

The algebra $A = M_d(\mathbb{C})$

Let $\mathbb{C}^d = \text{span}\{e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d\}$ where $e_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

with $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ -action by left multiplication

Theorem

(1) If M is a simple $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ -module then

$$M \cong \mathbb{C}^d$$

(2) If $t: M_d(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a trace then $t = k \cdot \text{Tr}$,
with $k \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$(3) \text{Z}(M_d(\mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{Id}$$

(4) $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ has one nonzero ideal.

Proof (1) Let M be a simple $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ -module. Let

$m \in M$ be nonzero. Since

$$m = 1 \cdot m = \sum_{i=1}^d E_{ii} m, \text{ then } E_{ii} m \neq 0 \text{ for some } i.$$

Let

$m_j = E_{ji} m$ for $j=1, 2, \dots, d$. Then

$N = \text{span}\{m_1, \dots, m_d\}$ is a submodule of M such

that $E_{rs} m_j = \delta_{sj} m_r$.

Since M is simple, $N = M$ and

$$\begin{array}{l} M \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^d \\ m_j \longmapsto e_j \end{array} \text{ is an } M_d(\mathbb{C})$$

is an $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ -module isomorphism.

(2) Let $t: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a trace. Then

$$t(E_{ij}) = t(E_{ii}E_{ij}) = t(E_{ij}E_{ii}) = \delta_{ij} t(E_{ii}).$$

$$\text{So } t = t(E_{ii}) \cdot \text{Tr. II.}$$

The algebra $A = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} M_{d_\lambda}(\mathbb{C})$

A has basis $\{E_{ij}^\lambda \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\lambda, \lambda \in \hat{A}\}$ with

$$E_{ij}^\lambda E_{rs}^\mu = \delta_{\lambda\mu} \delta_{jr} E_{is}^\lambda.$$

Define $A^\lambda = \text{span}\{e_i^\lambda \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_\lambda\}$ with

$$E_{ij}^\mu e_r^\lambda = \delta_{\lambda\mu} \delta_{jr} e_i^\lambda.$$

Define $\text{Tr}^\lambda: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\text{Tr}^\lambda(E_{ij}^\mu) = \delta_{\lambda\mu} \delta_{ij}$.

Define $z_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\lambda} E_{ii}^\lambda$, so that $z_\lambda^2 = z_\lambda$ and $1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} z_\lambda$.

Define $\mathcal{I}^\lambda = z_\lambda \cdot A = \text{span}\{E_{ij}^\lambda \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\lambda\}$.

Theorem (1) $A^\lambda, \lambda \in \hat{A}$, are the simple A -modules.

(2) If $t: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a trace then

$$t = \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} t_\lambda \text{Tr}^\lambda \quad \text{with } t_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

(3) $z(A) = \text{span}\{z_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \hat{A}\}$

(4) The minimal ideals of A are $\mathcal{I}^\lambda, \lambda \in \hat{A}$.

The ideals of A are sums of \mathcal{I}^λ .

The regular representation of A

(3)

$$A = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} (A^\lambda)^{\oplus d_\lambda} \quad \text{since } A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & \square & & \\ & & \square & \\ 0 & & & \square \\ & & & & \square \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}^\lambda: A &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} & \text{where } \rho^\lambda: A &\rightarrow M_{d_\lambda}(\mathbb{C}) \\ a &\mapsto \text{Tr}(\rho^\lambda(a)) & a &\mapsto \rho^\lambda(a), \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho^\lambda(a)$ is the matrix of the action of a on A^λ .

If $t: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the trace of the regular representation

then

$$t = \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} d_\lambda \text{Tr}^\lambda.$$

The dual basis to $\{E_{ij}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \hat{A}, 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\lambda\}$ is

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{d_\lambda} E_{ji}^{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \hat{A}, 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\lambda \right\}$$

since $t(E_{ij}^\lambda \frac{1}{d_\mu} E_{sr}^\mu) = \delta_{ir} \delta_{\lambda\mu} \delta_{js}$. Thus

$$E_{ij}^\lambda = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \hat{A} \\ 1 \leq r, s \leq d_\mu}} d_\mu A^\mu \left(\frac{1}{d_\mu} E_{sr}^\mu \right)_{ji} E_{rs}^\mu.$$

If $B = \{b\}$ is a basis of A and $\{b^*\}$ is the dual basis with respect to \langle, \rangle defined by t .

Then

$$E_{ij}^\lambda = \sum_{b \in B} d_\lambda A^\lambda(b^*)_{ji} b \quad \text{and} \quad b = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \hat{A} \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\lambda}} A^\lambda(b)_{ij} E_{ij}^\lambda$$

Theorem (Artin-Wedderburn)

Let A be a finite dimensional algebra with such that the trace of the regular representation is nondegenerate. Then

$$A \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} M_{d_\lambda}(\mathbb{C}) \text{ as algebras}$$

where $A = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} (A^\lambda)^{\oplus d_\lambda}$ as A -modules.

Proof By Maschke's theorem

$$A \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} (A^\lambda)^{\oplus d_\lambda} \text{ as } A\text{-modules.}$$

Since $A \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ is injective, $\rho: A \rightarrow \text{End}\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} A^\lambda\right)$
 $a \mapsto a_A$ is injective, $a \mapsto \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} \rho^\lambda(a)$

is also injective and ρ is an algebra homomorphism.

Example Temperley-Lieb $TL_3 = \text{span} \{111, \overset{\cup}{\cap}1, 1\overset{\cup}{\cap}, \overset{\cup}{\cap}1, \overset{\cup}{\cap}\overset{\cup}{\cap}\}$ ⑤
 has module $M = \text{span} \{ \cup \cdot, \cdot \cup, \cup \}$ which we found was

$$M = N \oplus P \quad \text{where} \quad N = \text{span} \{ \cdot \cup, \cup \cdot \} \quad \text{and} \\
P = \text{span} \{ (1 + (q + q^{-1})) \cup - \cup \cdot \cdot \cup \}$$

We have

$$\rho^{\oplus}: TL_3 \rightarrow M_1(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho^{\oplus}: TL_3 \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \overset{\cup}{\cap}1 \mapsto 0 \\ 1\overset{\cup}{\cap} \mapsto 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \overset{\cup}{\cap}1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} q+q^{-1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ 1\overset{\cup}{\cap} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & q+q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

Then $TL_3 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{TL}_3} M_{d_\lambda}(\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{array}{l} \overset{\cup}{\cap}1 \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{c|c} q+q^{-1} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \\ 1\overset{\cup}{\cap} \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & q+q^{-1} \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \end{array}$$

Let G be a group. The group algebra of G is the algebra $\mathbb{C}G$ with basis G and multiplication determined by the multiplication in G . The map

$$t: \mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text{given by } t(a) = a|_{\mathbb{1}},$$

the coefficient of $\mathbb{1}$ in a , is a trace on G .

If Tr is the trace of the regular representation of G then

$$\text{Tr}(g) = \sum_{h \in G} gh|_h = \begin{cases} |G|, & \text{if } g = \mathbb{1} \\ 0, & \text{if } g \neq \mathbb{1} \end{cases} = |G| \cdot t(g).$$

The map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}B_n & \longrightarrow & H_n & \longrightarrow & TL_n \\ & & e_i & \longmapsto & e_i \end{array}$$

are ~~is~~ a surjective algebra

$$T_i & \longrightarrow & T_i$$

homomorphisms

Remark

$$T_{w_0}^2 = \text{[Diagram of a crossing with two strands]} \in Z(B_n)$$

and $T_{w_0}^2 = y^{\epsilon_1} \dots y^{\epsilon_n}$, where $y^{\epsilon_i} = \text{[Diagram of a crossing with i strands]}$

and $y^{\epsilon_i} y^{\epsilon_j} = \text{[Diagram of two crossings]} = \text{[Diagram of two crossings]} = y^{\epsilon_j} y^{\epsilon_i}$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

So the image of $\mathbb{C}[y^{\epsilon_1}, \dots, y^{\epsilon_n}]$ is a large commutative subalgebra of H_n (or TL_n).

Note:

$$B_n \hookrightarrow B_{n+1}$$

$$b \longmapsto \boxed{b} \mid \text{ gives inclusions}$$

$$H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq H_3 \subseteq \dots, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{CS}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{CS}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{CS}_3 \subseteq \dots$$

$$TL_1 \subseteq TL_2 \subseteq TL_3 \subseteq \dots,$$

Pullback functors Suppose $A \xrightarrow{\varphi} R$ is an algebra homomorphism. Then we get a functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{R\text{-modules}\} & \longrightarrow & \{A\text{-modules}\} \\ M & \longmapsto & \varphi^*(M) \end{array}$$

where $\varphi^*(M) = M$, as vector spaces and the A -action is given by $am = \varphi(a)m$, for $a \in A, m \in M$.

The map $H_n \xrightarrow{\pi} T L_n$ gives a functor

$$\{T L_n\text{-modules}\} \longrightarrow \{H_n\text{-modules}\}$$

which takes simple modules to simple modules.

The map π is surjective and the map π^* is injective.

The map $T L_3 \xrightarrow{z} T L_4$ gives $\{T L_4\text{-modules}\} \xrightarrow{z^*} \{T L_3\text{-modules}\}$

The functor z^* is Restriction, $\text{Res}_{T L_3}^{T L_4}$.

Adjoint functors

Let $F: \{A\text{-modules}\} \longrightarrow \{B\text{-modules}\}$ be a functor.

The adjoint functor $F^\vee: \{B\text{-modules}\} \longrightarrow \{A\text{-modules}\}$ is determined by

$$\text{Hom}_{B\text{-mod}}(F^\vee M, N) \simeq \text{Hom}_{A\text{-mod}}(M, FM).$$

The adjoint functor to Res_A^B is induction Ind_A^B .

$$\text{Ind}_A^B: \{A\text{-modules}\} \longrightarrow \{B\text{-modules}\}.$$

It is given explicitly by

$$\text{Ind}_A^B(M) = B \otimes_A M,$$

where $B \otimes_A M$ is generated by $b \otimes m$, $b \in B$, $m \in M$,

with relations

$$ba \otimes m = b \otimes am$$

and bilinearity.

Theorem (a) The Bratelli diagram for

$$H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq H_3 \subseteq \dots$$

has

$$\hat{H}_k = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{partitions} \\ \text{with } k \text{ boxes} \end{array} \right\} \text{ and } \lambda - \mu$$

if μ is obtained from λ by removing a box.

(b) The Bratelli diagram for

$$OS_1 \subseteq OS_2 \subseteq OS_3 \subseteq \dots$$

has

$$\hat{S}_k = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{partitions} \\ \text{with } k \text{ boxes} \end{array} \right\} \text{ and } \lambda - \mu$$

if μ is obtained from λ by removing a box.

The algebra $U\mathfrak{S}_2$

A Lie algebra is a vector space \mathfrak{g} with a bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]: \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that

(1) $[x, y] = -[y, x]$, for $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$,

(2) $[[x, y], z] + [[z, x], y] + [[y, z], x] = 0$, for $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

A Lie algebra is not an algebra.

The enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} is the algebra $U\mathfrak{g}$ generated by the vector space \mathfrak{g} , with the relations

$$\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad xy = yx + [x, y]$$

The Lie algebra sl_2 is the vector space

$$sl_2 = \{ a \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid \text{tr } a = 0 \}$$

with bracket

$$[a, b] = ab - ba, \text{ for } a, b \in sl_2$$

(where the product on the RHS is matrix multiplication).

The enveloping algebra of sl_2 is the algebra Usl_2 generated by x, y, k with relations

$$xy = yx + k, \quad kx = xk + 2x, \quad ky = yk - 2y.$$

Proposition The Lie algebra sl_2 is presented by generators

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and relations

$$[x, y] = k, \quad [k, x] = 2x, \quad [k, y] = -2y.$$

HW: Show that Usl_2 has basis

$$\{ y^{m_1} k^{m_2} x^{m_3} \mid m_1, m_2, m_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \}.$$

Hence $\dim(Usl_2) = 3\infty$.