

GTLA Lecture 22.10.2020

Principal ideal domains PIDs
(have good gcd's: $\gcd(a, b)$
is well defined).

Let A be a commutative ring.

Example \mathbb{Z} .

Nonexample $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ for $n \geq 1$.

A satisfies the cancellation law if it satisfies

(CL) if $a, b, c \in A$ and $c \neq 0$ and
 $ac = bc$ then $a = b$.

A has no zero divisors if A
satisfies

(NZD) if $a, b \in A$ and $ab = 0$
then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.

HW! A satisfies (CL) if
and only if A satisfies

(N \neq D).
Let A be a commutative ring.
 A is an integral domain if
 A satisfies (CL).

Example \mathbb{Z} , or a field F .

Nonexample $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$.
 $\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$.

In $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$, $3 \cdot 4 = 0$.

Ideals

An ideal, or submodule, of A
is a subset $M \subseteq A$ such that

(a) If $m, m_2 \in M$ then $m_1 + m_2 \in M$.

(b) If $m \in M$ and $a \in A$ then
 $am \in M$.

(i.e. M is closed under addition
and scalar multiplication).

(same as a subspace except
(A doesn't have to be a field).

A principal ideal domain is ^{or PID.}

a commutative ring A such that

(a) A satisfies (CL),

(b) If M is an ideal of A
then there exists $l \in A$ such
that $M = lA$

(multiples work well in a PID)

(b) i.e. every ideal is
generated by one element)

(analogy: A cyclic subgroup
is a subgroup generated
by one element)

Example \mathbb{Z} $3\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.

or \mathbb{F} a field, or $\mathbb{F}[x]$.

Nonexample An integral domain

but is not a PID.

$\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ or $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

In $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ then M gen by $2, x$

is $2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}[x] + x \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is an ideal that can't be generated by one element.

In $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ then

$x\mathbb{C}[x, y] + y\mathbb{C}[x, y]$

is an ideal that can't be generated by one element.

Let F be a field and let

M be an ideal in F .

So $M \subseteq F$, closed under addition and under scalar multiplication.

If $M \neq 0$ let $a \in M$ with $a \neq 0$.
Then scalar mult. by $a^{-1} \in F$
gives $a^{-1} \cdot a \in M$.

So $1 \in M$.

So $c \cdot 1 \in M$ for $c \in F$.

So $M = F$.

So if M is an ideal in F
then $M = 0$ or $M = F$.

(i.e. F "has no ideals")

A ring with no ideals is
a simple ring.

A group with no normal subgroups
is a simple group.

A module with no submodules
is a simple module.

("simple" and "irreducible")
are synonyms

So F has only the ideals

$0 \cdot F$ and $1 \cdot F$

So F is a PID.

Goal: If F is a field then $F[x]$ is a PID.

(consequence is that for polynomials you work with $\gcd(p(x), q(x))$ and $\text{lcm}(p(x), q(x))$).

Proposition (Euclidean algorithm for $F[x]$). Let F be a field. Let $a(x), b(x) \in F[x]$ with $b(x)$ monic.

Then there exist $q(x), r(x) \in F[x]$ such that

$$a(x) = q(x)b(x) + r(x) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\deg(r(x)) < \deg(b(x)).$$

Example $a = 2x^4 + 3x^2$
 $b = x^2 + 2$.

$$2x^4 + 3x^2 = \underbrace{(2x^2 - 1)}_a \underbrace{(x^2 + 2)}_b + \underbrace{2}_r$$

$$\begin{array}{r}
 2x^2 - 1 \\
 \hline
 x^2 + 2 \overline{) 2x^4 + 3x^2} \\
 \underline{2x^4 + 4x^2} \\
 -x^2 \\
 \underline{-x^2 - 2} \\
 -2
 \end{array}$$

POINT: Just figure out what $q(x)$ has to be.

Proof Let $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$
with $b(x)$ monic. Let

$$a(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_nx^n$$

$$b(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_{m-1}x^{m-1} + x^m.$$

To show: There exist $q(x)$ and $r(x)$ such that $a = qb + r$
and $\deg r < \deg b$.

$$\text{Let } q(x) = q_0 + q_1x + \dots + q_{n-m}x^{n-m}$$

given by

$$q_{n-m} = a_n.$$

$$q_{n-m-1} + q_{n-m} b_{m-1} = a_{n-1}$$

⋮

$$q_{n-m-j} + q_{n-m-(j-1)} b_{m-1} + \dots + q_{n-m-1} b_{m-j+1} \\ + q_{n-m} b_{m-j} = a_{n-j}$$

⋮

$$q_0 + q_1 b_{m-1} + \dots + q_{n-m-1} b_{m-(n-m)+1}$$

For convenience let

$$b_{-k} = 0 \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \quad + q_{n-m} b_{m-(n-m)} = a_m.$$

This system of linear equations is triangular and so

q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-m} are determined.

Then define (the remainder)

$$r(x) = a(x) - q(x)b(x)$$

Uniqueness: Assume

$$a(x) = q_1(x)b(x) + r_1(x)$$

$$a(x) = q_2(x)b(x) + r_2(x)$$

with $\deg(r_1(x)) < \deg(b(x))$

$$\deg(r_2(x)) < \deg(b(x))$$

To show: $q_1(x) = q_2(x)$ and
 $v_1(x) = v_2(x)$.

Since $0 = a(x) - a(x)$
 $= (q_1(x) - q_2(x))b(x) + (v_1(x) - v_2(x))$

Solve for $q_1(x) - q_2(x)$ to get

$$q_1(x) - q_2(x) = 0.$$

(using
the
equations
above)

Then $q_1(x) = q_2(x)$.

and $v_1(x) - v_2(x) = 0$.

So $v_1(x) = v_2(x)$. //