

8 Lecture 7: Proofs

8.1 Lecture 7: Proof of the Boson Fermion equalities

Proposition 8.1. *With notations as in (BosFermmaps) , (symms) and (bosfersymm) ,*

$$p_0\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}, \quad e_0\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[X]^{\det} = a_\rho\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0} \quad \text{and} \quad a_\rho = e_0x^\rho,$$

$$\mathbf{1}_0\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Bos}} = \mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}, \quad \varepsilon_0\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Fer}} = A_\rho\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0} \quad \text{and} \quad A_\rho = \varepsilon_0x^\rho.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$p_0 = \sum_{w \in S_n} w.$$

(1a) If $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$ then $f = p_0\left(\frac{1}{n!}f\right)$ so that $f \in p_0\mathbb{C}[X]$. So $\mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n} \subseteq p_0\mathbb{C}[X]$.

(1b) Assume $f \in p_0\mathbb{C}[X]$. Then $f = p_0g$ and if $w \in S_n$ then $wf = wp_0g = p_0g$, and so $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$. So $p_0\mathbb{C}[X] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$.

Combining (1a) and (1b) gives $p_0\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$.

(1c) Let $s_{ij} \in S_n$ denote the transposition that switches i and j .

Assume $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{\det}$. Then $(1 - s_{ij})f = 0$ and so f is divisible by $x_j - x_i$. So

$$f \text{ is divisible by } a_\rho = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i).$$

Then $\frac{1}{a_\rho}f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$. So $f \in a_\rho\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}$. So $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\det} \subseteq a_\rho\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}$.

(1d) Assume $f \in a_\rho\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}$ and let $g \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$ be such that $f = a_\rho g$.

Then $s_{ij}f = (s_{ij}a_\rho)(s_{ij}g) = -a_\rho g = -f$. So $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{\det}$. Thus $a_\rho\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X]^{\det}$.

Combining (1c) and (1d) gives $a_\rho\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0} = \mathbb{C}[X]^{\det}$.

Recall that

$$e_0 = \sum_{w \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(w)} w.$$

(1e) If $f \in e_0\mathbb{C}[X]$ then $s_\alpha f = s_\alpha e_0 g = -e_0 g = -f$. So $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{\det}$. So $e_0\mathbb{C}[X] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X]^{\det}$.

(1f) If $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{\det}$ then $e_0 f = \text{Card}(W_0)f$. So $f \in e_0\mathbb{C}[X]$. So $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\det} \subseteq e_0\mathbb{C}[X]$.

Combining (1e) and (1f) gives $e_0\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[X]^{\det}$.

Since $e_0x^\rho \in e_0\mathbb{C}[X] \subseteq a_\rho\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}$ and the top coefficient of e_0x^ρ is x^ρ , which is the same as the top coefficient of a_ρ . Hence $e_0x^\rho = a_\rho$.

Recall that

$$\mathbf{1}_0 = \sum_{z \in S_n} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\ell(z) - \ell(w_0))} T_z.$$

(2a) Show that $\mathbf{1}_0\mathbb{C}[X] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Bos}}$: Let $h \in \mathbf{1}_0\mathbb{C}[X]$. Write $h = \mathbf{1}_0 f$ with $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]$. Then

$$T_{s_i} h = T_{s_i} \mathbf{1}_0 f = t^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_0 f = t^{\frac{1}{2}} h. \quad \text{So } h \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Bos}} \text{ and } \mathbf{1}_0\mathbb{C}[X] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Bos}}.$$

(2b) Show that $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Bos}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}$: Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Bos}}$. Then, by Proposition 4.1 and (Poinbysymm) ,

$$f = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(w_0)}}{W_0(t)} \mathbf{1}_0 f = \frac{1}{[n]!} \sum_{w \in W_0} w \left(f \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{x_i - tx_j}{x_i - x_j} \right) \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}. \quad \text{So } \mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Bos}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}.$$

(2c) Show that $\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0} \subseteq \mathbf{1}_0\mathbb{C}[X]$: Assume $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}$. Then, by Proposition 4.1 and (Poinbysymm),

$$\mathbf{1}_0 \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(w_0)}}{W_0(t)} f = \frac{1}{[n]!} \sum_{w \in W_0} w \left(f \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{x_i - tx_j}{x_i - x_j} \right) = f \frac{1}{[n]!} \sum_{w \in W_0} w \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{x_i - tx_j}{x_i - x_j} \right) = f.$$

So $f \in \mathbf{1}_0\mathbb{C}[X]$. Thus, $\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0} \subseteq \mathbf{1}_0\mathbb{C}[X]$.

Combining (2a), (2b) and (2c) gives $\mathbf{1}_0\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Bos}} = \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$.

Recall that

$$\varepsilon_0 = \sum_{w \in S_n} (-t^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\ell(z) - \ell(w_0)} T_z.$$

(2d) Show that $\varepsilon_0\mathbb{C}[X] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Fer}}$: Assume $h = \varepsilon_0\mathbb{C}[X]$ and let $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ such that $h = \varepsilon_0 f$. Then

$$T_{s_i} h = T_{s_i} \varepsilon_0 f = -t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon_0 f = -t^{-\frac{1}{2}} h. \quad \text{So } h \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Fer}} \text{ and } \varepsilon_0\mathbb{C}[X] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Fer}}.$$

(2e) Show that $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Fer}} \subseteq A_\rho\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}$: Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Fer}}$. Then $T_i f = -t^{-\frac{1}{2}} f$ gives

$$f = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(w_0)}}{W_0(t)} \varepsilon_0 f = \frac{1}{W_0(t)} \frac{A_\rho}{a_\rho} \sum_{w \in W_0} \det(w) w f \in A_\rho\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}.$$

So $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Fer}} \subseteq A_\rho\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}$.

(2f) Show that $A_\rho\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Fer}}$: Assume $A_\rho\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}$. Let $g \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$ be such that $f = A_\rho g$ and write g as a linear combination, $g = \sum c_\lambda s_\lambda$, where s_λ are Schur functions. Then

$$f = A_\rho g = \sum_\lambda c_\lambda A_\rho s_\lambda = \sum_\lambda c_\lambda \frac{A_\rho}{a_\rho} \sum_{w \in W_0} \det(w_0 w) w x^{\lambda + \rho} = \varepsilon_0 \left(\sum_\lambda c_\lambda x^{\lambda + \rho} \right),$$

by (slicksymmA) and the fact that

$$t^{-\frac{1}{2}\ell(w_0)} \frac{A_\rho}{a_\rho} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}\ell(w_0)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{x_j - tx_i}{x_j - x_i} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}} x_i x_j^{-1}}{1 - x_i x_j^{-1}} = c_{w_0}(x).$$

So $f \in \varepsilon_0\mathbb{C}[X]$. Thus, $A_\rho\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0} \subseteq \varepsilon_0\mathbb{C}[X]$.

Combining (2d), (2e) and (2f) gives $\varepsilon_0\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Fer}} = A_\rho\mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}$. □

8.2 Lecture 7: Proof that $(\cdot, \cdot)_{q,t}$ is normalized Hermitian and nondegenerate

Proposition 8.2.

(a) (sesquilinear) If $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}]$ then

$$(cf, g)_{q,t} = c(f, g)_{q,t}, \quad \text{and} \quad (f, cg)_{q,t} = \bar{c}(f, g)_{q,t}.$$

(b) (nonisotropy) If $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ and $f \neq 0$ then $(f, f)_{q,t} \neq 0$.

(c) (nondegeneracy) If F is a subspace of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_F: F \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the restriction of $(\cdot, \cdot)_{q,t}$ to F , then $(\cdot, \cdot)_F$ is nondegenerate.

(d) (normalized Hermitian) If $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ then

$$\frac{(f_2, f_1)_{q,t}}{(1, 1)_{q,t}} = \overline{\left(\frac{(f_1, f_2)_{q,t}}{(1, 1)_{q,t}} \right)}.$$

Proof. (a) Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$. Then $(cf_1, f_2)_{q,t} = \text{ct}(cf_1 \overline{f_2}) = c \cdot \text{ct}(f_1 \overline{f_2}) = c(f, g)_{q,t}$ and

$$(f_1, cf_2)_{q,t} = \text{ct}(f_1 \overline{cf_2}) = \text{ct}(f_1 \overline{c} \overline{f_2}) = \overline{c} \cdot \text{ct}(f_1 \overline{f_2}) = \overline{c}(f, g)_{q,t}.$$

(b) Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ with $f \neq 0$. By clearing denominators appropriately, renormalize f so that f specializes to something nonzero at $q = 1$. If

$$f = \sum_{\mu} f_{\mu} x^{\mu} \quad \text{then} \quad (f, f)_{1,1} = (f, f)_{1,1^k} = \sum_{\mu} |f_{\mu}|^2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}.$$

So $(f, f)_t \neq 0$.

(c) Let $f \in F$ with $f \neq 0$. Since $(f, f)_{q,t} \neq 0$ then there exists $p \in F$ such that $(f, p)_{q,t} \neq 0$. So the restriction of $(\cdot, \cdot)_{q,t}$ to F is nondegenerate.

(d) Let

$$f_1 = \sum_{\lambda} a_{\lambda} x^{\lambda}, \quad f_2 = \sum_{\mu} b_{\mu} x^{\mu}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\Delta_{q,t}}{(1,1)_{q,t}} = \frac{\Delta_{q,t}}{\text{ct}(\Delta)} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} d_{\mu}(q,t) x^{\mu}.$$

Then

$$\frac{(f_2, f_1)_{q,t}}{(1,1)_{q,t}} = \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \overline{a_{\lambda}} b_{\mu} d_{\lambda-\mu} = \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \overline{a_{\lambda} b_{\mu} d_{\mu-\lambda}} = \overline{\left(\frac{(f_1, f_2)_{q,t}}{(1,1)_{q,t}} \right)}.$$

□

8.3 Lecture 7: Proof of the inner product characterization of E_{μ} and P_{λ}

Proposition 8.3. *Let $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomial E_{μ} is the unique element of $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ such that*

- (a) $E_{\mu} = x^{\mu} + (\text{lower terms})$;
- (b) If $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\nu < \mu$ then $(E_{\mu}, x^{\nu})_{q,t} = 0$.

Proof. Let $W = \text{span}\{x^{\mu} \mid \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ and } \mu \leq \mu\}$,

$$S = \text{span}\{x^{\nu} \mid \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ and } \nu < \mu\} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{\perp} = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[X] \mid \text{if } p \in S \text{ then } (f, p)_{q,t} = 0\}.$$

Since the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{q,t}$ is nonisotropic then the restriction of $(\cdot, \cdot)_{q,t}$ to W is nondegenerate and so $\dim(S^{\perp}) = 1$. Then the normalization of $E_{\mu} \in S^{\perp}$ is determined by condition (a). □

Proposition 8.4. *Let $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^+$. The symmetric Macdonald polynomial P_{λ} is the unique element of $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]^{S_n}$ such that*

- (a) $P_{\lambda} = m_{\lambda} + (\text{lower terms})$;
- (b) If $\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^+$ and $\gamma < \lambda$ then $(P_{\lambda}, m_{\gamma})_{q,t} = 0$.

Proof. The proof is completed in the same manner as the proof of Proposition 8.3. □

8.4 Lecture 7: Proof for going up a level from t to qt

Proposition 8.5. *Let $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$ so that f and g are symmetric functions. Then*

$$(f, g)_{q, qt} = \frac{W_0(qt)}{W_0(t^{-1})} (A_\rho f, A_\rho g)_{q, t}.$$

Proof. As in [\(arhoArhodefn\)](#) and [\(slicksymmA\)](#), let

$$c_{w_0}(x; t) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}} x_i x_j^{-1}}{1 - x_i x_j^{-1}} = t^{-\frac{1}{2} \ell(w_0)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{x_j - tx_i}{x_j - x_i} = t^{-\frac{1}{2} \ell(w_0)} \frac{A_\rho(x, t)}{a_\rho}.$$

By [\(DnabladefnGL\)](#),

$$\Delta_{q, t} = \nabla_{q, t} \prod_{i < j} \frac{1 - tx_i x_j^{-1}}{1 - x_i x_j^{-1}} \stackrel{?}{=} t^{\frac{1}{2} \ell(w_0)} \nabla_{q, t} c_{w_0}(x^{-1}; t). \quad (\text{Dnablacomp})$$

By [\(DnabladefnGL\)](#),

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{q, qt} &= \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{(x_i x_j^{-1}; q)_\infty}{(qt x_i x_j^{-1}; q)_\infty} = \left(\prod_{i \neq j} \frac{(x_i x_j^{-1}; q)_\infty}{(t x_i x_j^{-1}; q)_\infty} \right) \left(\prod_{i \neq j} (1 - tx_i x_j^{-1}) \right) \\ &= \nabla_{q, t} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 - tx_j x_i^{-1})(1 - tx_i x_j^{-1}) = \nabla_{q, t} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j^{-1} - tx_i)(x_j - tx_i) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\nabla_{q, qt} = \nabla_{q, t} A_\rho(x; t) A_\rho(x^{-1}; t), \quad (\text{nablaqtreln})$$

If $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ and $w \in A_n$ then

$$\text{ct}(f) = \text{ct}(wf), \quad \text{and so} \quad \text{ct}(f) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{w \in S_n} \text{ct}(wf). \quad (\text{cttosymct})$$

With these identities in hand, let $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g)_{q, qt} &= \text{ct}(f \bar{g} \Delta_{q, t}) && (\text{by } \text{[\(innproddefnA\)](#)}) \\ &= \text{ct}(f \bar{g} \nabla_{q, t} c_{w_0}(x^{-1}; qt)) && (\text{by } \text{[\(Dnablacomp\)](#)}) \\ &= \text{ct}(f \bar{g} \nabla_{q, t} A_\rho(x; t) A_\rho(x^{-1}; t) c_{w_0}(x^{-1}; qt)) && (\text{by } \text{[\(nablaqtreln\)](#)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|W_0|} \text{ct} \left(\sum_{w \in W_0} w \left(f \bar{g} \nabla_{q, t} A_\rho(x; t) A_\rho(x^{-1}; t) c_{w_0}(x^{-1}; qt) \right) \right) && (\text{by } \text{[\(cttosymct\)](#)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|W_0|} \text{ct} \left((f \bar{g} \nabla_{q, t} A_\rho(x; t) A_\rho(x^{-1}; t)) \left(\sum_{w \in W_0} w(c_{w_0}(x^{-1}; qt)) \right) \right) && (f, g, \nabla_{q, t} \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}) \\ &= \frac{W_0(qt)}{|W_0|} \text{ct} \left((f \bar{g} \nabla_{q, t} A_\rho(x; t) A_\rho(x^{-1}; t)) \right) && (\text{by } \text{[\(Poinbysymm\)](#)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A_\rho f, A_\rho g)_{q,t} &= \text{ct}(A_\rho f \overline{A_\rho g} \Delta_{q,t}) && \text{(by (innproddefnA))} \\
 &= \text{ct}(f \bar{g} \Delta_{q,t} A_\rho(x;t) A_\rho(x^{-1};t^{-1})) && \text{(by (invdefns))} \\
 &= \text{ct}\left((f \bar{g} \Delta_{q,t} A_\rho(x;t) A_\rho(x^{-1};t) \frac{A_\rho(x^{-1},t^{-1})}{a_\rho(x^{-1})} \frac{a_\rho(x^{-1})}{A_\rho(x^{-1};t)})\right) \\
 &= \text{ct}\left((f \bar{g} \frac{\Delta_{q,t}}{c_{w_0}(x^{-1};t)} A_\rho(x;t) A_\rho(x^{-1};t) c_{w_0}(x^{-1};t^{-1})\right) \\
 &= \text{ct}(f \bar{g} \nabla_{q,t} A_\rho(x;t) A_\rho(x^{-1};t) c_{w_0}(x^{-1};t^{-1})) && \text{(by (Dnablacomp))} \\
 &= \frac{1}{|W_0|} \text{ct}\left(\sum_{w \in W_0} w(f \bar{g} \nabla_{q,t} A_\rho(x;t) A_\rho(x^{-1};t) c_{w_0}(x^{-1};t^{-1}))\right) && \text{(by (cttosymct))} \\
 &= \frac{1}{|W_0|} \text{ct}\left((f \bar{g} \nabla_{q,t} A_\rho(x;t) A_\rho(x^{-1};t) \left(\sum_{w \in W_0} w(c_{w_0}(x^{-1};t^{-1}))\right)\right) && (f, g, \nabla_{q,t} \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{W_0}) \\
 &= \frac{W_0(t^{-1})}{|W_0|} \text{ct}\left((f \bar{g} \nabla_{q,t} A_\rho(x;t) A_\rho(x^{-1};t))\right) && \text{(by (Poinbysymm))}
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives the result. \square

8.5 Lecture 7: Proof of the Weyl character formula for Macdonald polynomials

Theorem 8.6. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. Then*

$$P_\lambda(q, qt) = \frac{A_{\lambda+\rho}(q, t)}{A_\rho(t)}.$$

Proof. Since $A_{\lambda+\rho} = t^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(w_0)} \varepsilon_0 E_{\lambda+\rho}$ then $A_{\lambda+\rho} \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{\text{Fer}}$. Thus, by Proposition 7.1

$$\text{there exists } f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n} \text{ such that } A_{\lambda+\rho} = A_\rho f.$$

If $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is such that the coefficient of x^μ in $A_{\lambda+\rho}$ is nonzero then $\mu \leq w_0(\lambda + \rho)$. So

$$f = m_\lambda + (\text{lower terms}).$$

The E -expansion for $A_{\lambda+\rho}$ gives that

$$A_{\lambda+\rho} = \sum_{\mu \in S_n(\lambda+\rho)} d_{\lambda+\rho}^\mu E_\mu = E_{w_0(\lambda+\rho)} + (\text{lower terms})$$

and, from the definitions of A_ρ and m_ν ,

$$A_\rho m_\nu = x^{w_0(\nu+\rho)} + (\text{lower terms}).$$

Since $(E_{w_0(\lambda+\rho)}, x^\gamma)_{q,t} = 0$ for $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\gamma < w_0(\lambda + \rho)$, then

$$(A_\rho f, A_\rho m_\nu)_{q,t} = (A_{\lambda+\rho}, A_\rho m_\nu)_{q,t} = 0, \quad \text{for } \nu \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^+ \text{ with } \nu < \lambda.$$

Thus, by (7.5), since $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$ and $m_\nu \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$ then

$$(f, m_\nu)_{q,t} = (A_\rho f, A_\rho m_\nu)_{q,t} = 0, \quad \text{for } \nu \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^+ \text{ with } \nu < \lambda.$$

Thus, by Proposition 7.4, $f = P_\lambda(q, t)$. \square