versions of our Pieri–Chevalley rules are already very well known (see, for example, [3]).

In Section 5 we give explicitly

- (a) two different kinds of formulas for  $[\mathcal{O}_{X_w}]$  in terms of  $X^{\lambda}$ , and
- (b) complete computations of the products in (0.1)

for the rank two root systems. This data allows us to make a "positivity conjecture" for the coefficients  $c_{wv}^z$  in (0.1). This conjecture generalizes the theorems of Brion [1, formula before Theorem 1] and Graham [7, Corollary 4.1], which treat the cases K(G/B) and  $H_T^*(G/B)$ , respectively.

## 1. Preliminaries

Fix the following data and notation:

| h*  | is a real vector space of dimension <i>n</i> ,             |
|---|--|
| R   | is a reduced irreducible root system in $\mathfrak{h}^*$ , |
| $R^+$   | is a set of positive roots in <i>R</i> ,                   |
| W   | is the Weyl group of <i>R</i> ,                            |
| $s_1,\ldots,s_n$                                      | are the simple reflections in W,                           |
| $m_{ij}$  | is the order of $s_i s_j$ in $W, i \neq j$ ,               |
| $R(w) = \{ \alpha \in R^+ \mid w\alpha \notin R^+ \}$ | is the inversion set of $w \in W$ ,                        |
| $\ell(w) = \operatorname{Card}(R(w))$                 | is the length of $w \in W$ ,                               |
| $\leq$  | is the Bruhat–Chevalley order on W,                        |
| $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n$                            | are the simple roots in $R^+$ ,                            |
| $\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n$                            | are the fundamental weights,                               |
| $P = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}\omega_i$               | is the weight lattice,                                     |
| $P^+ = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \omega_i$     | is the set of dominant integral weights.                   |

For a brief, easy, introduction to root systems with lots of pictures for visualization see [18]. By [2, VI Section 1 no. 6 Corollary 2 to Proposition 17], if  $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_p}$  is a reduced word for w, then

$$R(w) = \{\alpha_{i_p}, s_{i_p}\alpha_{i_{p-1}}, \dots, s_{i_p}\cdots s_{i_2}\alpha_{i_1}\}.$$
(1.1)

The *affine nil-Hecke algebra* is the algebra  $\tilde{H}$  given by generators  $T_1, \ldots, T_n$  and  $X^{\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda \in P$ , with relations

$$T_i^2 = T_i, \qquad \underbrace{T_i T_j T_i \cdots}_{m_{ij} \text{ factors}} = \underbrace{T_j T_i T_j \cdots}_{m_{ij} \text{ factors}}, \qquad X^{\lambda} X^{\mu} = X^{\lambda+\mu}, \qquad (1.2)$$

and

$$X^{\lambda}T_{i} = T_{i}X^{s_{i}\lambda} + \frac{X^{\lambda} - X^{s_{i}\lambda}}{1 - X^{-\alpha_{i}}}.$$
(1.3)

Let  $T_w = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_p}$  for a reduced word  $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_p}$ . Then

$$\{X^{\lambda}T_{w} \mid w \in W, \lambda \in P\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{T_{w}X^{\lambda} \mid w \in W, \lambda \in P\}$$
(1.4)

are bases of  $\tilde{H}$ .

Both the nil-Hecke algebra,

$$H = \mathbb{Z}\operatorname{-span} \{T_w \mid w \in W\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Z}[X] = \mathbb{Z}\operatorname{-span} \{X^\lambda \mid \lambda \in P\}$$
(1.5)

are subalgebras of  $\tilde{H}$ . The action of W on  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  is given by defining

$$wX^{\lambda} = X^{w\lambda}, \quad \text{for } w \in W, \lambda \in P,$$
(1.6)

and extending linearly. The proof of the following theorem is given in [22, Theorem 1.13 and Theorem 1.17]. The first statement of the theorem is due to Bernstein, Zelevinsky, and Lusztig [16, 8.1] and the second statement is due to Steinberg [23] and is known as the Pittie–Steinberg theorem.

Theorem 1.7. Define

$$\lambda_w = w^{-1} \sum_{s_i w < w} \omega_i, \quad \text{for } w \in W.$$
(1.8)

The center of  $\tilde{H}$  is  $Z(\tilde{H}) = \mathbb{Z}[X]^W$  and each element  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  has a unique expansion

$$f = \sum_{w \in W} f_w X^{-\lambda_w}, \quad \text{with } f_w \in \mathbb{Z}[X]^W.$$
(1.9)

Let  $\varepsilon_i = 1 - T_i$  and let  $\varepsilon_w = \varepsilon_{i_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_p}$  for a reduced word  $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_p}$ . Then  $\varepsilon_w$  is well defined and independent of the reduced word for w since

$$\varepsilon_i^2 = \varepsilon_i, \quad \text{and} \quad \underbrace{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_i \cdots}_{m_{ij} \text{ factors}} = \underbrace{\varepsilon_j \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j \cdots}_{m_{ij} \text{ factors}}.$$
 (1.10)

The second equality is a consequence of the formulas

$$\varepsilon_w = \sum_{v \le w} (-1)^{\ell(v)} T_v$$
 and  $T_w = \sum_{v \le w} (-1)^{\ell(v)} \varepsilon_v$  (1.11)

which are straightforward to verify by induction on the length of w.

# 2. The ring $K_T(G/B)$

Let *H* and  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  be as in (1.5). The *trivial representation* of *H* is defined by the homomorphism  $\mathbf{1}: H \to \mathbb{Z}$  given by  $\mathbf{1}(T_i) = 1$ . The first of the maps

is an  $\tilde{H}$ -module isomorphism if the action of  $\tilde{H}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  is given by

$$T_i \cdot f = \frac{X^{\alpha_i} f - s_i f}{X^{\alpha_i} - 1}, \quad \text{for } f \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$
(2.1)

The group algebra of P is

$$R = \mathbb{Z}\text{-span} \{ e^{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in P \} \qquad \text{with } e^{\lambda} e^{\mu} = e^{\lambda + \mu},$$
(2.2)

for  $\lambda, \mu \in P$ . Extend coefficients to R so that  $\tilde{H}_R = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{H}$  and  $R[X] = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[X]$  are R-algebras. Define  $K_T(G/B)$  to be the  $\tilde{H}_R$ -module

$$K_T(G/B) = R\operatorname{-span} \{ [\mathcal{O}_{X_w}] \mid w \in W \},$$
(2.3)

so that the  $[\mathcal{O}_{X_w}]$ ,  $w \in W$ , are an *R*-basis of  $K_T(G/B)$ , with  $\tilde{H}_R$ -action given by

$$X^{\lambda}[\mathcal{O}_{X_1}] = e^{\lambda}[\mathcal{O}_{X_1}], \quad \text{and} \quad T_i[\mathcal{O}_{X_w}] = \begin{cases} [\mathcal{O}_{X_{ws_i}}], & \text{if } ws_i > w, \\ [\mathcal{O}_{X_w}], & \text{if } ws_i < w. \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

If *R* is an R[X]-module via the *R*-algebra homomorphism given by

then, as  $\tilde{H}_R$ -modules,  $K_T(G/B) \cong \tilde{H}_R \otimes_{R[X]} R_e$ , where  $R_e$  is the *R*-rank 1 R[X]-module determined by the homomorphism *e*.

Let Q be the field of fractions of R and let  $\overline{Q}$  be the algebraic closure of Q. For  $w \in W$  let

$$b_w$$
 in  $\overline{Q} \otimes_R K_T(G/B)$  be determined by  $X^{\lambda} b_w = e^{w\lambda} b_w$ , for  $\lambda \in P$ . (2.6)

If the  $b_w$  exist, then they are a  $\overline{Q}$ -basis of  $\overline{Q} \otimes_R K_T(G/B)$  since they are eigenvectors with distinct eigenvalues. If  $\tau_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , are the operators on  $\overline{Q} \otimes_R K_T(G/B)$  given by

$$\tau_i = T_i - \frac{1}{1 - X^{-\alpha_i}}, \text{ then } b_1 = [\mathcal{O}_{X_1}] \text{ and } \tau_i b_w = b_{ws_i}, \quad \text{for } ws_i > w, \quad (2.7)$$

1

because, a direct computation with relation (1.3) gives that  $X^{\lambda}\tau_i b_w = \tau_i X^{s_i\lambda}b_w = \tau_i e^{ws_i\lambda}b_w = e^{ws_i\lambda}b_{ws_i}$ . Thus the  $b_w$ ,  $w \in W$ , exist and the form of the  $\tau$ -operators shows that, in fact, they form a *Q*-basis of  $Q \otimes_R K_T(G/B)$  (it was not really necessary to extend coefficients all the way to  $\overline{Q}$ ). Eqs. (2.6) and (2.7) force

$$\underbrace{\tau_i \tau_j \tau_i \cdots}_{m_{ij} \text{ factors}} = \underbrace{\tau_j \tau_i \tau_j \cdots}_{m_{ij} \text{ factors}}, \quad \text{and the equality} \quad \tau_i^2 = \frac{1}{(X^{\alpha_i} - 1)(X^{-\alpha_i} - 1)}$$

is checked by direct computation using (1.3). Let  $\tau_w = \tau_{i_1} \cdots \tau_{i_p}$  for a reduced word  $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_p}$ . Then, for  $w \in W$ ,

$$b_w = \tau_{w^{-1}} b_1, \quad [\mathcal{O}_{X_w}] = T_{w^{-1}} [\mathcal{O}_{X_1}] \text{ and we define } [\mathcal{I}_{X_w}] = \varepsilon_{w^{-1}} [\mathcal{O}_{X_1}], \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\varepsilon_w$  is as in (1.11). In terms of geometry,  $[\mathcal{O}_{X_w}]$  is the class of the structure sheaf of the Schubert variety  $X_w$  in G/B and, up to a sign,  $[\mathcal{I}_{X_w}]$  is class of the sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_{X_w}$  determined by the exact sequence  $0 \to \mathcal{I}_{X_w} \to \mathcal{O}_{X_w} \to \mathcal{O}_{\partial X_w} \to 0$ , where  $\partial X_w = \bigsqcup_{v < w} BvB$  (see [17, Theorem 2.1(ii)] and [15, Eq. (4)]). We are not aware of a good geometric characterization of the basis  $\{[X^{-\lambda_w}] \mid w \in W\}$  of  $K_T(G/B)$  which appears in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $\lambda_w$ ,  $w \in W$ , be as defined in Theorem 1.7 and let  $[X^{\lambda}] = X^{\lambda}[\mathcal{O}_{X_{w_0}}] = X^{\lambda}T_{w_0}[\mathcal{O}_{X_1}]$  for  $\lambda \in P$ . Then the  $[X^{-\lambda_w}]$ ,  $w \in W$ , form an *R*-basis of  $K_T(G/B)$ .

**Proof.** Up to constant multiples,  $[\mathcal{O}_{X_{w_0}}] = T_{w_0}[\mathcal{O}_{X_1}]$  is determined by the property

$$T_i[\mathcal{O}_{X_{w_0}}] = [\mathcal{O}_{X_{w_0}}], \quad \text{for all } 1 \le i \le n.$$
 (2.10)

If constants  $c_w \in Q$  are given by

$$[\mathcal{O}_{X_{w_0}}] = \sum_{w \in W} c_w b_w,$$

then comparing coefficients of  $b_{ws_i}$ , for  $ws_i > w$ , on each side of (2.10) yields a recurrence relation for the  $c_w$ ,

$$c_w = c_{ws_i} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-w\alpha_i}}\right) \qquad \text{for } ws_i > w,$$
  
which implies  $c_{w_0v^{-1}} = \prod_{\alpha \in R(v)} \frac{1}{1 - e^{w_0\alpha}},$  (2.11)

via (1.1) and the fact that  $c_{w_0} = 1$ . Thus,

$$[X^{-\lambda_v}] = X^{-\lambda_v}[\mathcal{O}_{X_{w_0}}] = \sum_{w \in W} c_w \mathrm{e}^{-w\lambda_v} b_w.$$

and if C, M and A are the  $|W| \times |W|$  matrices given by

$$C = \operatorname{diag}(c_w), \quad M = (e^{-w\lambda_v}), \quad \text{and} \quad A = (a_{zw}), \quad \text{where } b_w = \sum_{z \in W} a_{zw}[\mathcal{O}_{X_z}],$$

then the transition matrix between the  $X^{-\lambda_v}$  and the  $[\mathcal{O}_{X_z}]$  is the product *ACM*. By (2.8) and the definition of the  $\tau_i$ , the matrix *A* has determinant 1. Using the method of Steinberg [23] and subtracting row  $e^{-s_\alpha w \lambda_v}$  from row  $e^{-w \lambda_v}$  in the matrix *M* allows one to conclude that det(*M*) is divisible by

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{|W|/2} \quad \text{and identifying} \quad \prod_{w \in W} e^{-w\lambda_w} = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{s_i \le w < w} e^{-\omega_i} = (e^{-\rho})^{|W|/2}$$

as the lowest degree term determines det(M) exactly. Thus,

$$\det(ACM) = 1 \cdot \left(\prod_{w \in W} \prod_{\alpha \in R(w)} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\alpha}}\right) \left(e^{\rho} \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})\right)^{|W|/2} = (e^{\rho})^{|W|/2}.$$

Since this is a unit in R, the transition matrix between the  $[\mathcal{O}_{X_w}]$  and the  $X^{-\lambda_v}$  is invertible.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.12.** *The composite map* 

is surjective with kernel

$$\ker \Phi = \langle f - e(f) \mid f \in R[X]^W \rangle,$$

the ideal of the ring R[X] generated by the elements f - e(f) for  $f \in R[X]^W$ . Hence

$$K_T(G/B) \cong \frac{R[X]}{\langle f - e(f) \mid f \in R[X]^W \rangle}$$

has the structure of a ring.

**Proof.** Since  $\Phi(X^{\lambda}) = X^{\lambda}T_{w_0}[\mathcal{O}_{X_1}] = X^{\lambda}[\mathcal{O}_{X_{w_0}}]$ , it follows from Theorem 2.9 that  $\Phi$  is surjective. Thus  $K_T(G/B) \cong R[X]/\ker \Phi$ . Let  $I = \langle f - e(f) \mid f \in R[X]^W \rangle$ . If  $f \in R[X]^W$  then, for all  $\lambda \in P$ ,

$$\begin{split} \Phi(X^{\lambda}(f - e(f))) &= X^{\lambda}(f - e(f))T_{w_0}[\mathcal{O}_{X_1}] = X^{\lambda}T_{w_0}(f - e(f))[\mathcal{O}_{X_1}] \\ &= X^{\lambda}T_{w_0}(e(f) - e(f))[\mathcal{O}_{X_1}] = 0, \end{split}$$

since  $f - e(f) \in Z(\tilde{H}_R)$ . Thus  $I \subseteq \ker \Phi$ . The ring  $K_T(G/B) = R[X]/\ker \Phi$  is a free *R*-module of rank |W| and, by Theorem 1.7, so is R[X]/I. Thus ker  $\Phi = I$ .  $\Box$ 

#### 3. Pieri–Chevalley formulas

Recall that both

$$\{X^{\lambda}T_{w^{-1}} \mid \lambda \in P, w \in W\} \text{ and } \{T_{z^{-1}}X^{\mu} \mid \mu \in P, z \in W\} \text{ are bases of } \tilde{H}.$$

If  $c_{w,\lambda}^{\mu,\zeta} \in \mathbb{Z}$  are the entries of the transition matrix between these two bases,

$$X^{\lambda}T_{w^{-1}} = \sum_{z \in W, \mu \in P} c_{w,\lambda}^{\mu,z} T_{z^{-1}} X^{\mu},$$
(3.1)

then applying each side of (3.1) to  $[\mathcal{O}_{X_1}]$  gives that

$$[X^{\lambda}][\mathcal{O}_{X_w}] = \sum_{z \in W, \mu \in P} c_{w,\lambda}^{\mu,z} e^{\mu}[\mathcal{O}_{X_z}], \quad \text{in } K_T(G/B).$$

This is the most general form of "Pieri–Chevalley rule". The problem is to determine the coefficients  $c_{w \lambda}^{\mu, z}$ .

# 3.1. The path model

A *path* in  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  is a piecewise linear map  $p : [0, 1] \to \mathfrak{h}^*$  such that p(0) = 0. For each  $1 \le i \le n$  there are *root operators*  $e_i$  and  $f_i$  (see [14] Definitions 2.1 and 2.2) which act on the paths. If  $\lambda \in P^+$  the *path model* for  $\lambda$  is

$$\mathcal{T}^{\lambda} = \{f_{i_1} f_{i_2} \cdots f_{i_l} p_{\lambda}\}$$

the set of all paths obtained by applying the root operators to  $p_{\lambda}$ , where  $p_{\lambda}$  is the straight path from 0 to  $\lambda$ , that is,  $p_{\lambda}(t) = t\lambda$ ,  $0 \le t \le 1$ . Each path p in  $\mathcal{T}^{\lambda}$  is a concatenation of

segments

$$p = p_{w_1\lambda}^{a_1} \otimes p_{w_2\lambda}^{a_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes p_{w_r\lambda}^{a_r} \quad \text{with } w_1 \ge w_2 \ge \cdots \ge w_r$$
  
and  $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_r = 1,$  (3.2)

where, for  $v \in W$  and  $a \in (0, 1]$ ,  $p_{v\lambda}^a$  is a piece of length a from the straight line path  $p_{v\lambda} = vp_{\lambda}$ . If  $W_{\lambda} = \text{Stab}(\lambda)$  then the  $w_j$  should be viewed as cosets in  $W/W_{\lambda}$  and  $\geq$  denotes the order on  $W/W_{\lambda}$  inherited from the Bruhat–Chevalley order on W. The total length of p is the same as the total length of  $p_{\lambda}$  which is assumed (or normalized) to be 1. For  $p \in \mathcal{T}^{\lambda}$  let

$$p(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i w_i \lambda \qquad \text{be the endpoint of } p,$$
  

$$\iota(p) = w_1, \qquad \text{the initial direction of } p, \qquad \text{and}$$
  

$$\phi(p) = w_r, \qquad \text{the final direction of } p.$$

If  $h \in \mathcal{T}^{\lambda}$  is such that  $e_i(h) = 0$  then h is the head of its *i*-string

$$S_i^{\lambda}(h) = \{h, f_i h, \dots, f_i^m h\},\$$

where *m* is the smallest positive integer such that  $f_i^m h \neq 0$  and  $f_i^{m+1} h = 0$ . The full path model  $\mathcal{T}^{\lambda}$  is the union of its *i*-strings. The endpoints and the initial and final directions of the paths in the *i*-string  $S_i^{\lambda}(h)$  have the following properties:

$$(f_i^k h)(1) = h(1) - k\alpha_i, \quad \text{for } 0 \le k \le m,$$
  
either  $\iota(h) = \iota(f_i h) = \dots = \iota(f_i^m h) < s_i \iota(h)$   
or  $\iota(h) < \iota(f_i h) = \dots = \iota(f_i^m h) = s_i \iota(h), \quad \text{and}$   
either  $s_i \phi(f_i^m h) < \phi(h) = \dots = \phi(f_i^{m-1} h) = \phi(f_i^m h)$   
or  $s_i \phi(f_i^m h) = \phi(h) = \dots = \phi(f_i^{m-1} h) < \phi(f_i^m h).$ 
(3.3)

The first property is [13, Lemma 2.1a], the second is [12, Lemma 5.3], and the last is a result of applying [13, Lemma 2.1e] to [12, Lemma 5.3]. All of these facts are really coming from the explicit form of the action of the root operators on the paths in  $T^{\lambda}$  which is given in [12, Proposition 4.2].

Let  $\lambda \in P^+$ ,  $w \in W$  and  $z \in W/W_{\lambda}$ , and let  $p \in \mathcal{T}^{\lambda}$  be such that  $\iota(p) \leq wW_{\lambda}$  and  $\phi(p) \geq z$ . Write p in the form (3.2) and let  $\tilde{w}_1, \ldots, \tilde{w}_r, \tilde{z}$  be the maximal (in Bruhat order) coset representatives of the cosets  $w_1, \ldots, w_r, z$  such that

$$w \ge \tilde{w}_1 \ge \tilde{w}_2 \ge \dots \ge \tilde{w}_r \ge \tilde{z}. \tag{3.4}$$

**Theorem 3.5.** Recall the notation  $\varepsilon_v$  from (1.11). Let  $\lambda \in P^+$  and let  $W_{\lambda} = \text{Stab}(\lambda)$ . Let  $w \in W$ . Then, in the affine nil-Hecke algebra  $\tilde{H}$ ,

$$X^{\lambda}T_{w^{-1}} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{T}^{\lambda} \atop \iota(p) \le w W_{\lambda}} T_{\phi(p)^{-1}} X^{p(1)} \quad and$$

S. Griffeth, A. Ram / European Journal of Combinatorics 25 (2004) 1263-1283

$$X^{\lambda}\varepsilon_{w^{-1}} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{T}^{\lambda} \atop \iota(p) = w} \sum_{z \in W/W_{\lambda} \atop z \le \phi(p)} (-1)^{\ell(w) + \ell(z)} \varepsilon_{\tilde{z}^{-1}} X^{p(1)},$$

where, if  $W_{\lambda} \neq \{1\}$  then  $T_{\phi(p)^{-1}} = T_{\tilde{w}_r^{-1}}$  and  $\varepsilon_{z^{-1}} = \varepsilon_{\tilde{z}^{-1}}$  with  $\tilde{w}_r$  and  $\tilde{z}$  as in (3.4).

**Proof.** (a) The proof is by induction on  $\ell(w)$ . Let  $w = s_i v$  where  $s_i v > v$ . Define

$$T_{\leq w}^{\lambda} = \{ p \in T^{\lambda} \mid \iota(p) \leq w W_{\lambda} \}.$$

Assume  $w = s_i v > v$ . Then the facts in (3.3) imply that

- (1)  $\mathcal{T}_{\leq w}^{\lambda}$  is a union of the strings  $S_i(h)$  such that  $h \in \mathcal{T}_{\leq v}^{\lambda}$ , and
- (2) If  $h \in \mathcal{T}_{\leq v}^{\lambda}$  then either  $S_i(h) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\leq v}^{\lambda}$  or  $S_i(h) \cap \mathcal{T}_{\leq v}^{\lambda} = \{h\}$ .

Using the facts in (3.3), a direct computation with the relation (1.3) establishes that, if  $h \in T_{\leq v}^{\lambda}$  then

$$\sum_{p \in S_i(h)} T_{\phi(p)^{-1}} X^{\eta(1)} = T_{\phi(h)^{-1}} X^{h(1)} T_i, \quad \text{and}$$
$$\sum_{p \in S_i(h)} T_{\phi(p)^{-1}} X^{\eta(1)} = \begin{cases} T_{\phi(h)^{-1}} X^{h(1)} T_i, & \text{if } S_i(h) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\leq v}^{\lambda}, \\ T_{\phi(h)^{-1}} X^{h(1)} T_i, & \text{if } S_i(h) \cap \mathcal{T}_{\leq v}^{\lambda} = \{h\}. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} X^{\lambda}T_{w^{-1}} &= X^{\lambda}T_{v^{-1}}T_{i} = \left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{T}_{\leq v}^{\lambda}} T_{\phi(p)^{-1}}X^{p(1)}\right) T_{i} \quad \text{(by induction)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathcal{T}_{\leq v}^{\lambda} \\ e_{i}(h) = 0}} \left(\sum_{S_{i}(h) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\leq v}^{\lambda}} \sum_{p \in S_{i}(h)} T_{\phi(p)^{-1}}X^{p(1)} + \sum_{S_{i}(h) \cap \mathcal{T}_{\leq v}^{\lambda} = \{h\}} T_{\phi(h)^{-1}}X^{h(1)}\right) T_{i} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathcal{T}_{\leq w}^{\lambda} \\ e_{i}(h) = 0}} \left(\sum_{S_{i}(h) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\leq v}^{\lambda}} T_{\phi(h)^{-1}}X^{h(1)}T_{i} + \sum_{S_{i}(h) \cap \mathcal{T}_{\leq v}^{\lambda} = \{h\}} T_{\phi(h)^{-1}}X^{h(1)}\right) T_{i} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathcal{T}_{\leq w}^{\lambda} \\ e_{i}(h) = 0}} \left(\sum_{S_{i}(h) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\leq v}^{\lambda}} T_{\phi(h)^{-1}}X^{h(1)}T_{i} + \sum_{S_{i}(h) \cap \mathcal{T}_{\leq v}^{\lambda} = \{h\}} \sum_{p \in S_{i}(h)} T_{\phi(p)^{-1}}X^{p(1)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{p \in \mathcal{T}_{\leq w}^{\lambda}} T_{\phi(p)^{-1}}X^{p(1)}. \end{split}$$

(b) The proof is similar to case (a). For  $w \in W$  let

$$\mathcal{I}_{=w}^{\lambda} = \{ p \in \mathcal{T}^{\lambda} \mid \iota(p) = w W_{\lambda} \}.$$

Assume  $w = s_i v > v$ . Then the facts in (3.3) imply that

- (1)  $\mathcal{T}_{=w}^{\lambda}$  is a union of the strings  $S_i(h)$  such that  $h \in \mathcal{T}_{=h}^{\lambda}$ , and
- (2) If  $h \in \mathcal{T}_{=v}^{\lambda}$  then either  $S_i(h) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{=v}^{\lambda}$  or  $S_i(h) \cap \mathcal{T}_{=v}^{\lambda} = \{h\}.$

Let

$$\mathcal{E}_{\phi(p)} = \sum_{\substack{z \in W/W_{\lambda} \\ z \le \phi(p)}} (-1)^{\ell(z)} \varepsilon_{\tilde{z}^{-1}}.$$
(3.6)

Using (3.3), a direct computation with the relation (1.3) establishes that, if  $h \in \mathcal{T}_{=v}^{\lambda}$  with  $e_i h = 0$  then

$$\sum_{p \in S_i(h)} \mathcal{E}_{\phi(p)} X^{p(1)} T_i = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_{\phi(h)} X^{h(1)} T_i = -\sum_{p \in S_i(h) - \{h\}} \mathcal{E}_{\phi(p)} X^{p(1)}.$$

Thus

~

~

$$\begin{split} X^{\lambda} \varepsilon_{w^{-1}} &= X^{\lambda} \varepsilon_{v^{-1}} \varepsilon_{i} = (-1)^{\ell(v)} \left( \sum_{p \in \mathcal{T}_{=v}^{\lambda}} \mathcal{E}_{\phi(p)} X^{p(1)} \right) T_{i} \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(v)} \left( \sum_{S_{i}(h) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{=v}^{\lambda}} \sum_{p \in S_{i}(h)} \mathcal{E}_{\phi(p)} X^{p(1)} + \sum_{S_{i}(h) \cap \mathcal{T}_{=v}^{\lambda} = \{h\}} \mathcal{E}_{\phi(h)} X^{h(1)} \right) T_{i} \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(v)} \left( 0 - \sum_{S_{i}(h) \cap \mathcal{T}_{=v}^{\lambda} = \{h\}} \sum_{p \in S_{i}(h) - \{h\}} \mathcal{E}_{\phi(p)} X^{p(1)} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(w)} \left( \sum_{p \in \mathcal{T}_{=w}^{\lambda}} \mathcal{E}_{\phi(p)} X^{p(1)} \right). \quad \Box \end{split}$$

**Corollary 3.7.** Let  $\lambda, \mu \in P^+$  and let  $w \in W$ . Then, in the affine nil-Hecke algebra  $\tilde{H}$ ,

$$\begin{split} X^{-\lambda} T_{w^{-1}} &= \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{T}^{-w_0 \lambda} \\ \phi(p) = ww_0}} \sum_{\substack{z \in W/W_- w_0 \lambda \\ zw_0 \ge \ell(p)}} (-1)^{\ell(w) + \ell(z)} T_{\tilde{z}^{-1}} X^{p(1)} \qquad and \\ X^{w_0 \mu} T_{w^{-1}} &= \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu} \\ \phi(p) = ww_0}} \sum_{\substack{z \in W/W_\mu \\ zw_0 \le \phi(p)}} (-1)^{\ell(w) + \ell(z)} T_{\tilde{z}^{-1}} X^{p(1)}. \end{split}$$

**Proof.** The second identity is a restatement of the first with a change of variable  $\mu = -w_0\lambda$ . The first identity is obtained by applying the algebra involution

$$\begin{array}{cccc} H & \longrightarrow & H \\ T_w & \longmapsto & \varepsilon_w \\ X^{\lambda} & \longmapsto & X^{-\lambda} \end{array} \quad \text{and the bijection} \quad \begin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{T}^{\lambda} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}^{-w_0\lambda} \\ p & \longrightarrow & p^* \end{array}$$

where  $p^*$  is the same path as p except translated so that its endpoint is at the origin. Representation theoretically, this bijection corresponds to the fact that  $L(\lambda)^* \cong L(-w_0\lambda)$ ,

if  $L(\lambda)$  is the simple *G*-module of highest weight  $\lambda$ . Note that  $p^*(1) = -p(1)$ ,  $\iota(p^*) = \phi(p)w_0$ , and  $\phi(p^*) = \iota(p)w_0$ .  $\Box$ 

Applying the identities from Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.7 to  $[\mathcal{O}_{X_1}]$  yields the following product formulas in  $K_T(G/B)$ . In particular, this gives a combinatorial proof of the (*T*-equivariant extension) of the duality theorem of Brion [1, Theorem 4]. For  $\lambda \in P$  and  $w \in W$  let  $[X^{\lambda}] = X^{\lambda}[\mathcal{O}_{X_{w_0}}] = X^{\lambda}T_{w_0}[\mathcal{O}_{X_1}]$  and let  $c_{\lambda,w}^z$  be given by

$$[X^{\lambda}][\mathcal{O}_{X_w}] = \sum_{z \in W} c_{\lambda,w}^z[\mathcal{O}_{X_z}].$$
(3.8)

**Corollary 3.9.** Let  $\lambda \in P^+$ ,  $w \in W$  and  $W_{\lambda} = \text{Stab}(\lambda)$ . Then, with notation as in (3.8),

$$c_{\lambda,w}^{z} = \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{T}^{\lambda} \\ wW_{\lambda} \ge \ell(p) \ge \phi(p) = zW_{\lambda}}} e^{p(1)},$$
  
$$c_{w0\lambda,w}^{z} = (-1)^{\ell(w) + \ell(z)} c_{\lambda,zw_{0}}^{ww_{0}}, \quad and \quad c_{-\lambda,w}^{z} = (-1)^{\ell(w) + \ell(z)} c_{-w_{0}\lambda,zw_{0}}^{ww_{0}}.$$

**Proposition 3.10.** For  $1 \le i \le n$ ,  $[\mathcal{O}_{X_{w_0s_i}}] = 1 - e^{w_0\omega_i}[X^{-\omega_i}]$ .

**Proof.** We shall show that

$$X^{-\omega_i}[\mathcal{O}_{X_{w_0}}] = e^{-w_0\omega_i}([\mathcal{O}_{X_{w_0}}] - [\mathcal{O}_{X_{w_0}s_i}]),$$
(3.11)

and the result will follow by solving for  $[\mathcal{O}_{X_{s_iw_0}}]$ . Let  $\omega_j = -w_0\omega_i$ . By Corollary 3.9,

$$c_{-\omega_i,w_0}^{z} = (-1)^{\ell(w_0) + \ell(z)} c_{\omega_j,zw_0}^{1} = (-1)^{\ell(w_0) + \ell(z)} \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{T}^{\omega_j} \\ zw_0 \ge \iota(p) \ge \phi(p) = 1}} e^{p(1)}$$

The straight line path to  $\omega_j$ ,  $p_{\omega_j}$ , has  $\iota_{zw_0}(p_{\omega_j}) = \phi_{zw_0}(\omega_j)$  and is the unique path in  $\mathcal{T}^{\omega_j}$  which may have final direction 1. Suppose  $\phi_{zw_0}(p_{\omega_j}) = 1$ . Then, since  $s_j$  is the only simple reflection which is not in  $\operatorname{Stab}(\omega_j)$ , it must be that  $zw_0 \not\geq s_k$  for all  $k \neq j$ . Thus  $zw_0 = 1$  or  $zw_0 = s_j$  and so  $c_{-\omega_i,w_0}^z \neq 0$  only if  $z = w_0$  or  $z = s_j w_0 = w_0 s_i$ . Now (3.11) follows since  $p_{\omega_j}$  has endpoint  $\omega_j = -w_0 \omega_i$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 3.12.** Let  $c_{wv}^z$  be as in (3.8). Then, for

$$c_{w_0s_i,w}^{\lambda} = -(\mathrm{e}^{-(w\omega_i - w_0\omega_i)} - 1)$$

and

$$c_{w_0 s_i, w}^{z} = (-1)^{\ell(w) + \ell(z) + 1} \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{T}^{-w_0 \omega_i} \\ zw_0 \ge \ell(p) \ge \phi(p) = ww_0}} e^{w_0 \omega_i + p(1)}, \quad \text{for } z \neq w$$

**Proof.** This follows from Proposition 3.10 and Corollary 3.9 and the fact that, in the case when z = w, there is a unique path p with  $ww_0 = \iota(p) = \phi(p) = ww_0$  and endpoint  $p(1) = ww_0(-w_0\omega_i) = -w\omega_i$ .  $\Box$ 

# 4. Converting to $H_T^*(G/B)$

The graded nil-Hecke algebra is the algebra  $H_{gr}$  given by generators  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  and  $x_{\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda \in P$ , with relations

$$t_i^2 = 0, \quad \underbrace{t_i t_j t_i \cdots}_{m_{ij} \text{ factors}} = \underbrace{t_j t_i t_j \cdots}_{m_{ij} \text{ factors}}, \quad x_{\lambda+\mu} = x_{\lambda} + x_{\mu}, \text{ and } x_{\lambda} t_i = t_i x_{s_i \lambda} + \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^{\vee} \rangle.$$
(4.1)

The subalgebra of  $H_{gr}$  generated by the  $x_{\lambda}$  is the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , where  $x_i = x_{\omega_i}$ , and W acts on  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  by

$$wx_{\lambda} = x_{w\lambda}$$
 and  $w(fg) = (wf)(wg)$ , for  $w \in W, \lambda \in P, f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

Then the last formula in (4.1) generalizes to

$$ft_i = t_i(s_i f) + \frac{f - s_i f}{\alpha_i}, \quad \text{for } f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

Let  $t_w = t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_p}$  for a reduced word  $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_p}$  and let  $\mathbb{Z}W_{gr}$  be the subalgebra of  $H_{gr}$  spanned by the  $t_w, w \in W$ . Then

$$\{x_1^{m_1}\cdots x_n^{m_n}t_w \mid w \in W, \ m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$$
 and  $\{t_w x_1^{m_1}\cdots x_n^{m_n} \mid w \in W, \ m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ 

are bases of  $H_{\rm gr}$ .

Let  $S = \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$  and extend coefficients to S so that  $H_{\text{gr},S} = S \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_{\text{gr}}$  and  $S[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = S \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  are *S*-algebras. Define  $H^*_T(G/B)$  to be the  $H_{\text{gr},S}$  module

$$H_T^*(G/B) = S$$
-span { $[X_w] \mid w \in W$ }, (4.2)

so that the  $[X_w]$ ,  $w \in W$ , are an S-basis of  $K_T(G/B)$ , with  $H_{gr,S}$ -action given by

$$x_i[X_1] = y_i[X_1], \quad \text{and} \quad t_i[X_w] = \begin{cases} [X_{ws_i}], & \text{if } ws_i > w, \\ 0, & \text{if } ws_i < w. \end{cases}$$
(4.3)

Let y be the S-algebra homomorphism given by

$$\begin{array}{cccc} y: & S[x_1,\ldots,x_n] & \longrightarrow & S\\ & & x_i & \longmapsto & y_i \end{array}$$

so that  $H_T^*(G/B) \cong H_{\text{gr},S} \otimes_{S[x_1,...,x_n]} y$  as  $H_{\text{gr},S}$ -modules. Then, using analogous methods to the  $K_T(G/B)$  case proves the following theorem, which gives the ring structure of  $H_T^*(G/B)$  (see also the proof of [10, Prop. 2.9] for the same argument with (non-nil) graded Hecke algebras).

**Theorem 4.4.** The composite map

$$\begin{split} \Phi : \quad S[x_1, \dots, x_n] &\longrightarrow H_{\text{gr},S} t_{w_0} &\hookrightarrow H_{\text{gr},S} &\longrightarrow H_T^*(G/B) \\ f &\longmapsto f t_{w_0} & h &\longmapsto h[X_1] \end{split}$$

is surjective with kernel

$$\ker \Phi = \langle f - y(f) \mid f \in S[x_1, \dots, x_n]^W \rangle,$$

the ideal of the ring  $S[x_1, ..., x_n]$  generated by the elements f - y(f) for  $f \in S[x_1, ..., x_n]^W$ . Hence

$$H_T^*(G/B) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n, x_1, \dots, x_n]}{\langle f - y(f) \mid f \in S[x_1, \dots, x_n]^W \rangle}$$

has the structure of a ring.

As a vector space  $H_{gr} = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \otimes \mathbb{Z}W_{gr}$ . Let  $\widehat{H}_{gr} = \mathbb{Q}[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]] \otimes \mathbb{Q}W_{gr}$ with multiplication determined by the relations in (4.1). Then  $\widehat{H}_{gr}$  is a completion of  $H_{gr}$ (this simply allows us to write infinite sums) and the elements of  $\widehat{H}_{gr}$  given by

$$\operatorname{ch}(X^{\lambda}) = \sum_{r \ge 0} \frac{1}{r!} x_{\lambda}^{r} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{ch}(T_{i}) = t_{i} \cdot \frac{x_{\alpha_{i}}}{1 - \operatorname{ch}(X^{\alpha_{i}})}$$
(4.5)

satisfy the relations of  $\tilde{H}$  and thus ch extends to a ring homomorphism ch :  $\tilde{H} \longrightarrow \widehat{H_{gr}}$ . It is this fact that really makes possible the transfer from *K*-theory to cohomology possible. Though it is not difficult to check that the elements in (3.5) satisfy the defining relations of  $\tilde{H}$  it is helpful to realize that these formulas come from geometry. As explained in [21], the action of  $T_i$  on  $K_T(G/B)$  and the action of  $t_i$  on  $H_T^*(G/B)$  are, respectively, the push-pull operators  $\pi_i^*(\pi_i)_!$  and  $\pi_i^*(\pi_i)_*$ , where if  $P_i$  is a minimal parabolic subgroup of *G* then  $\pi_i : G/P_i \to G/B$  is the natural surjection. Then the first formula in (3.5) is the definition of the Chern character, and the second formula is the Grothedieck–Riemann–Roch theorem applied to the map  $\pi_i$ . The factor  $X\alpha_i/(1 - ch(X^{\alpha_i}))$  is the Todd class of the bundle of tangents along the fibers of  $\pi_i$  (see [8, p. 91]).

Then  $\widehat{H}_T^*(G/B)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}[[y_1, \ldots, y_n]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \ldots, y_n]} H_T^*(G/B)$  is the appropriate completion of  $H_T^*(G/B)$  to use to transfer the ring homomorphism ch :  $\widetilde{H}_R \to \widehat{H}_{gr}$  to a ring homomorphism

ch: 
$$K_T(G/B) \longrightarrow \widehat{H}^*_T(G/B)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$
 by setting  $\operatorname{ch}(h[\mathcal{O}_{X_1}]) = \operatorname{ch}(h)[X_1],$  (4.6)

for  $h \in \widetilde{H}_R$ . The ring  $\widehat{H}_T^*(G/B)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a graded ring with

$$\deg(y_i) = 1$$
 and  $\deg([X_w]) = \ell(w_0) - \ell(w),$  (4.7)

and, for 
$$w \in W$$
,  $ch([\mathcal{O}_{X_w}]) = [X_w] + higher degree terms.$  (4.8)

In summary, if  $e_i = e^{\omega_i}$ ,  $X_i = X^{\omega_i}$ ,  $y_i = y_{\omega_i}$ ,  $x_i = x_{\omega_i}$ ,

$$R[X] = \mathbb{Z}[e_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, e_n^{\pm 1}, X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}], \qquad \mathbb{Z}[X] = \mathbb{Z}[X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}],$$
  
and  $\widehat{S}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \mathbb{Q}[[y_1, \dots, y_n]][x_1, \dots, x_n],$ 

then there is a commutative diagram of ring homomorphisms

$$K_T(G/B) = \frac{R[X]}{\langle f - e(f) | f \in R[X]^W \rangle} \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} H_T^*(G/B)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \frac{\overline{S[x_1, \dots, x_n]}}{\langle f - y(f) | f \in \overline{S[x_1, \dots, x_n]^W} \rangle} \xrightarrow{\downarrow_{y_i=0}} K(G/B) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}[X]}{\langle f - f(1) | f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]^W \rangle} \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} H^*(G/B)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \frac{\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{\langle f - f(0) | f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^W \rangle}.$$

# 5. Rank two and a positivity conjecture

In this section we will give explicit formulas for the rank two root systems. The data supports the following positivity conjecture which generalizes the theorems of Brion [1, formula before Theorem 1] and Graham [7, Corollary 4.1].

**Conjecture 5.9.** For  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$  let  $y_\beta = e^{-\beta}$  and  $\alpha_\beta = e^{-\beta} - 1$  and let  $d(w) = \ell(w_0) - \ell(w)$ for  $w \in W$ . Let  $c_{wv}^z$  be the structure constants of  $K_T(G/B)$  with respect to the basis  $\{[\mathcal{O}_{X_w}] \mid w \in W\}$  as defined in (0.1). Then

$$c_{wv}^{z} = (-1)^{d(w)+d(v)-d(z)} f(\alpha, y), \quad \text{where } f(\alpha, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\alpha_{\beta}, y_{\beta} \mid \beta \in R^{+}],$$

that is,  $f(\alpha, y)$  is a polynomial in the variables  $\alpha_{\beta}$  and  $y_{\beta}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , which has non-negative integral coefficients.

In the following, for brevity, use the following notations:

in 
$$K_T(G/B)$$
,  $[w] = [\mathcal{O}_{X_w}]$ ,  $\alpha_{rs} = e^{-(r\alpha_1 + s\alpha_2)} - 1$ , and  $y_{rs} = e^{-(r\alpha_1 + s\alpha_2)}$ ,  
in  $K(G/B)$ ,  $[w] = [\mathcal{O}_{X_w}]$ ,  $\alpha_{rs} = 0$ , and  $y_{rs} = 1$ ,  
in  $H_T^*(G/B)$ ,  $[w] = [X_w]$ ,  $\alpha_{rs} = r\alpha_1 + s\alpha_2$ , and  $y_{rs} = 1$ ,  
in  $H^*(G/B)$ ,  $[w] = [X_w]$ ,  $\alpha_{rs} = 0$ , and  $y_{rs} = 1$ ,

and in  $H^*_T(G/B)$  and in  $H^*(G/B)$  the terms in {} brackets do not appear.

**Type**  $A_2$ . For the root system *R* of type  $A_2$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= -\omega_1 + 2\omega_2, & \lambda_1 = \rho, & \lambda_{s_1} = \omega_2 = \frac{1}{3}\alpha_1 + \frac{2}{3}\alpha_2, \\ \lambda_{s_2s_1} &= s_2\omega_2 = \frac{1}{3}\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2, \\ \alpha_2 &= 2\omega_1 - \omega_2, \lambda_{w_0} = 0, & \lambda_{s_2} = \omega_1 = \frac{2}{3}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2, \\ \lambda_{s_1s_2} &= s_1\omega_1 = -\frac{1}{3}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2. \end{aligned}$$

Formulas for the Schubert classes in terms of homogeneous line bundles can be given by

$$\begin{split} [s_1s_2s_1] &= 1, \qquad [1] = (1 - e^{s_1\omega_1} X^{-\omega_1})[s_1] = (1 - e^{s_2\omega_2} X^{-\omega_2})[s_2], \\ [s_2s_1] &= 1 - e^{-\omega_1} X^{-\omega_2}, \qquad [s_1s_2] = 1 - e^{-\omega_2} X^{-\omega_1} \\ [s_1] &= (1 - e^{s_2\omega_2} X^{-\omega_2})[s_2s_1], \qquad [s_2] = (1 - e^{s_1\omega_1} X^{-\omega_1})[s_1s_2], \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &[s_1s_2s_1] = 1, \quad [s_1s_2] = 1 - e^{-\omega_2} X^{-\omega_1}, \quad [s_2s_1] = 1 - e^{-\omega_1} X^{-\omega_2}, \\ &[s_1] = 1 - e^{-\omega_2} X^{-s_1\omega_1} - e^{-\omega_2} X^{-\omega_1} + e^{-2\omega_2} X^{-\omega_2}, \\ &[s_2] = 1 - e^{-\omega_1} X^{-s_2\omega_2} - e^{-\omega_1} X^{-\omega_2} + e^{-2\omega_1} X^{-\omega_1}, \\ &[1] = 1 - e^{-\omega_2} X^{-s_1\omega_1} - e^{-\omega_1} X^{-s_2\omega_2} + e^{-2\omega_1} X^{-\omega_1} + e^{-2\omega_2} X^{-\omega_2} - e^{-\rho} X^{-\rho}. \end{split}$$

The multiplication of the Schubert classes is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &[1]^2 = -\alpha_{10}\alpha_{01}\alpha_{11}[1], & [s_1]^2 = \alpha_{01}\alpha_{11}[s_1], & [s_2]^2 = \alpha_{10}\alpha_{11}[s_2], \\ &[1][s_1] = \alpha_{01}\alpha_{11}[1], & [s_1][s_2] = -\alpha_{11}[1], & [s_2][s_1s_2] = -\alpha_{11}[s_2], \\ &[1][s_2] = \alpha_{10}\alpha_{11}[1], & [s_1][s_1s_2] = y_{01}[1] - \alpha_{01}[s_1], \\ &[s_2][s_2s_1] = y_{10}[1] - \alpha_{10}[s_2], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} & [1][s_1s_2] = -\alpha_{11}[1], & [s_1][s_2s_1] = -\alpha_{11}[s_1], \\ & [1][s_2s_1] = -\alpha_{11}[1], & [s_1s_2]^2 = y_{01}[s_2] - \alpha_{01}[s_1s_2], \\ & [s_2s_1]^2 = y_{10}[s_1] - \alpha_{10}[s_2s_1]. \\ & [s_1s_2][s_2s_1] = \{-[1]\} + [s_1] + [s_2], \end{split}$$

**Type**  $B_2$ . For the root system *R* of type  $B_2$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= 2\omega_1 - \omega_2, & \lambda_1 &= \rho = 2\alpha_1 + \frac{3}{2}\alpha_2, & \lambda_{s_1} &= \omega_2 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \\ \alpha_2 &= -2\omega_1 + 2\omega_2, & \lambda_{w_0} = 0, & \lambda_{s_2} = \omega_1 = \alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2, \\ \lambda_{s_2s_1} &= s_2\omega_2 = \alpha_1, & \lambda_{s_1s_2s_1} = s_1s_2\omega_2 = -\alpha_1, \\ \lambda_{s_1s_2} &= s_1\omega_1 = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2, & \lambda_{s_2s_1s_2} = s_2s_1\omega_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2. \end{aligned}$$

Formulas for the Schubert classes in terms of homogeneous line bundles can be given by

$$\begin{split} & [s_1s_2s_1s_2] = 1, \qquad [1] = (1 - e^{s_1\omega_1}X^{-\omega_1})[s_1] = (1 - e^{s_2\omega_2}X^{-\omega_2})[s_2], \\ & [s_1s_2s_1] = 1 - e^{-\omega_2}X^{-\omega_2}, \qquad [s_2s_1s_2] = 1 - e^{-\omega_1}X^{-\omega_1}, \\ & [s_2s_1] = (1 - e^{-\omega_1}X^{-s_1\omega_1})[s_2s_1s_2], \qquad [s_1s_2] = (1 - e^{s_2s_1\omega_1}X^{-\omega_1})[s_2s_1s_2], \\ & [s_1] = (1 - e^{s_2\omega_2}X^{-\omega_2})[s_2s_1], \qquad [s_2] = (1 - e^{s_1\omega_1}X^{-\omega_1})[s_1s_2], \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &[s_1s_2s_1s_2] = 1, \qquad [s_1s_2s_1] = 1 - e^{-\omega_2}X^{-\omega_2}, \qquad [s_2s_1s_2] = 1 - e^{-\omega_1}X^{-\omega_1}, \\ &[s_1s_2] = (1 - e^{-\omega_2}) - e^{-\omega_2}X^{-\omega_2} - e^{-\omega_2}X^{-s_2\omega_2} + (e^{-\rho} + e^{-s_1\rho})X^{-\omega_1}, \\ &[s_2s_1] = 1 - e^{-\omega_1}X^{-\omega_1} - e^{-\omega_1}X^{-s_1\omega_1} + e^{-2\omega_1}X^{-\omega_2}, \\ &[s_1] = (1 - e^{-\omega_2}) + (e^{-\rho} + e^{-s_1\rho})X^{-s_1\omega_1} + (e^{-\rho} + e^{-s_1\rho})X^{-\omega_1} \\ &- e^{-\omega_2}X^{-s_1s_2\omega_2} - e^{-\omega_2}X^{-s_2\omega_2} - (e^{-2\omega_2} + e^{-\omega_2})X^{-\omega_2}, \\ &[s_2] = (1 + e^{-2\omega_1}) + e^{-2\omega_1}X^{-s_2\omega_2} + e^{-2\omega_1}X^{-\omega_2} \\ &- e^{-\omega_1}X^{-s_2s_1\omega_1} - e^{-\omega_1}X^{-s_1\omega_1} - (e^{-3\omega_1} + e^{-\omega_1})X^{-\omega_1}, \\ &[1] = (1 + e^{-2\omega_1}) - e^{-\omega_1}X^{-s_2s_1\omega_1} + (e^{-\rho} + e^{-s_1\rho})X^{-s_1\omega_1} - (e^{-3\omega_1} + e^{-\omega_1})X^{-\omega_1} \\ &- e^{-\omega_2}X^{-s_1s_2\omega_2} + e^{-2\omega_1}X^{-s_2\omega_2} - (e^{-2\omega_2} + e^{-\omega_2})X^{-\omega_2} + e^{-\rho}X^{-\rho}. \end{split}$$

The multiplication of the Schubert classes is given by

$$\begin{split} &[1]^2 = \alpha_{10}\alpha_{01}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}[1], \begin{bmatrix} s_1s_2s_1 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \{-y_{11}[s_1]\} + (y_{01} + y_{11})[s_2s_1] - \alpha_{01}[s_1s_2s_1], \\ &[s_1s_2s_1][s_2s_1s_2] = \{[1] - [s_1] - [s_2]\} + [s_1s_2] + [s_2s_1], \\ &[1][s_1] = -\alpha_{01}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}[1], \\ &[1][s_2] = -\alpha_{10}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}[1], \\ &[1][s_1s_2] = \alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}[1], \\ &[s_2s_1]^2 = -\alpha_{21}y_{10}[s_1] + \alpha_{10}\alpha_{21}[s_2s_1], \\ &[1][s_1s_2s_1] = -\alpha_{11}(1 + y_{11})[1], \\ &[1][s_2s_1s_2] = -\alpha_{21}[1], \\ &[1][s_2s_1s_2] = -\alpha_{21}[1], \\ &[s_2s_1][s_1s_2s_1] = y_{21}[s_1] - \alpha_{21}[s_2s_1], \\ &[1][s_2s_1s_2] = -\alpha_{21}[1], \\ &[s_2s_1][s_2s_1s_2] = \{-y_{10}[1]\} + y_{10}[s_1] + y_{10}[s_2] - \alpha_{10}[s_2s_1], \\ &[s_1]^2 = -\alpha_{01}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}[s_1], \\ &[s_2]^2 = -\alpha_{10}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}[s_1], \\ &[s_1][s_2] = \alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}[1], \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} [s_1][s_1s_2] &= -\alpha_{11}(y_{01} + y_{11})[1] + \alpha_{01}\alpha_{11}[s_1], \\ [s_2][s_2s_1] &= \alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}[s_1], \\ [s_1][s_2s_1] &= -\alpha_{11}(1 + y_{11})[s_1], \\ [s_1][s_2s_1s_2] &= y_{11}[1] - \alpha_{11}[s_1], \end{split}$$
 
$$\begin{split} [s_2][s_1s_2s_1] &= -\alpha_{21}[s_2] = \alpha_{21}[s_2], \\ [s_2][s_2s_1s_2] &= -\alpha_{21}[s_2], \\ [s_2][s_2s_1s_2] &= -\alpha_{21}[s_2], \\ [s_2][s_2s_1s_2] &= -\alpha_{21}[s_2], \\ \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} & [s_1s_2]^2 = -\alpha_{11}(y_{01} + y_{11})[s_2] + \alpha_{01}\alpha_{11}[s_1s_2], \\ & [s_1s_2][s_2s_1] = (\{\alpha_{11}\} + y_{21})[1] - \alpha_{11}[s_1] - \alpha_{21}[s_2], \\ & [s_1s_2][s_1s_2s_1] = \{-(y_{01} + y_{11})[1]\} + y_{01}[s_1] + (y_{11} + y_{12})[s_2] - \alpha_{01}[s_1s_2], \\ & [s_1s_2][s_2s_1s_2] = y_{11}[s_2] - \alpha_{11}[s_1s_2], \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \left[ s_2 s_1 \right]^2 &= -\alpha_{21} y_{10}[s_1] + \alpha_{10} \alpha_{21} [s_2 s_1], \\ \left[ s_2 s_1 \right] [s_1 s_2 s_1] &= y_{21} [s_1] - \alpha_{21} [s_2 s_1], \\ \left[ s_2 s_1 \right] [s_2 s_1 s_2] &= \{ -y_{10} [1] \} + y_{10} [s_1] + y_{10} [s_2] - \alpha_{10} [s_2 s_1], \end{split}$$

# **Type** $G_2$ . For the root system *R* of type $G_2$

$$\begin{split} \lambda_1 &= \rho = 5\alpha + 3\alpha_2, & \lambda_{s_1s_2s_1} = s_1s_2\omega_2 = \alpha_2, \\ \lambda_{s_1} &= \omega_2 = 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, & \lambda_{s_2s_1s_2s_1} = s_2s_1s_2\omega_2 = -\alpha_2, \\ \lambda_{s_2} &= \omega_1 = 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, & \lambda_{s_1s_2s_1s_2} = s_1s_2s_1\omega_1 = -\alpha_1, \\ \lambda_{s_2s_1} &= s_2\omega_2 = 3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, & \lambda_{s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1} = s_1s_2s_1s_2\omega_2 = -3\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \\ \lambda_{s_1s_2} &= s_1\omega_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, & \lambda_{s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2} = s_2s_1s_2s_1\omega_1 = -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \\ \lambda_{s_2s_1s_2} &= s_2s_1\omega_1 = \alpha_1, & \lambda_{w_0} = 0. \end{split}$$

Formulas for the Schubert classes in terms of homogeneous line bundles can be given by

$$\begin{split} & [s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2] = 1, \qquad [1] = (1 - e^{s_1\omega_1}X^{-\omega_1})[s_1] = (1 - e^{s_2\omega_2}X^{-\omega_2})[s_2], \\ & [s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1] = 1 - e^{-\omega_2}X^{-\omega_2}, \qquad [s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2] = 1 - e^{-\omega_1}X^{-\omega_1}, \\ & [s_2s_1s_2s_1] = (1 - e^{-\omega_1}X^{-s_1\omega_1})[s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2], \\ & [s_1s_2s_1s_2] = (1 - e^{-s_1\omega_1}X^{-\omega_1})[s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2], \\ & [s_1s_2s_1] = \text{see below}, \qquad [s_2s_1s_2] = \frac{1 - e^{-s_2s_2\omega_1}X^{-\omega_1}}{1 + X^{-\omega_1}}[s_1s_2s_1s_2], \\ & [s_2s_1] = (1 - e^{-\omega_1}X^{-s_1s_2s_1\omega_1})[s_2s_1s_2], \qquad [s_1s_2] = (1 - e^{s_2s_1\omega_1}X^{-\omega_1})[s_1s_2], \\ & [s_1] = (1 - e^{s_2\omega_2}X^{-\omega_2})[s_2s_1], \qquad [s_2] = (1 - e^{s_1\omega_1}X^{-\omega_1})[s_1s_2], \\ & [s_1s_2s_1] = \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha_2}X^{-\omega_2})[s_2s_1] + e^{-\alpha_2}(1 + e^{\omega_1}X^{-\omega_2})[s_2s_1]}{1 + e^{-\alpha_2}}, \end{split}$$

and

$$[w_0] = 1, \qquad [s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2] = 1 - y_{21}X^{-\omega_1}, \qquad [s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1] = 1 - y_{32}X^{-\omega_2}, \\ [s_2s_1s_2s_1] = 1 - y_{21}X^{-\omega_1} - y_{21}X^{-s_1\omega_1} + y_{42}X^{-\omega_2}, \\ [s_1s_2s_1s_2] = (1 - y_{32}) + (y_{22} + y_{42} + y_{43} + y_{53})X^{-\omega_1} - y_{32}X^{-s_1\omega_1} \\ - y_{32}X^{-s_2s_1\omega_1} - y_{32}X^{-\omega_2} - y_{32}X^{-s_2\omega_2},$$

$$\begin{split} [s_{2}s_{1}s_{2}] &= (1 - y_{21} + y_{42}) + (y_{42} - y_{21} - y_{52} - y_{53} - y_{63})X^{-\omega_{1}} \\ &+ (y_{42} - y_{21})X^{-s_{1}\omega_{1}} + (y_{42} - y_{21})X^{-s_{2}s_{1}\omega_{1}} + y_{42}X^{-\omega_{2}} + y_{42}X^{-s_{2}\omega_{2}}, \\ [s_{1}s_{2}s_{1}] &= (1 - 2y_{32}) + (y_{22} + y_{42} + y_{43} + y_{53})X^{-\omega_{1}} \\ &+ (y_{22} + y_{42} + y_{43} + y_{53})X^{-\omega_{2}} - y_{32}X^{-s_{2}s_{1}\omega_{1}} - y_{32}X^{-s_{1}s_{2}s_{1}\omega_{1}} \\ &- (y_{32} + y_{43} + y_{53})X^{-\omega_{2}} - y_{32}X^{-s_{2}\omega_{2}} - y_{32}X^{-s_{1}s_{2}\omega_{2}}, \\ [s_{2}s_{1}] &= (1 - y_{21} + 2y_{42}) + (y_{42} - y_{21} - y_{52} - y_{53} - y_{63})X^{-\omega_{1}} \\ &+ (y_{42} - y_{21}) - y_{32} - y_{53} - y_{63})X^{-\omega_{1}} + (y_{42} - y_{21})X^{-s_{2}s_{1}\omega_{1}} \\ &+ (y_{42} - y_{21})X^{-s_{1}s_{2}s_{1}\omega_{1}} + (y_{42} + y_{63})X^{-\omega_{2}} + y_{42}X^{-s_{2}\omega_{2}} + y_{42}X^{-s_{1}s_{2}\omega_{2}}, \\ [s_{1}s_{2}] &= 1 - y_{11} - y_{21} - y_{32} - y_{43} - y_{53} + (y_{22} + y_{32})(1 + y_{10} + y_{20})X^{-\omega_{1}} \\ &+ (y_{22} + y_{32} + y_{42})X^{-s_{1}\omega_{1}} + (y_{22} + y_{32} + y_{42})X^{-s_{2}s_{1}\omega_{1}} \\ &- (y_{32} + y_{43} + y_{53})X^{-\omega_{2}} - (y_{32} + y_{43} + y_{53})X^{-s_{2}\omega_{2}} - y_{32}X^{-s_{1}s_{2}\omega_{2}} \\ &- y_{32}X^{-s_{2}s_{1}s_{2}\omega_{2}}, \\ [s_{2}] &= (1 + y_{31} + y_{32} + 2y_{42} + y_{63}) - (y_{21} + y_{52} + y_{53} + y_{84})X^{-\omega_{1}} \\ &- (y_{21} + y_{52} + y_{53})X^{-s_{1}\omega_{1}} - (y_{21} + y_{52} + y_{53})X^{-s_{2}\omega_{1}} - y_{21}X^{-s_{1}s_{2}s_{1}\omega_{1}} \\ &- y_{21}X^{-s_{2}s_{1}s_{2}\omega_{2}}, \\ [s_{1}] &= 1 - (y_{11} + y_{21} + y_{32} + 2y_{43} + 2y_{53}) + (y_{22} + y_{54})(1 + y_{10} + y_{20})X^{-\omega_{1}} \\ &+ (y_{22} + y_{54})(1 + y_{10} + y_{20})X^{-s_{1}\omega_{1}} + (y_{22} + y_{32} + y_{42})X^{-s_{2}s_{1}\omega_{2}} \\ &- (y_{32} + y_{43} + y_{53})X^{-s_{2}\omega_{2}} - (y_{32} + y_{43} + y_{53})X^{-s_{1}s_{2}\omega_{2}} - y_{32}X^{-s_{1}s_{2}s_{1}\omega_{2}} \\ &- (y_{32} + y_{43} + y_{53})X^{-s_{2}\omega_{2}} - (y_{32} + y_{43} + y_{53})X^{-s_{1}s_{2}\omega_{2}} - y_{32}X^{-s_{1}s_{2}s_{1}\omega_{2}} \\ &- (y_{32} + y_{43} + y_{53})X^{-s_{2}\omega_{2}} - (y_{32} + y_{43} + y_{53})X^{-s_$$

The multiplication of the Schubert classes is given by

$$\begin{split} &[1]^2 = \alpha_{10}\alpha_{01}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[1], & [1][s_2s_1s_2] = -\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[1], \\ &[1][s_1] = -\alpha_{01}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[1], & [1][s_1s_2s_1s_2] = \alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}(1+y_{21})[1], \\ &[1][s_2] = -\alpha_{10}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[1], & [1][s_2s_1s_2s_1] = \alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}(1+y_{21})[1], \\ &[1][s_1s_2] = \alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[1], & [1][s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1] = -\alpha_{32}(1+y_{32})[1], \\ &[1][s_2s_1] = \alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[1], & [1][s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2] = -\alpha_{21}(1+y_{21})[1], \\ &[1][s_1s_2s_1] = -\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}(1+y_{11}+y_{21})[1], \\ &[s_1]^2 = -\alpha_{01}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[s_1] \\ &[s_1][s_2] = \alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[s_1] \\ &[s_1][s_1s_2] = -\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[s_1] \\ &[s_1]$$

```
\begin{split} &[s_1][s_2s_1] = \alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[s_1] \\ &[s_1][s_1s_2s_1] = -\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}(1+y_{11}+y_{21})[s_1] \\ &[s_1][s_2s_1s_2] = \alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}(y_{11}+y_{21})[1] - \alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}[s_1] \\ &[s_1][s_1s_2s_1s_2] = -\alpha_{32}(y_{22}+y_{32})[1] + \alpha_{11}\alpha_{32}(1+y_{11})[s_1] \\ &[s_1][s_2s_1s_2s_1] = \alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}(1+y_{21})[s_1] \\ &[s_1][s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1] = -\alpha_{32}(1+y_{32})[s_1] \\ &[s_1][s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2] = y_{32}[1] - \alpha_{32}[s_1] \end{split}
```

```
[s_2]^2 = -\alpha_{10}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[s_2]

[s_2][s_1s_2] = \alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[s_2]

[s_2][s_2s_1] = -\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}y_{10}[1] + \alpha_{10}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[s_2]

[s_2][s_1s_2s_1] = \alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}(y_{21} + y_{31})[1] - \alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[s_2]

[s_2][s_2s_1s_2] = -\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[s_2]

[s_2][s_1s_2s_1s_2] = \alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}(1 + y_{21})[s_2]

[s_2][s_2s_1s_2s_1] = -\alpha_{21}(y_{31} + y_{52})[1] + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}(1 + y_{21})[s_2]

[s_2][s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1] = y_{63}[1] - \alpha_{21}(1 + y_{21} + y_{42})[s_2]

[s_2][s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2] = -\alpha_{21}(1 + y_{21})[s_2]
```

```
\begin{split} \left[s_{1}s_{2}\right]^{2} &= -\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}(y_{01} + y_{11} + y_{21})[s_{2}] + \alpha_{01}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}[s_{1}s_{2}] \\ \left[s_{1}s_{2}\right][s_{2}s_{1}] &= \alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}(y_{11} + y_{21} + \alpha_{31})[1] - \alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}[s_{1}] - \alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[s_{2}] \\ \left[s_{1}s_{2}\right][s_{1}s_{2}s_{1}] &= -\alpha_{32}(y_{32} + y_{42}\{+\alpha_{11}(y_{01} + 2y_{11} + y_{21})\})[1] \\ &+ \alpha_{11}\alpha_{32}(y_{01} + y_{11})[s_{1}] + (\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}y_{11} \\ &+ \alpha_{11}\alpha_{32}(y_{01} + y_{11} + y_{21}))[s_{2}] - \alpha_{01}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{32}[s_{1}s_{2}] \\ \left[s_{1}s_{2}\right][s_{2}s_{1}s_{2}] &= \alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}(y_{11} + y_{21})[s_{2}] - \alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}[s_{1}s_{2}] \\ \left[s_{1}s_{2}\right][s_{1}s_{2}s_{1}s_{2}] &= -\alpha_{32}(y_{22} + y_{32})[s_{2}] + \alpha_{11}\alpha_{32}(1 + y_{11})[s_{1}s_{2}] \\ \left[s_{1}s_{2}\right][s_{2}s_{1}s_{2}s_{1}] &= (y_{63}\{+\alpha_{32}(y_{11} + y_{21})\})[1] - \alpha_{32}y_{11}[s_{1}] - (\alpha_{32}(y_{11} + y_{21}) \\ &+ \alpha_{31}y_{32})[s_{2}] + \alpha_{11}\alpha_{32}[s_{1}s_{2}] \\ \left[s_{1}s_{2}\right][s_{1}s_{2}s_{1}s_{2}s_{1}] &= \{-(y_{33} + y_{43} + y_{53})[1]\} + y_{33}[s_{1}] + (y_{33} + y_{43} + y_{53})[s_{2}] \\ &- \alpha_{11}(1 + y_{11} + y_{22})[s_{1}s_{2}] \\ \left[s_{1}s_{2}\right][s_{2}s_{1}s_{2}s_{1}s_{2}] &= y_{32}[s_{2}] - \alpha_{32}[s_{1}s_{2}] \\ \\ \left[s_{2}s_{1}\right]^{2} &= -\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}y_{10}[s_{1}] + \alpha_{10}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[s_{2}s_{1}] \\ \left[s_{2}s_{1}\right][s_{1}s_{2}s_{1}] &= \alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}(y_{21} + y_{31})[s_{1}] - \alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}[s_{2}s_{1}] \end{aligned}
```

```
\begin{split} [s_2s_1][s_2s_1s_2] &= -\alpha_{21}(y_{51} + y_{52}\{+\alpha_{31}y_{10}\})[1] + \alpha_{21}(\alpha_{10}y_{31} + \alpha_{32}y_{10})[s_1] \\ &+ \alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}(y_{10} + y_{21})[s_2] - \alpha_{10}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}[s_2s_1] \\ [s_2s_1][s_1s_2s_1s_2] &= (y_{62}\{+\alpha_{31}(y_{21} + y_{31})\})[1] - (\alpha_{31}y_{21} + \alpha_{10}(y_{31} + y_{41}))[s_1] \\ &- (\alpha_{31}y_{21} + \alpha_{32}y_{31})[s_2] + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}[s_2s_1] \end{split}
```

```
[s_2s_1][s_2s_1s_2s_1] = -\alpha_{21}(y_{31} + y_{52})[s_1] + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}(1 + y_{21})[s_2s_1]
[s_2s_1][s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1] = y_{63}[s_1] - \alpha_{21}(1 + y_{21} + y_{42})[s_2s_1]
[s_2s_1][s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2] = \{-y_{31}[1]\} + y_{31}[s_1] + y_{31}[s_2] - \alpha_{31}[s_2s_1]
[s_1s_2s_1]^2 = -\alpha_{32}(y_{32} + y_{42}\{+\alpha_{11}(y_{11} + y_{21})\})[s_1]
                                                       + (\alpha_{11}\alpha_{32}(y_{01} + y_{11} + y_{21}) + \alpha_{31}\alpha_{32}y_{11})[s_2s_1] - \alpha_{01}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{32}[s_1s_2s_1]
[s_1s_2s_1][s_2s_1s_2] = (1\{+\alpha_{11}(y_{11} + y_{22} + y_{33} + y_{31} + y_{42}) + \alpha_{31}(y_{21} + y_{32})
                                                                                     +\alpha_{32}y_{21})[1] - (\alpha_{11}(y_{21} + \alpha_{32}) + \alpha_{10}(y_{31} + y_{41} + y_{32})
                                                                                     (+ y_{42})[s_1] - (\alpha_{31}(y_{21} + y_{32}) + \alpha_{11}(y_{21} + y_{32} + y_{31} + \alpha_{42})[s_2]
                                                                                     + \alpha_{11}\alpha_{32}[s_1s_2] + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}[s_2s_1]
[s_1s_2s_1][s_1s_2s_1s_2] = \{-(y_{33} + 2y_{43} + y_{53} + \alpha_{11}(y_{01} + y_{11}) + \alpha_{21}(y_{11} + y_{21}))[1]\}
                                                                                             + (y_{33} + y_{43} + \alpha_{11}(y_{01} + y_{11}) + \alpha_{21}(y_{11} + y_{21}))[s_1]
                                                                                             ((y_{33} + y_{43} + y_{53}) \{+\alpha_{11}(y_{01} + y_{11}) + \alpha_{21}(y_{11} + y_{21})\})[s_2]
                                                                                               -\alpha_{11}(y_{01} + y_{11} + y_{22})[s_1s_2] - (\alpha_{11}(y_{01} + y_{11}) + \alpha_{21}(y_{11}) + \alpha
                                                                                             (+ y_{21}) [s_2 s_1] + \alpha_{01} \alpha_{11} [s_1 s_2 s_1]
[s_1s_2s_1][s_2s_1s_2s_1] = (y_{62}\{+\alpha_{32}y_{21}\})[s_1] - (\alpha_{31}y_{32} + \alpha_{32}(y_{11} + y_{21}))[s_2s_1]
                                                                                             + \alpha_{11}\alpha_{32}[s_{1}s_{2}s_{1}]
[s_1s_2s_1][s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1] = \{-(y_{43} + y_{53})[s_1]\} + (y_{33} + y_{43} + y_{53})[s_2s_1]
                                                                                                       -\alpha_{11}(1+y_{11}+y_{22})[s_1s_2s_1]
[s_1s_2s_1][s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2] = \{(y_{11} + y_{21})[1] - (y_{11} + y_{21})[s_1] - (y_{11} + y_{21})[s_2]\}
                                                                                                      + y_{11}[s_1s_2] + (y_{11} + y_{21})[s_2s_1] - \alpha_{11}[s_1s_2s_1]
[s_2s_1s_2]^2 = -\alpha_{21}(y_{21} + y_{42})[s_2] + (\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}y_{31} + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}y_{10})[s_1s_2]
                                                       -\alpha_{10}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}[s_{2}s_{1}s_{2}]
[s_2s_1s_2][s_1s_2s_1s_2] = y_{53}[s_2] - (\alpha_{21}y_{31} + \alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{32}y_{21})[s_1s_2] + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}[s_2s_1s_2]
[s_2s_1s_2][s_2s_1s_2s_1] = \{-(y_{51} + y_{52} + \alpha_{31}y_{10})[1]\} + (y_{41}\{+\alpha_{31}y_{10}\})[s_1]
                                                                                             + (y_{42} + y_{52} \{+\alpha_{31}y_{10}\})[s_2] - (\alpha_{11}y_{31} + \alpha_{31}y_{10})[s_1s_2]
                                                                                             -\alpha_{31}y_{10}[s_2s_1] + \alpha_{10}\alpha_{31}[s_2s_1s_2]
[s_2s_1s_2][s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1] = \{(y_{31} + y_{32} + y_{42})[1] - (y_{31} + y_{32})[s_1]
                                                                                                       -(y_{31}+y_{32}+y_{42})[s_2] + (y_{31}+y_{32})[s_1s_2]
                                                                                                      + y_{31}[s_2s_1] - \alpha_{31}[s_2s_1s_2]
[s_2s_1s_2][s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2] = y_{31}[s_1s_2] - \alpha_{31}[s_2s_1s_2]
[s_1s_2s_1s_2]^2 = \{-y_{43}[s_2]\} + (y_{32} + y_{42}\{+\alpha_{01}y_{21} + \alpha_{32}y_{11}\})[s_1s_2]
                                                                 -(\alpha_{01}(y_{11}+y_{21})+\alpha_{31}(y_{01}+y_{11}))[s_2s_1s_2]+\alpha_{01}\alpha_{11}[s_1s_2s_1s_2]
[s_1s_2s_1s_2][s_2s_1s_2s_1] = \{(y_{21} + y_{31} + y_{32} + y_{42} + \alpha_{11})[1] - (y_{21} + y_{31})[1] - (y_{21}
                                                                                                       + y_{32} + \alpha_{11} [s_1] - (y_{21} + y_{31} + y_{32} + y_{42} + \alpha_{11}) [s_2]
                                                                                                       +(y_{31}+y_{42}\{,+\alpha_{11}\})[s_1s_2]+(y_{21}+y_{31}\{+\alpha_{11}\})[s_2s_1]
                                                                                                       -\alpha_{11}[s_1s_2s_1] - \alpha_{31}[s_2s_1s_2]
[s_1s_2s_1s_2][s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1] = \{-(y_{01} + y_{11} + y_{21} + y_{22} + y_{32})[1] + (y_{01} + y_{11}) 
                                                                                                               + y_{21} + y_{22} [s_1] + (y_{01} + y_{11} + y_{21} + y_{22} + y_{32}) [s_2]
                                                                                                                -(y_{01}+y_{11}+y_{21}+y_{22})[s_1s_2]-(y_{01}+y_{11}+y_{21})[s_2s_1]
                                                                                                                + v_{01}[s_1s_2s_1] + (v_{01} + v_{11} + v_{21})[s_2s_1s_2] - \alpha_{01}[s_1s_2s_1s_2]
```

$$\begin{split} & [s_1s_2s_1s_2][s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2] = \{-y_{21}[s_1s_2]\} + (y_{11} + y_{21})[s_2s_1s_2] - \alpha_{11}[s_1s_2s_1s_2] \\ & [s_2s_1s_2s_1]^2 = \{-y_{52}[s_1] + (y_{42} + y_{52})[s_2s_1]\} - (\alpha_{11}y_{31} + \alpha_{31}y_{10})[s_1s_2s_1] \\ & + \alpha_{10}\alpha_{31}[s_2s_1s_2s_1] \\ & [s_2s_1s_2s_1][s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1] = \{y_{42}[s_1] - (y_{31} + y_{41})[s_2s_1]\} + (y_{31} + y_{32})[s_1s_2s_1] \\ & - \alpha_{31}[s_2s_1s_2s_1] \\ & [s_2s_1s_2s_1][s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2] = \{-y_{10}[1] + y_{10}[s_1] + y_{10}[s_2] - y_{10}[s_1s_2] - y_{10}[s_2s_1]\} \\ & + y_{10}[s_1s_2s_1] + y_{10}[s_2s_1s_2] - \alpha_{10}[s_2s_1s_2s_1] \\ & [s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1]^2 = \{-y_{32}[s_1] + (y_{22} + y_{32})[s_2s_1] - (y_{11} + y_{21} + y_{22})[s_1s_2s_1]\} \\ & + (y_{01} + y_{11} + y_{21})[s_2s_1s_2s_1] - \alpha_{01}[s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1] \\ & [s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1][s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2] = \{[1] - [s_1] - [s_2] + [s_1s_2] + [s_2s_1] \\ & - [s_1s_2s_1] - [s_2s_1s_2]\} + [s_1s_2s_1s_2] + [s_2s_1s_2s_1] \\ \\ & [s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2]^2 = y_{10}[s_1s_2s_1s_2] - \alpha_{10}[s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2] \\ \end{split}$$

# Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank Alain Lascoux for setting the foundations of the subject of this paper. Our approach is heavily influenced by his teachings. In particular, he has always promoted the study of the flag variety by divided difference operators (the affine, or graded, nil-Hecke algebra), it is his work with Fulton in [5] that provided the motivation for the Pieri–Chevalley rules as we present them, and it his idea of "transition" (see, for example, the beautiful paper [11]) which allows us to obtain product formulas for Schubert classes in the form which we have given in Section 5 of this paper.

#### References

- [1] M. Brion, Positivity in the Grothendieck group of complex flag varieties, J. Algebra 258 (1) (2002) 137–159.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, Groupes et algebres de Lie, Masson, Paris, 1981 (Chapt. IV-VI).
- [3] C. Chevalley, Sur les decompositions cellulaires des espaces G/B, in: W. Haboush, B. Parshall (Eds.), Algebraic Groups and Their Generalizations: Classical Methods, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., vol. 56, Pt. 1, Amer. Math. Soc., 1994, pp. 1–23.
- [4] M. Demazure, Désingularisation des variétés de Schubert généralisées, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 7 (1974) 53–88.
- [5] W. Fulton, A. Lascoux, A Pieri formula in the Grothendieck ring of a flag bundle, Duke Math. J. 76 (1994) 711–729.
- [6] A. Grothendieck, Sur quelques propriétés fondamnetales en théorie des intersections, in: Anneaux de Chow et applications, Séminaire C. Chevalley 2e année (mimeographed notes) Paris, 1958, pp. 4-01–4-36.
- [7] W. Graham, Positivity in equivariant Schubert calculus, Duke Math. J. 109 (2001) 599-614.
- [8] F. Hirzebruch, Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry, third ed., Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [9] B. Kostant, S. Kumar, *T*-equivariant *K*-theory of generalized flag varieties, J. Differential Geom. 32 (1990) 549–603.
- [10] C. Kriloff, A. Ram, Representations of graded Hecke algebras, Represent. Theory 6 (2002) 31-69.
- [11] A. Lascoux, Chern and Yang through ice, preprint 2002.
- [12] P. Littelmann, A Littlewood-Richardson rule for symmetrizable Kac–Moody algebras, Invent. Math. 116 (1994) 329–346.
- [13] P. Littelmann, Paths and root operators in representation theory, Ann. Math. 142 (1995) 499–525.
- [14] P. Littelmann, Characters of representations and paths in  $\mathfrak{H}^{\ast}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , Proc. Sympos Pure Math. 61 (1997) 29–49.

- [15] P. Littelmann, C.S. Seshadri, A Pieri–Chevalley formula for K(G/B) and standard monomial theory, in: Studies in Memory of Issai Schur, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 210, Birkhäuser, 2003, pp. 155–176.
- [16] G. Lusztig, Singularities, character formulas and a q-analogue of weight multiplicities, in: Analysis and Topology on Singular Spaces, II, III, 1981; Astérisque 101–102 (1983) 208–229.
- [17] O. Mathieu, Positivity of some intersections in  $K_0(G/B)$ , in: Commutative Algebra, Homological Algebra and Representation Theory, Catania, Genoa/Rome, 1998; J. Pure Appl. Algebra 152 (1–3) (2000) 231–243.
- [18] K. Nelsen, A. Ram, Kostka-Foulkes polynomials and Macdonald spherical functions, in: C. Wensley (Ed.), Surveys in Combinatorics 2003, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes, vol. 307, Cambridge University Press, 2003, pp. 325–370.
- [19] H. Pittie, Homogeneous vector bundles over homogeneous spaces, Topology 11 (1972) 199–203.
- [20] H. Pittie, A. Ram, A Pieri–Chevalley formula in the K-theory of a G/B bundle, Elec. Research Announcements 5 (1999) 102–107.
- [21] H. Pittie, A. Ram, A Pieri-Chevalley formula in the K-theory of flag variety, preprint 1998, http://www.math.wisc.edu/~ram/preprints.html.
- [22] A. Ram, Affine Hecke algebras and generalized standard Young tableaux, J. Algebra 260 (2003) 367-415.
- [23] R. Steinberg, On a theorem of Pittie, Topology 14 (1975) 173–177.