

Proposition Let V be a vector space. Let

$B = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ and $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_l\}$ be bases of V .

~~Let~~ Then $k=l$.

Proof Proof by contradiction.

Assume $k < l$.

Let $C' = \{c_1, \dots, c_l\}$.

Then there exists $b_j \in B$ such that $b_j \notin \text{span}(C')$.

Proof If all $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \text{span}(C')$ then

$$\text{span}(B) \subseteq \text{span}(C') \text{ and } V \subseteq \text{span}(C').$$

Since $c_1 \in V$ then $c_1 \in \text{span}(C')$.

$$\Rightarrow c_1 = \gamma_2 c_2 + \dots + \gamma_l c_l \text{ for some } \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l \in \mathbb{F}.$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = -c_1 + \gamma_2 c_2 + \dots + \gamma_l c_l.$$

This is a contradiction to the linear independence of C .

\Rightarrow there exists b_j such that $b_j \notin \text{span}(C')$.

Reindex B so that $b_1 \notin \text{span}(C')$ and

$$\text{let } C_1 = \{b_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_l\}.$$

Claim C_1 is a basis of V .

Proof of claim:

To show: (a) C_1 is linearly independent

(b) $\text{span}(C_1) = V$.

(a) To show: If $\alpha_1 b_1 + \alpha_2 c_2 + \dots + \alpha_l c_l = 0$ then $\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 0, \dots, \alpha_l = 0$.

Assume $\alpha_1 b_1 + \alpha_2 c_2 + \dots + \alpha_l c_l = 0$.

Case 1 $\alpha_1 \neq 0$.

Then $b_1 = -\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} c_2 - \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_1} c_3 - \dots - \frac{\alpha_l}{\alpha_1} c_l$.

$\therefore b_1 \in \text{span}(C')$, which is a contradiction to the choice of b_1 .

$\therefore \alpha_1 = 0$.

Case 2 $\alpha_1 = 0$.

Then $\alpha_2 c_2 + \dots + \alpha_l c_l = 0$.

Since C is linearly independent

$\alpha_2 = 0, \alpha_3 = 0, \dots, \alpha_l = 0$.

(b) To show: $V = \text{span}\{b_1, c_2, \dots, c_l\}$.

To show: $V \subseteq \text{span}\{b_1, c_2, \dots, c_l\}$.

To show: $\text{span}\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l\} \subseteq \text{span}\{b_1, c_2, \dots, c_l\}$.

To show: $c_1 \in \text{span}\{b_1, c_2, \dots, c_l\}$.

Since C is a basis there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l \in F$ with

$b_1 = \alpha_1 c_1 + \alpha_2 c_2 + \dots + \alpha_l c_l$.

Case 1 $\alpha_1 = 0$.

Then $b_1 = \alpha_2 c_2 + \dots + \alpha_l c_l$ and $b_1 \in \text{span}(C')$.

This is a contradiction to the choice of b_1 .

$\therefore \alpha_1 \neq 0$.

Case 2 $\alpha_j \neq 0$

$$\text{Then } c_1 = +\alpha_1^{-1} (b_1 - \alpha_2 c_2 - \dots - \alpha_l c_l)$$

$$\therefore c_1 \in \text{span} \{b_1, c_2, \dots, c_l\} //$$

$\therefore C_1 = \{b_1, c_2, \dots, c_l\}$ is a basis of V .

Let $C_1' = \{b_1, c_3, \dots, c_l\}$ and let $b_j \in B$ such that $b_j \notin \text{span}(C_1')$.

Reindex B so that $b_2 \notin \text{span}(C_1')$.

$$\text{Let } C_2 = \{b_1, b_2, c_3, \dots, c_l\}.$$

Then, by a proof as for C_1 above, C_2 is a basis of V .

Continue this process to obtain

$$C_k = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k, c_{k+1}, c_{k+2}, \dots, c_l\} \text{ which is a basis of } V.$$

Since B is a basis of V , then

$$c_{k+1} = \alpha_1 b_1 + \alpha_2 b_2 + \dots + \alpha_k b_k \text{ for some } \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in F.$$

$$\therefore D = \alpha_1 b_1 + \dots + \alpha_k b_k - c_{k+1}.$$

This contradicts the linear independence of C_k .

$$\therefore k \neq l.$$

A similar argument shows $l \neq k$.

$$\therefore k = l. //$$

Proposition

Let V be a vector space and let $f: V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation.

Let $m(t)$ be the minimal polynomial of f .

Assume that

$$m(t) = p(t)q(t) \text{ with } \gcd(p, q) = 1.$$

Let $k(t)$ and $l(t)$ be such that

$$1 = p(t)k(t) + q(t)l(t)$$

Let

$$U = p(f)k(f)V \text{ and } W = q(f)l(f)V.$$

Then

$$V = U \oplus W.$$

Example Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \\ & 3 & 0 \\ & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$

The minimal polynomial of A is

$$m(t) = (t-2)^2(t-3)^2 \text{ and } p(t) = (t-2)^2, q(t) = (t-3)^2.$$

$$p(t) = t^2 - 4t + 4 \text{ and } q(t) = t^2 - 6t + 9$$

$$t^2 - 4t + 4 = (t^2 - 6t + 9) + (2t - 5)$$

$$t^2 - 6t + 9 = \cancel{t^2 - 4t + 4} + (2t - 5)\left(\frac{1}{2}t - \frac{7}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{4}$$

since

$$t^2 - 4t + 4 = (t^2 - 6t + 9) + (2t - 5)$$

$$\begin{array}{r} \frac{\frac{1}{2}t - \frac{3}{4}}{2t - 5} \\ \hline 2t - 5 \overline{) t^2 - 6t + 9} \\ \underline{t^2 - \frac{5}{2}t} \\ -\frac{7}{2}t + 9 \\ \underline{-\frac{7}{2}t + \frac{35}{4}} \\ \frac{1}{4} \end{array}$$

$$\delta_0 \quad t^2 - 6t + 9 = \left(\frac{1}{2}t - \frac{3}{4}\right)(2t - 5) + \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \quad 1 &= 4(t^2 - 6t + 9) - (2t - 7)(2t - 5) \\ &= 4(t^2 - 6t + 9) - (2t - 7)((t^2 - 4t + 4) - (t^2 - 6t + 9)) \\ &= (2t - 3)(t^2 - 6t + 9) - (2t - 7)(t^2 - 4t + 4) \\ &= (2t - 3)(t - 3)^2 - (2t - 7)(t - 2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (2A - 3)(A - 3)^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} +1 & 0 & & & \\ & 2 & +1 & & \\ & & & 3 & 0 \\ & & & & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & & \\ & 1 & -1 & & \\ & & & 0 & \\ & & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 2 & 1 & & \\ & & & 3 & \\ & & & & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & & & \\ & -2 & 1 & & \\ & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -(2A - 7)(A - 2)^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & & & \\ & -2 & 3 & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & & & \\ & 1 & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & & & \\ & -2 & 3 & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & & & \\ & 0 & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$